



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director

Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210

PO Box 145801

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801

801-538-5340

801-359-3940 (Fax)

801-538-7223 (TDD)

September 8, 1999

TO: File

THRU: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*

FROM: Michael Suflita, Senior Reclamation Hydrologist *MS*

RE: Spring Diversion, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Skyline Mine, ACT/007/005-AM99C, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

SUMMARY:

Proposed changes to Skyline Mine were received on June 16, 1999. These changes were to install a collection box and pipeline to convey natural spring water directly to a 48-inch culvert which runs under the coal storage pile and from there directly into Eccles Creek. The water presently flows under the coal pile and there is concern it can lead to spontaneous combustion in the pile. A second part of the submittal is to install two sediment collection basins to reduce sediment and coal fines accumulation in the sediment pond. The Division issued a TA with deficiencies on August 12, 1999 and the Applicant responded on Sept 2, 1999. This TA is a response to that last submittal.

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS:

OPERATION PLAN

HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: R645-301-742

Analysis:

Diversions

Presently there is a spring flowing directly under the coal storage pile from a natural

spring at the base of the hill North of the pile. With recent increased pile retention times, there is a concern that the wetted coal could spontaneously combust and start a fire. Vapors and smoke have been observed. Therefore the Operator wants to develop the spring and convey the water in a 4-inch pipe a distance of 285-feet, to the 48-inch culvert that runs beneath the pile. The proposal is to dig a hole in the ground at the spring and install a concrete box that is open at the back, fill it with 2-inch washed gravel, and simply pipe the water away. There is a filter cloth over the box to provide protection from coal fines and sediment from being carried into the pipe and then directly into Eccles Creek.

There is also a small catchment basin to trap fines that might enter the system. This assembly has a top to allow inspection and possible clean out. This will allow the Operator to check and assure that coal fines and sediment are not being carried into the pipe. The pipe appears to be adequately sized for the anticipated spring flows.

The spring water would naturally flow to Eccles Creek if the minesite were not there. The present flow through the coal pile and across the minesite degrades the water quality by adding coal fines and sediment which are later removed in the sediment pond. The proposed development box and pipeline would result in reduced sediment pond hydraulic and sediment loading. With a properly constructed box, the spring water would flow directly and cleanly into Eccles Creek. With the flow going into the culvert there was consideration to adding a new UPDES discharge point. However, since the pipe simply conveys a natural flow around or through the coal pile, this was deemed unnecessary. This judgement is based on the spring diversion system not picking up any coal fines or other pollutants from the disturbed area. Incidentally, there are other springs in the disturbed area which have this same diversion situation and have been previously approved. A call to Mike Herkimer of the Utah Department of Environmental Quality confirmed that this determination is appropriate. Similarly, there is no need to establish a water right since the water is not being put to beneficial use. It's simply running to its natural drainage by a different route.

The proposed reinforced concrete sediment traps should be a positive addition to the minesite. They are expected to trap a significant portion of the coal fines which presently flow into the sediment pond. These coal fines result from cleaning of the coal conveyor belt that runs the length of the minesite and are a major source of loading on the sediment pond. The sediment traps are much easier and less costly to clean out and are expected to improve sediment pond performance and reduce maintenance costs.

Both traps are 21-feet long with the one at the east end of the pond, designated Crusher Sediment Basin, being 6-feet wide and the one at the west end of the pond, designated Silo Sediment Basin, being 10-feet wide. Each has three, removable, 1-inch steel baffles to compartmentalize and slow the water to allow fine material to settle out. Overall sizing was based on a similar sediment basin located at the loadout of the mine. In the direction of flow, the first baffle has 23, 2-inch holes to allow water passage, the second baffle has a 1-foot wide,

Spring Diversion
ACT/007/005-AM99C
August 12, 1999
Page 3

8-inch deep notch on one side at the top and the third baffle has a similar notch on the opposite side. Removing the baffles facilitates cleanout of the basin.

The drawings are stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer. The amendment pages are clearly numbered showing where they are to be inserted into the Mining and Reclamation Plan.

Findings:

Information provided in the proposed amendment is considered adequate to meet the requirement of this section.

RECOMMENDATION:

The ammendment can be approved in its present form.

cc: Mike Herkimer, DEQ
O:\007005.SKY\FINAL\SPRGDIVR.WPD