

0034



STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

October 18, 1985

Mr. Robert L. Morgan
State Engineer
Division of Water Rights
1636 West North Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

Dear Mr. Morgan:

RE: Abatement Plans for Notice of Violation N84-7-6-1, Valley
Camp of Utah, Inc., Belina Complex, ACT/007/001, #4 and
#7, Carbon County, Utah

Enclosed please find one (1) copy of Valley Camp of Utah, Inc.'s approved plans for abatement of the Notice of Violation referenced above for their Belina Complex in Carbon County, Utah. This material is for your review, information and files.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me or Tom Suchoski.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D. Wayne Hedberg".

D. Wayne Hedberg
Permit Supervisor/
Reclamation Hydrologist

btb
Enclosures
0341R-46

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Make approp. copies + write to TJS, File + OSM State Health Dam Safety

Type of Proposal: COAL NONCOAL

- Exploration
- NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # 04-7-6-1, Abatement Deadline _____
- MRP Revision
- MRP Amendment
- MR-1 Application (non-coal)

Issuing Inspector _____

Title of Proposal: Cleanout of pond #4, Demonstrate adequate volume regmts.

Company name: Valley Camp Project/ Mine Name: 10yr 24 hr runoff Belina Mine

File # (PRO/ACT): 007/001 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1 1
(CEP/EXP/INA) Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:	Review Time (hrs):	OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)
(Hydrology) <u>TJS</u>	<u>WAYNE, LOOKS GOOD!</u> <u>HAS I & E SIGNED OFF?</u> <u>TJS</u>	OSM _____
(Wildlife/Veg.) _____		USFS _____
(Engineering) _____		BLM _____
(Soils) _____		Health _____
(Geology) _____		History _____

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Receiv _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

9th resubmission (7 copies) provided

- | | |
|--|---|
| (b) <u>Operator Resubmission</u>
Initial Plan Received <u>10/15/85</u>
Tech Review Due <u>10/25/85</u>
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (e) Optr. Resubmission
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ |
| (c) Operator Resubmission
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (f) Optr. Resubmission
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ |
| (d) Operator Resubmission
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (g) Cond'l. Approval <u>3/28/85</u>
Stipulations Due <u>4/10/85</u> <i>(10 additional copies)</i>
Stips Received <u>4/16/85</u>
Final Approval _____ |
| | (h) MR-9 Received _____
MR-9 Acknowledged _____ |

COMMENTS: _____

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.
NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Make approp. copies + route to TJS, File + OSM State Health Dam Safety

Type of Proposal: COAL NONCOAL

Exploration _____
 NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # 84-7-6-1, Abatement Deadline _____
 MRP Revision _____
 MRP Amendment _____
 MR-1 Application (non-coal) _____ Issuing Inspector _____

Title of Proposal: Cleanout of pond #4, Demonstrate adequate volume reqmts.

Company name: Valley Camp Project/ Mine Name: 10yr 24 hr runoff Belina Mine

File # (PRO/ACT): 007/001 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1/1
 (CEP/EXP/INA) Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers: _____ Review Time (hrs): _____ OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)

(Hydrology) <u>TJS</u>	_____	OSM _____
(Wildlife/Veg.) _____	_____	USFS _____
(Engineering) _____	_____	BLM _____
(Soils) _____	_____	Health _____
(Geology) _____	_____	History _____

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received _____
 Tech Review Due _____
 Tech Review Complete _____
 DOGM Response Sent _____

(b) Operator Resubmission Initial Plan Received 10/15/85
 Tech Review Due 10/25/85 (e) Optr. Resubmission _____
 Tech Review Complete _____ (Maps & Stage Curve + Certification) Tech Review Due _____
 DOGM Response Sent _____ DOGM Response Sent _____

(c) Operator Resubmission _____ (f) Optr. Resubmission _____
 Tech Review Due _____ Tech Review Due _____
 Tech Review Complete _____ DOGM Response Sent _____
 DOGM Response Sent _____

(d) Operator Resubmission _____ (g) Cond'l. Approval 3/28/85
 Tech Review Due _____ Stipulations Due 4/10/85 (10 additional copies)
 Tech Review Complete _____ Stips Received 4/16/85
 DOGM Response Sent _____ Final Approval _____

(h) MR-9 Received _____
 MR-9 Acknowledged _____

COMMENTS: _____

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.

9th resubmission (7 copies provided)

10 additional copies