

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/007/006

File Name: Internal

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company N/A

Date Sent: MAY 3, 1982

Explanation:

INSPECTION MEMO TO COAL FILE

cc:

File in: C/007, 006, Internal

Refer to:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Date _____ For additional information

May 3, 1982

Inspection Memo
to Coal File:

RE: Plateau Mining Company
Plateau Mine
ACT/007/006
Carbon County, Utah

A partial inspection of the above-mentioned mine operation was conducted by Sandy Pruitt on April 7, 1982, in follow-up to concerns noted during a complete inspection on February 17, 18, 1982. John Rosner accompanied the inspector in Mel Coonrod's absence.

Snow cover had melted off the south facing slopes within the mine area. Several runoff control problems were still evident despite prior warnings concerning each particular area of noncompliance (refer to memo dated February 24, 1982). The effort initiated in answer to the warnings did not address the problem adequately, so NOV #1 (N82-2-3-1) was issued for failure to design, construct and maintain undisturbed drainage diversions to prevent additional contributions of suspended solids to runoff outside the permit area (UCA 40-10-18[2][i][ii], UMC 817.43[c], UMC 817.45).

Area #1:

Drop drain #3 is in close proximity to the rock dust tank under construction. The approved plan states that an earthen berm will protect the undisturbed drainage from contamination. At the time of the citation, a berm was not in place, neither were any temporary runoff control measures implemented for the construction period.

Area #2:

The stacker #2 pad drainage would flow onto an old road from the pad down into the undisturbed drainage directly below. A berm had been constructed across the road previously to contain disturbed area runoff, but it had been removed at the time of the inspection.

Area #3:

Diversion ditch #12 had not been lined with energy dissipators as required. Nylon netting was secured onto the walls of the ditch to minimize sloughage, but no provisions were implemented to minimize sediment loading of runoff draining in the bottom of the ditch. As the placement of catchment basins, riprap material or some other type of channel lining would probably tear or loosen the nylon net it is unlikely that PMC had plans to address the problem any further as required under UMC 817.43(c).

File in:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Refer to Record No. 0013 Date _____

In C/ 007, 006 Internal _____

For additional information