



STATE OF UTAH  
NATURAL RESOURCES  
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor  
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director  
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

February 5, 1988

Mr. Ben Grimes  
Senior Environmental Coordinator  
Plateau Mining Company  
P. O. Drawer P M C  
Price, Utah 84501

Dear Mr. Grimes:

Re: Review of Stipulation Responses for the Starpoint Mine  
Five-Year Renewal/New Lands Permit, Plateau Mining Company,  
Starpoint Mine, ACT/007/006, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

The Division has completed the review of the materials submitted on October 27, 1987 in response to the stipulations attached to the Division's permit issued for the Starpoint Mines on August 7, 1987.

The following is a synopsis of the status of each response. Attached are technical memos compiled by the technical specialist assigned to review each stipulation. The technical memos provide the detailed description of what is necessary for those stipulations which have not been adequately responded to.

PLATEAU STARPOINT STIPULATIONS  
Status 2-5-88

<u>Stipulation Number</u>	<u>Status</u>
UMC 771.19-(1)-(JW)	Response adequate
UMC 771.19-(2)-(JW)	Response adequate
UMC 782.15-(1)-(JW)	Response adequate

Plateau Starpoint Stipulations  
Status 2-5-88 (cont'd.)

<u>Stipulation Number</u>	<u>Status</u>
UMC 817.11-(1)-(PGL)	Response adequate
UMC 817.24-(1)-(DD)	Response adequate
UMC 817.43-(1)-(TM)	Response not adequate. Monitoring program needed for 26 culverts and outlets. Field verification by DOGM needed on 19 culverts and outlets. Calculations for diversions in Table 77A are adequate (see tech memo)
UMC 817.44-(1)-(TM)	Response not adequate (see tech. memo)
UMC 817.45-47-(1)-(TM)	Field inspection by DOGM needed to grant variances
UMC 817.45-47-(2)-(TM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.45-47-(3)-(TM)	Response not adequate. Silt fence info not submitted. Sediment trap detailed designs not submitted (see tech memo)
UMC 817.49-(1)-(PGL)	No response required
UMC 817.52-(1)-(TM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.52-(2)-(TM)(a)	Response adequate
UMC 817.52-(2)-(TM)(b)	Response not adequate. Revised parameter list needed-Table 81 & 82 (see tech memo)
UMC 817.52-(3)-(TM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.52-(4)-(TM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.57-(1)-(TM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.57-(2)-(TM)	Response adequate

Page 3  
Ben Grimes  
Starpoint Stipulations Status  
February 2, 1988

Plateau Starpoint Stipulations  
Status 2-5-88 (cont'd.)

<u>Stipulation Number</u>	<u>Status</u>
UMC 817.71-74-(1)-PGL/RVS)	Response not adequate (see tech memo)
UMC 817.101-(1)-(PGL)	Response not adequate (see tech memo)
UMC 817.121-(1)-(RVS)	Response adequate (see DOGM letter of September 9, 1987)
UMC 817.124-(1)-(KMM)	Response adequate
UMC 817.126-(1)-(RVS)	Response adequate
UMC 817.126-(2)-(RVS)	Response adequate
UMC 817.150-176-(1)-(PGL)	Response not adequate. Revised Table 69 & Exhibit 36 required (see tech memo)

Please assure that the responses to the inadequately addressed stipulations except UMC 817.43 and .45 are received by the Division no later than March 18, 1988. Tom Munson, Division Hydrologist, will be contacting you to set up the field visit needed for stipulations UMC 817.43-.45. Additionally, please submit updated text, tables and maps for all stipulations which have been adequately addressed, by April 29, 1988.

Feel free to contact me or the individual technical review staff member if you should have any question on this matter.

Sincerely,



John J. Whitehead  
Permit Supervisor/  
Reclamation Hydrologist

djh  
Attachment(s)  
cc: L. Braxton  
P. Grubaugh-Littig  
0800R/39