0003

RECEIVED
FEB 15 1991
DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

United States Department of the Interior
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

For Office Use Only

1a (Y M M) | 1b (Batch) | 1c (Report)

2. Name of Permittee

3. Street Address

4. City | 5. State

6. Zip Code | 7. Area Code | 8. Telephone Number

9. MSHA Number

10. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D)

11. State Permit Number

12. Name of Mine

13. County Code | 14. State Code | 15. Strata | 16. State Area Office

17. OSM Field Office No. | 18. OSM Area Office No. | 19. OSM Sample No. | 20. Type of Inspection (Code) | 21. Joint Inspection Yes No | 22. Inspector's ID No.

23. Status

A		Type of Permit
B		Mine Status (Code)
C		Type of Facility (Code)
D		Number of Permitted Acres
E		Number of Disturbed Acres

24. Type of Activity (check applicable boxes).

A	☐	Steep Slope	E	☐	Anthracite
B	☐	Mountain Top Removal	F	☐	Federal Lands
C	☐	Prime Farmlands	G	☐	Indian Lands
D	☐	Alluvial Valley Floors	H	☐	Other

25. Performance Standards (Codes)

Instructions: Indicate compliance code. For any standard marked 2 or 3 provide narrative to support this determination.

Standards That Limit the Effects to the Permit Area

A	☐	Distance Prohibitions
B	☐	Mining Within Permit Boundaries
C	☐	Signs and Markers
D	☐	Sediment Control Measures
E	☐	Design and Certification Requirements—Sediment Control
F	☐	Effluent Limits
G	☐	Surface Water Monitoring
H	☐	Ground Water Monitoring
I	☐	Blasting Procedures
J	☐	Haul/Access Road Design and Maintenance
K	☐	Refuse Impoundments
L	☐	Other: Specify _____

Standards That Assure Reclamation Quality and Timeliness

M	☐	Topsoil Handling
N	☐	Backfilling and Grading
O	☐	Following Reclamation Schedule
P	☐	Revegetation Requirements
Q	☐	Disposal of Excess Spoil
R	☐	Handling of Acid or Toxic Materials
S	☐	Highwall Elimination
T	☐	Downslope Spoil Disposal
U	☐	Post Mining Land Use
V	☐	Cessation of Operations: Temporary
W	☐	Other _____

United States Department of the Interior
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

26. State Permit Number ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

27. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D) ☐☐☐☐☐☐

28. Yes ☐ No ☐ Do mining and reclamation activities on the site comply with the plans in the permit? If no, provide narrative to support this determination.

29. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections conducted by the State to date for this annual review period:

29a. ☐☐ **Number of Completes** 29b. ☐☐ Number of Partials

30. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections required by the State during this annual review period:

30a. ☐☐ **Number of Completes** 30b. ☐☐ Number of Partials

31. Has inspection frequency been met?

	Yes	No			Yes	No	
31a.	☐	☐	**Completes**	31b.	☐	☐	Partials

32. FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION. [Enter violation number. Check appropriate box(es)]

	Ten-Day Notice No.	Notice of Violation No.	Cessation Order No.	Violation Codes
	☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐	☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐	☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐	
A	☐	☐	☐	Authorizations to Operate
B	☐	☐	☐	Signs and Markers
C	☐	☐	☐	Backfilling and Grading
D	☐	☐	☐	Highwall Elimination
E	☐	☐	☐	Rills and Gullies
F	☐	☐	☐	Improper Fills
G	☐	☐	☐	Topsoil Handling
H	☐	☐	☐	Sediment Ponds
I	☐	☐	☐	Effluent Limits
J	☐	☐	☐	Water Monitoring
K	☐	☐	☐	Buffer Zones
L	☐	☐	☐	Roads
M	☐	☐	☐	Dams
N	☐	☐	☐	Blasting
O	☐	☐	☐	Revegetation
P	☐	☐	☐	Spoil on the Downslope
Q	☐	☐	☐	Mining Without Permit
R	☐	☐	☐	Exceeding Permit Limits
S	☐	☐	☐	Distance Prohibitions
T	☐	☐	☐	Toxic Materials
U	☐	☐	☐	Other Violations

33. Name of Authorized Representative *(print or type)*

Signature of Authorized Representative | Date

Signature of Reviewing Official | Date

Company: Utah Fuel Company
P.O. Box 719
Helper, UT 84527

Mine: Skyline

Permit # ACT/007/005

Date: 1/23/91

Inspector: T. Zings

Inspection Type: Complete

Others: Prucilla Burton, Randy Harden, and Steve Demczak w/DOGM; Scott Robertson, Gary Peterson, and Keith Zobell w/Utah Fuel

Mine site Evaluation Inspection Report:

The MEIR form has been completed to reflect a complete oversight inspection. The number 1's in block #25 indicate that all performance standards reviewed were in compliance during the inspection. However, 3 areas of concern will be referred to the Albuquerque Field office Branch of Regulatory Programs or should be reviewed in the field when the snow cover has melted, as explained later in this report.

Records Review:

Review of Skylines water monitoring, certifications, etc. were were completed on 1/22/91. The records were in order. The mining and reclamation plan was discussed extesively and 3 potential problem areas were identified.

First, the MRP contained 25 BTCA areas that may not have been addressed in accordance with R614-301-742.110. Field review of the BTCA areas was impossible due to snow cover throughout the minesite. The BTCA areas should be reviewed during the next inspection to determine compliance with 742.110.

The Rock Disposal site was also snow covered and access was prevented.

Page 4-17A of the MRP shows the sedimentation pond at the facilities area as being eliminated during phase II reclamation. DEQ's rule R14-3-1-763.100 states that siltation structures will not be removed sooner than 2 years after the last augmented seeding. A violation of the state program has not occurred because a sedimentation pond is currently in place, and the phase II reclamation is not scheduled for many years. AFO's oversight review of DEQ's permitting actions, including hydrology, will commence the week of 2/11/96, when these type of permitting actions will be evaluated.

Also, page 4-18 of the MRP states that the "mine site will not be returned to the original contours xxx." This question of the mine plan complying with the state program will also be referred to AFO's Branch of Regulatory Programs for review during permitting oversight.

Field Inspection

The mine site and associated facilities were covered with 18" to 30" of snow. No violations or potential problems were identified. Water samples were taken from both the loadout (french drain) and the mine pond. Both samples were in compliance with NPDES effluent limits.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT
RANDOM SAMPLE MEIR SUPPLEMENT

1. Permittee: Utah Fuel Company
2. Permit Number: ACT/007/025
3. Joint Inspection |Y| Y/N 4. Date 1-23-91
5. Days since Last State Complete Inspection (LSCI) 68
6. Block 25 Categories in NON-COMPLIANCE this RSI 0
7. Total Violations this RSI 0

8. List (only once) all violations:
   1) where State enforcement was required and taken during the LSCI;
   2) recorded in the LSCI report but the State failed to take enforcement;
   3) observed during this RSI which clearly existed during the LSCI but the State failed to take enforcement; and;
   4) existing during this RSI which are not already listed under one of the categories above.

	A LAW / SPECIFIC STATE REGULATION VIOLATED	B BLOCK 25 CATEGORY	C ABATED (y/n)	D STATE ACTION	E REASON IF UNCITED	F CAUSE	G SERIOUSNESS PEO	H SERIOUSNESS IMPACT	I OSMRE ACTION	J OPTIONAL	K OPTIONAL
1.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
2.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
3.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
4.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
5.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
6.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
7.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
8.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
9.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____										
	Description:										
10.	____ /____ /____ /____ /____								2		
	Description:										

STATE ACTION
1) Existed on LSCI, cited
2) Existed on LSCI, not cited
3) Cited Prior to LSCI, Abatement Pending
4) Occurred since LSCI

STATE'S REASON FOR NOT CITING VIOLATION (AFTER DISCUSSION WITH THE STATE)
1) Not a Violation
2) Precluded by State Policy
3) Not included under State Program
4) Warning given in lieu of a Citation
5) Violation not recognized (missed)
6) Practice allowed under approved Permit
7) Too minor to cite
8) Working with Operator to Correct
9) Other: :

CAUSES
1) Permit Defect
2) Unusual Weather Conditions
3) Unofficial Waiver
4) Operator Negligence
5) Other: :

PROBABILITY OF EVENT OCCURRENCE
1) None or Unlikely
2) Likely
3) Occurred

IMPACT
Damage Remains Within the Permit Area
1) None or Minor
2) Moderate
3) Considerable
Damage Extends Beyond the Permit Area
4) None or Minor
5) Moderate
6) Considerable
Obstruction to Enforcement
7) None or Minor
8) Moderate
9) Considerable

OSMRE ACTION
1) Deferred to State Action
2) TDN issued
3) IN-CO issued
4) Previously Cited, Abatement Pending
5) Abated during or before OSMRE Inspection

22-Jan-88
02:49 PM