

0013

File



STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

March 21, 1986

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
P 001 720 571

Mr. Trevor Whiteside
Valley Camp of Utah Inc.
Scofield Route
Helper, Utah 89526

Dear Mr. Whiteside:

RE: Finalized Assessment for State Violation No. N86-8-2-1
ACT/007/001, Folder #8, Carbon County, Utah

The civil penalty for the above referenced violation has been finalized. This assessment has been finalized as a result of a review of all pertinent data and facts which were not available on the date of the proposed assessment, due to the length of the abatement period.

Within fifteen (15) days of your receipt of this letter, you or your agent may make a written appeal to the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining. To do so, you must have escrowed the assessed civil penalty with the Division within a maximum of 30 days of receipt of this letter, but in all cases prior to the Board Hearing. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a waiver of your right of further recourse.

If no timely appeal is made, this assessed civil penalty must be tendered within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this letter. Please remit payment to the Division and mail % Jan Brown at the address listed above.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Barbara W. Roberts
Barbara W. Roberts
Assessment Officer

re

cc: Donna Griffin, DSM Albuquerque Field Office
B. Roberts, Attorney Generals Office
9099Q

WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
 UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

COMPANY/MINE Valley Camp/Belina NOV # N86-8-2-1
 PERMIT # ACT/007/001 VIOLATION 1 OF 1

Nature of violation: Failure to maintain Class I road and prevent water pollution due to improper snow removal practices

Date of termination: January 15, 1986


| | <u>Proposed Assessment</u> | <u>Final Assessment</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (1) History/Prev. Vio. | <u>1</u> | <u>1</u> |
| (2) Seriousness | | |
| (a) Probability of Occurrence | <u>3</u> | <u>3</u> |
| Extent of Damage | <u>8</u> | <u>8</u> |
| (b) Hindrance to Enforcement | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| (3) Negligence | <u>7</u> | <u>4</u> |
| (4) Good Faith | <u>- 8</u> | <u>- 16</u> |
| TOTAL | <u>11</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | TOTAL ASSESSED FINE | <u>\$ 0</u> |

3. Narrative:

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

Good Faith points increased to reflect immediate compliance; negligence points decreased to reflect independent contractor action with no prior history of this type of violation to alert operator to more closely supervise operation.

Assessment Date 3-21-86


 Assessment Officer Barbara W. Roberts