

From: Gregg Galecki
To: C Hansen
Date: 4/12/04 11:54AM
Subject: PHC submittal

Chris,
Attached is a list of questions on the current submittal (ie. pre-tech memo).

Please Review.

Gregg

April 12, 2004

To: Chris Hansen
Fr: Gregg Galecki
Re: Questions on PHC submittal

Chris, the following is intended to be short and brief questions/comments prior to me making my tech memo; hopefully my thoughts are adequately complete to make sense. Look at the list and we can discuss. My due date is 22Apr04 so would like to have them addressed ASAP. The following citations use pagination of the current redline submittal.

- Pg. 2-34 Para 1; 24 springs not 23
- Pg. 2-35c; TU discussion; S24-1 (Sulfur Spring) should be included
- EL-1 not on Water Monitoring Map
- Site ELD-1 not discussed in text
- Pg. 2-36; sites VC-11 and VC-12, why protocol 13 instead of 11?
- Protocol #5 never used (would like to see it on JC-1 and JC-3)
- Pg. 2-36a; JC-1 protocol missing 14, and 4
- Pg. 2-36a; JC-3 missing 4
- Pg 2-38; Stream Stations missing EL-1 and EL-2
- PHC a-6; "Table PHC A-1" at end of sentence-
- PHC A-25; drawdown discussion seems outdated due to current information (i.e 1060 days is ~ May 2005. Current data shows the same site has shown 6' drop in 439 days or 0.014' day).
- PHC A-31, para2; define 'currently' and make comparable to E. Lake (give in gpm or E.Lake in ac-ft)
- PHC A-32, para1; appears to be in direct conflict with PHC-14 which says high Mg and Cl in Mine and below. Is Mg and Cl in-mine concentrations changing? We aren't doing WQ are we?
- PHC deficiency 8) doesn't seem like it has been addressed (on PHC a-32)

I think that's it. Only 14 (for now).