



0023

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Wildlife Resources

Cons. File 40 K. Mutz
ACT/007/006 #13
Norman H. Bangertor, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
William H. Geer, Division Director

Southeastern Region • 455 West Railroad Avenue • Price, UT 84501-2829 • 801-637-3310

May 29, 1986

RECEIVED
JUN 02 1986

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

Mr. Ben Grimes
Plateau Mining Company
P.O. Drawer PMC
Price UT 84501

Dear Ben:

In regard to your recent inquiry concerning the relationship of subsidence from coal mining operations to wildlife, the following is offered for your information. Generally speaking, the effects of subsidence on wildlife lie in two general arenas: hydrologic ecosystems and terrestrial ecosystems.

Subsidence can result in drying up of impounded water bodies or modification to flows at seeps, springs, perennial or even intermittent channels. This can result from the capture in subsidence cracks of water and its resultant migration into other geological strata. Some strata may not allow water to discharge to the surface. Such an impact can have serious consequence to a local area's wildlife in that drinking water may become reduced in value or unavailable to terrestrial animals.

Seeps or springs providing flow during periods when wildlife are present represent a critical valued resource to all of the local areas wildlife. Most wildlife have small and limited home ranges. As a result, when one of these critical valued aquatic resources is lost, the animal does not have the physical capability of "packing his bags" and moving to another area of acceptable habitat. Those few species that have such a physical capability usually find the home ranges in adjoining areas already filled to capacity. It is for that reason that the Division holds firm to the philosophy that each and every seep and spring is a critical resource for wildlife.

In the event that coal mining results in subsidence that impacts the flows at seeps and springs, mitigation is anticipated. An impact would be deemed substantial if the daily flow from a seep or spring was reduced by 50% or more. Mitigation that would be expected is simply the replacement of the water. Unquestionably, there would be many techniques that could achieve this goal; guzzlers are considered to be the most effective technique. They should be fenced with a 3-rail/pole fence having a maximum height of 42 inches. Clearance between the ground and the bottom pole, as well as space between the top two poles, should be at least 14 inches. This will allow passage of wildlife while disallowing domestic livestock.

Ben Grimes
Page 2
May 29, 1986

Aquatic life, particularly hydrophytes, invertebrates, mollusks and fishes could suffer due to reduced or lost flows. It is even possible that subsidence fractures could expose undesirable mineral deposits to aquatic systems. If such situations were to occur, aquatic and terrestrial animals would either perish or be forced to reduce the size of their use areas. Ultimately, the carrying capacity of the area for wildlife would be reduced.

Increased flows resulting from subsidence that may appear as a benefit could in actuality mean that some other aquatic system has lost flows. But, benefits are possible if subsidence cracks access an isolated hydrologic basin.

Reduced or lost flows in surface water systems and ground water systems can negatively impact terrestrial habitats. Mesic habitats (riparian, wetland and aspen types) associated with those systems could be degraded by the reduction or loss of water. In all ecological situations (desert, submontane and montane) riparian or wetland ecosystems due to their high level of biological productivity, limited acreage and intense use by wildlife, represent a critical valued habitat. Similar comments can be made for the aspen habitat in the montane ecological situation.

Beyond problems associated with aquatic systems, subsidence impacts to terrestrial wildlife and habitats are primarily associated with surface movement of the earth. However, methane gas has been known to travel along subsidence fractures to the surface. The escaping gas affects rhizobium in the soil and can kill adjacent rhizobium dependent vegetation.

The surface cracks alone have little impact on wildlife. It is possible that individual animals could perish in some of these holes or cracks. It has even been suggested that the movement in the earth could collapse the burrows of small rodents, thus trapping and killing them. At this point in time, I doubt whether that is a valid concern in that small rodents are extremely abundant. Since subsidence occurs over such small and limited areas, impacts to rodents would not be of consequence. Most rodents probably have trouble with their burrows caving in at times anyway. Thus, they are adapted to digging around such cave-ins. It is likely that cracks and surface displacement created by subsidence represent escape cover for small animals, and to some degree, access points for burrowing animals.

Subsidence has caused escarpment failures. When raptor nests exist in the escarpments, such failures would be of negative consequence, since raptors typically return to reuse their nests, over the years. Where escarpment failure occurs and there are no raptor nests, such failure could create suitable raptor nesting habitat.


Many surface displacement lines from subsidence in Utah's coal mining areas are utilized extensively by big game as travel corridors. These fracture

Ben Grimes
Page 3
May 29, 1986

lines, once they become filled in, represent a flat trail on which the animals can easily walk around the contours of a mountain or across ridge tops.

It is hoped that the aforementioned information will prove useful to you in your mining endeavors. If the Division can be of any further service, please coordinate as appropriate with the Southeastern Region's Resource Analyst, Larry Dalton (Telephone 637-3310).

Sincerely,



John Livesay, Supervisor
Southeastern Region

JL/LBD/dd

cc: Darrell Nish, SLO
DOGM (Kathy Mutz)