

10-22-80

Mary Ann,

Still need History
clearance, written
clearance from DWR,
and Health?

Naddolski, said PRCC will
be sending better map of
project, OSR has received
Harner & white veg. info,
but we haven't. Also,
banding needs to be
fixed (PRCC has suggested
\$350,000). Naddolski indicated
an approval around Nov. 1st.

Jim

New Cooper Queen

To 1900'S

Date

Time

WHILE YOU WERE OUT

M

of

Phone

- RETURNED CALL
- CALLED TO SEE YOU
- WANTS TO SEE YOU

- PLEASE CALL HIM
- PLEASE CALL HER
- WILL CALL AGAIN

Message

Operator

September 30, 1980

*Crandall - Permits
Arch Society*



SCOTT M. MATHESON
GOVERNOR

**DC
ED**

Handwritten: Harts, g Davidson, OSM, DOGM

Handwritten: Route to Mary Ann, File ACT/007/004

STATE OF UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JWS

Mr. Ken Hutchinson
Price River Coal Company
P. O. Box 629
Helper, Utah 84526

Division of
State History
(UTAH STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY)

MELVIN T. SMITH, DIRECTOR
307 WEST 2ND SOUTH
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84101
TELEPHONE 801 / 533-5755

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:

This letter reaffirms the archeological clearance conducted in Crandall Canyon for the Price River Coal Company. As you know both private property and very limited Bureau of Land Management land is involved. I have indicated to BLM that no archeological sites were identified on their land, hence clearance is recommended. They, of course, have the final say.

The three historic sites reported in my previous letter are on private land. We can only recommend to you that they are apparently important (see attached Memo from Phil Notarianni, Historian) and hope that they can be avoided in your development. If they are to be destroyed, we would like to further document them and conduct a very limited study of their significance. Again I must say that you are in no way bound to finance the further documentation. We would, of course, hope to have your cooperation.

In sum, this letter provides both a recommendation to BLM for clearance and recommendations for dealing with the historic sites on private land, if these are threatened. As far as we are concerned, you are allowed to proceed with development.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

La Mar W. Lindsey
La Mar W. Lindsey
Assistant State Archeologist

LWL:ap

Enclosure

*Zerox, w/o enclosures
OSM - DEN (9)
DOGM - Smith
Daniels*

