

From: Dale Harber <dharber@fs.fed.us>
To: <pamgrubaughlittig@utah.gov>
Date: 10/17/2005 3:31:30 PM
Subject: Another comment on Winter Quarters

Pam:

Here is the comment I forgot to put in the list last week. It is from Julie Gott.

Map 4.17.1-1

"Even at 2X vertical exaggeration, showing the changes in stream profile over a span of 1.5 miles visually minimizes the perception of potential effect. Nowhere is this balanced by a discussion of channel processes, the interrelationship of gradient, velocity, stream power, or sediment transport capacity, etc. There is no process-based logic for the contention that channel subsidence won't matter. This figure is misleading without more information."

Please call me if you have any questions.

Dale

CC: Dale Harber <dharber@fs.fed.us>