

0018

Internal

C0070001

K

From: Priscilla Burton
To: OGMCOAL; Steab, Suzanne
CC: Abate, April; Helfrich, Joe
Date: 8/24/2009 3:24 PM
Subject: August Inspection Report #2098 to 007001 2009 Internal File
Place: OGMCOAL
Attachments: Insp Rpt 2098 White Oak_20090824152842.pdf

See attached report.

Priscilla Burton, CPSSc
Division Oil Gas & Mining
319 Carbonville Rd., Ste. C
Price UT 84501
(435) 613-3733



State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0070001
Inspection Type:	PARTIAL
Inspection Date:	Monday, August 17, 2009
Start Date/Time:	8/17/2009 10:30:00 AM
End Date/Time:	8/17/2009 3:30:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Wednesday, July 29, 2009

Representatives Present During the Inspection:	
OGM	Priscilla Burton Environmental Scientist III
OGM	April Abate Hydrologist

Inspector: Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Weather: partly cloudy 65 F

InspectionID Report Number: 2098

Accepted by: jhelfric
8/20/2009

Permitee: **LODESTAR ENERGY INC**
 Operator: **WILLIAM BISHOP, TRUSTEE**
 Site: **WHITE OAK MINE**
 Address: **2525 HARRODSBURG RD STE 235, LEXINGTON KY 40504-1628**
 County: **CARBON**
 Permit Type: **PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM**
 Permit Status: **RECLAIMED**

Current Acreages

3,906.00	Total Permitted
151.10	Total Disturbed
	Phase I
	Phase II
	Phase III

Mineral Ownership

- Federal
- State
- County
- Fee
- Other

Types of Operations

- Underground
- Surface
- Loadout
- Processing
- Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

Mine site field visit: Collected musk thistle seed heads and destroyed thistle plants around the parking area at the end of the asphalt. Walked location of proposed terraces and ditches shown on the latest draft of Appendix A in the contract specifications. Walked the stream channel below the site looking to note location of bedrock and channel configuration. Photos are included in project folder under folder 081709.

Inspector's Signature:

Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Inspector ID Number: 37

Date Monday, August 17, 2009

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210, PO Box 145801, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801
telephone (801) 538-5340 • facsimile (801) 359-3940 • TTY (801) 538-7458 • www.ogm.utah.gov

Permit Number: C0070001
 Inspection Type: PARTIAL
 Inspection Date: Monday, August 17, 2009

Inspection Continuation Sheet

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV Issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures

Discussed the large boulders currently strewn about the site and relocating them to the most incised sections of the stream channel to help create drop structures.

10. Slides and Other Damage

Several erosion rills were noted on the south-facing slope. Most originated where the tree line ended. Rills seemed to be incised about 3-4 feet at their deepest.

11. Contemporaneous Reclamation

April walked the length of proposed Terrace #2. The Aspen trees seem to act as a barrier to erosion, therefore is it necessary to extend this terrace below the Aspens on the east side? Our new reclamation plan proposes a ditch above Sinkhole #1 and a terrace above Sinkhole #2, why not just two ditches above each sinkhole?

12. Backfilling And Grading

The midpoints of proposed Terraces #1 and #2 is concave and will likely require a lot of backfilling to terrace this area.

The lower segment of the reclaimed channel is mostly dry, but at the downstream boundary of the disturbed area there is a spring contributing flow to Whiskey Creek.

The stream channel exposes bedrock at a distance approximately 25ft from the end of the disturbed area (see photo).

13. Revegetation

Collected musk thistle seed heads and destroyed thistle plants on the slopes around the parking area. Many thistle plants noted on undisturbed land surrounding the reclaimed site.