

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

February 4, 2004

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist III

RE: 2000 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Skyline Mine, C/007/0005-WQ00-2, Task ID #976

- 1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

All required 'Spring' sampling was conducted in the month of June.

- 2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.**
Renewal of the permit is April 30, 2002. The MRP makes no commitment to sampling baseline water parameters one year prior to the renewal date. No baseline parameters are even outlined. Operational parameters are the only parameters ever collected.

Permit Renewal date 30Apr02

- 3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES [x] NO []

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [x] NO []

Spring S10-1 had exceptionally low TDS value of 50 mg/l. Spring S17-2 had a high SO4 value of 240 mg/l. Both of these values were greater than two standard deviations from the norm. Static ground water elevation Well 79-10-1B dropped in excess of 7-feet.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [x] NO []
2nd month, YES [x] NO []
3rd month, YES [x] NO []

All DMR information was submitted electronically. Flow values for the discharge from the Mine Portal area was missing, but the Operator made a commitment to submit the information ASAP.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [x] NO []

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [] NO [x]

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No further action is necessary for the 2000 00-2 (2nd) Quarter Water Monitoring data.