0002

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

3 Triad Center · Suite 360 · Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 · (801) 538-5340



# inspection report

☑ Partial
☐ Complete
☐ Exploration

Inspection Date: December 15, 1998
Time: 8 :00 ☑am ☐pm to 10 :30 ☑am ☐pm
Date of Last Inspection: 11/20/98

Mine Name: Starpoint County: Carbon Permit Number: ACT007/006
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Cyprus Plateau Mining Corporation
Business Address: 847 Northwest Highway 191, Helper, UT 84526
Type of Mining Activity: ☑ Underground ☐ Surface ☐ Prep. Plant ☐ Other
State Officials(s): Bill Malencik
Company Official(s): Merlin Moorman
Federal Official(s): NA
Weather Conditions: Clear / Cold / 40°s
Existing Acreage: Permitted-9060 Disturbed-220 Regraded-_____ Seeded-_____ Bonded-220
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-_____ Disturbed-_____ Regraded-_____ Seeded-_____ Bonded-_____
Status: ☐ Exploration / ☑ Active / ☐ Inactive / ☐ Temporary Cessation / ☐ Bond Forfeiture
☐ Reclamation (☐ Phase I / ☐ Phase II / ☐ Final Bond Release / ☐ Liability_____Year)

## REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

| | EVALUATED | N/A | COMMENTS | NOV/ENF |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 3. TOPSOIL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE: | | | | |
| a. DIVERSIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| d. WATER MONITORING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5. EXPLOSIVES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8. NONCOAL WASTE | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 13. REVEGETATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 16. ROADS: | | | | |
| a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)_____(date) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 21. BONDING & INSURANCE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 22. Other highwall | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 12/15/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments. The most significant item concerns Plateau/Willow Creek management action that were the direct outgrowth of the Willow Creek Mine fire. The fire took place the evening of November 25, 1998. Part of the workforce was relocated from the Willow Creek Mine to the Starpoint Mine. Forgot to mention no miners were killed nor injured as a result of the fire.

The Starpoint accelerated coal production is needed to, in part, make up for the loss of coal production at the Willow Creek Mine.

Signs & Markers. The required ID signs, topsoil signs, disturbed markers and MSHA refuse pile signs are in place as required by the coal rules and MSHA regulations.

Diversions. The mine has received several small snow storms. With the freezing weather most diversions are not running water primarily because of the cold weather.

Sediment Pond. Pond #9 contained runoff and was stable with the top covered with ice.

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 12/15/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Was advised by Mr. Helfrich to request that Mr. Pappas submit a permit change on the ceased Starpoint longwall. As I recall notified Mr. Pappas the day after a similar message was given to Mr. Zimbowski (Dec. 8 & 9, 1998)

For the record I have not been involved in any formal nor informal discussions on this matter until 12/9/98 discussion with Mr. Pappas i.e. Starpoint Longwall matter.

When I requested an amendment, I sensed a reluctance on the part of Mr Pappas to submit an amendment based on a verbal request. Therefore, suggest that a Division Order be issued to Starpoint Mine / Cyprus Plateau Mining Corporation to formalize this matter, providing this is the policy of the Division at this point in time.

Copy of report mailed to CPMC / John Pappas; OSM / Henry Austin; DOGM / Joe Helfrich

Copy of report given to Price Field Office

Inspector's signature [signature] No. 26

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE / OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
3 Triad Center · Suite 350 · Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 · (801) 538-5340



# inspection report

☑ Partial
☐ Complete
☐ Exploration

Inspection Date: October 16, 1998
Time: 9 : 00 ☑am ☐pm to 2 : 00 ☐am ☑pm
Date of Last Inspection: 9/9/98

Mine Name: Starpoint County: Carbon Permit Number: ACT 007/006
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Cyprus Plateau Mining Corporation
Business Address: 847 Northwest Highway 191, Helper, UT 84526
Type of Mining Activity: ☑ Underground ☐ Surface ☐ Prep. Plant ☐ Other
State Officials(s): Bill Malencik
Company Official(s): John Pappas and Bob Fox, New Mine Mgr.
Federal Official(s): N/A
Weather Conditions: Pt Cloudy - Dusting Of Snow
Existing Acreage: Permitted-9,060 Disturbed-220 Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-220
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-____ Disturbed-____ Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-____
Status: ☐ Exploration / ☑ Active / ☐ Inactive / ☐ Temporary Cessation / ☐ Bond Forfeiture
☐ Reclamation (☐ Phase I / ☐ Phase II / ☐ Final Bond Release / ☐ Liability____Year)

## REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

| | EVALUATED | N/A | COMMENTS | NOV/ENF |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. TOPSOIL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE: | | | | |
| a. DIVERSIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| d. WATER MONITORING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5. EXPLOSIVES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8. NONCOAL WASTE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 13. REVEGETATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 16. ROADS: | | | | |
| a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)____(date) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 21. BONDING & INSURANCE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. ACT 007/006

Inspection Date 10/16/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments: A partial inspection was completed. Mr. Pappas per our understanding arranged a meeting with the new Starpoint Mine Mgr. The purpose of the meeting was to exchange information. Three major items were discussed or as follows (1) Prior style of environmental operation & changes (2) Personnel knowledge about the environment and (3) What can we do to help the new mine manager with keeping the mine in environmental compliance and reach the goal of the current mine action plan to achieve zero violations within a twelve month period.

Had a good meeting with Mr. Fox & Mr. Pappas, Mr. Fox stated to Mr. Pappas that he wanted to accompany the undersigned and Mr. Pappas on the next inspection.

Diversions: Some of the backbone diversions were cleaned since the last inspection. We pointed out to Mr. Fox the need to inspect all diversions and do preventative fall maintenance. This would postpone

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No Act 007/006

Inspection Date 10/16/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

the ditches, culverts and berms so that spring runoff can be accomodated without the routine necessity of performing maintenance in the spring when conditions are not favorable nor conducive for maintenace with mine equipment

Sediment Ponds: All sediment ponds that were targeted to be cleaned have been completed.

Alternative Sediment Control: Several check dams and silt fences along the Lion Dick road have been maintained since the last inspection.

Refuse Pile: The refuse pile has recently been regraded. Since the mine is not washing coal only cleanout material is being stored on the pile

Copy of report mailed to CDC/John Pappas; OSM/Henry Austin; DOGM/Joe Helfrich

Copy of report given to Price Field Office

Inspector's signature Jim J. Smalenick No. 26

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
3 Triad Center · Suite 350 · Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 · (801) 538-5340



# inspection report

☐ Partial
☑ Complete
☐ Exploration

Inspection Date: November 20, 1998
Time: 8 :00 ☐am ☐pm to 4 :00 ☐am ☑pm
Date of Last Inspection: 10/16/98

Mine Name: Starpoint County: Carbon Permit Number: ACT007/006
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Cyprus Plateau Mining Corporation
Business Address: 847 Northwest Highway 191, Helper, UT 84526
Type of Mining Activity: ☑ Underground ☐ Surface ☐ Prep. Plant ☐ Other
State Officials(s): Bill Malencik
Company Official(s): John Pappas, Bob Fox Mine Mgr, Rex Alger
Federal Official(s): N/A
Weather Conditions: Pt. Cloudy, Temp 50°
Existing Acreage: Permitted-9,060 Disturbed-220 Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-220
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-____ Disturbed-____ Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-____
Status: ☐ Exploration / ☑ Active / ☐ Inactive / ☐ Temporary Cessation / ☐ Bond Forfeiture
☐ Reclamation (☐ Phase I / ☐ Phase II / ☐ Final Bond Release / ☐ Liability____Year)

## REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

| | EVALUATED | N/A | COMMENTS | NOV/ENF |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. TOPSOIL | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE: | | | | |
| a. DIVERSIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| d. WATER MONITORING | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 5. EXPLOSIVES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 8. NONCOAL WASTE | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 13. REVEGETATION | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 16. ROADS: | | | | |
| a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)____(date) | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 21. BONDING & INSURANCE | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 11/20/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments The recently appointed Starpoint Mgr accompanied the undersigned and other mine officials on the field portion of the inspection. Other mine officials participating on the instant inspection included Merlin Moorseman, Rex Alger, and Johnny Pappas.

To summarize the field inspection the mine had completed its fall preventative maintenance program. A couple of drop drains had some sediment buildup adjacent to the drains; however, the drains were open. With 80+ culverts, numerous drop drains, berms and sixteen miles of ditch; the runoff conveyance was in excellent shape going into the winter. Also ponds have been cleaned.

Appreciate Mr Fox's interest in making a walk-over of the disturbed area and, moreover, taking the time to observe in the field environmental control measures on the upper, middle and lower surface mine areas. He was accompanied by key staff.

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

DOGM-IR-2

an equal opportunity employer

11/86 001049

## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 11/20/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

From an inspector's perspective it is axiomatic that mine managers with deep seated interests in safety, environment and production will be observed by the workers. The employees will transulate such a showing into their daily work habits and responsibilities.

Conversely if the crew only observes the mine manager on production problems in the field and not on the other two items this will reflect how the employees see the work priorities. It should be mentioned, to employees, the environment may be a harder sell than safety.

Records The mandatory records were reviewed as required by the Utah Coal Rules. All the required records were reviewed, some of which are highlighted below:

- MRP reclamation plan was updated and recently approved by the Division
- Permits On Record include
  DOGM
  MSHA

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 11/20/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

UPDES

Land/Surface Estate leases, BLM, SITLA, USFS

Air Quality Order

- Bonding & Insurance Documents Are Current
- Certified maps & designs are on file in the mine copy of the MRP.
- Water monitoring for the third quarter was submitted to the Division on 11/18/98
- UPDES discharge reports were submitted to DEQ & DOGM for the last reporting period
- Inspections & Reports Conducted By Mine Officials
  - Impoundment
    - Annual 2/6/98
    - Quarterly, fourth quarter 10/11/98
  - Refuse Pile
    - Annual 2/18/98 - submitted to DOGM with annual report
    - Quarterly 9/24/98, copy submitted to Division
- Annual Report On file at mine site and submitted to the Division

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 007/006

Inspection Date 11/20/98

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Field

Diversions on the mine site had recently been maintained

Impoundments, nine in number were observed and all had adequate sediment capacity

Refuse Pile has recently been graded and several small areas are damp, but no standing water was observed.

Roads were in a state of good repair

Coal Waste was confined to approved storage areas. Mr. Fox mentioned he had cautioned employees to keep the parking lot clean of litter, coffee cups, pop cans etc.

Special Note To Mine Mgr. Please do not construe my comments herein on page 1&2 that is in anyway critical of your style of operation. However, I would be less than truthful if I did say "appreciate Messrs Fox Pappas, Mooseman & Algers interest in their mine environmental efforts.

Copy of report mailed to CAMC/John Pappas; OSM/Henry Austin; DOGM/Joe Helfrich

Copy of report given to Price Field Office

Inspector's signature [signature] No. 26

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

# State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
(801) 538-5340
(801) 359-3940 (Fax)

## INSPECTION REPORT

Partial: XXX Complete:__ Exploration:__
Inspection Date & Time: 9/9/98 / 7:30a.m.-1:30p.m.
Date of Last Inspection: 8/21/98

Mine Name: Starpoint Mine County: Carbon Permit Number: **ACT/007/006**
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Cyprus Plateau Mining Corporation
Business Address: 847 Northwest Highway 191, Helper, Utah 84526
Type of Mining Activity: Underground XXX Surface__ Prep. Plant__ Other__
Company Official(s): Johnny Pappas
State Officials(s): Bill Malencik Federal Official(s): None
Weather Conditions: Clear
Existing Acreage: Permitted- 9,060 Disturbed- 220 Regraded-_ Seeded-_ Bonded- 220
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-___ Disturbed-___ Regraded-___ Seeded-___ Bonded-___
Status: _Exploration/_XXX_Active/___Inactive/__Temporary Cessation/__Bond Forfeiture
Reclamation (__Phase I/__Phase II/__Final Bond Release/__Liability_____Year)

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

| | | EVALUATED | N/A | COMMENTS | NOV/ENF |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 2. | SIGNS AND MARKERS | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| 3. | TOPSOIL | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| 4. | HYDROLOGIC BALANCE: | | | | |
| a. | DIVERSIONS | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| b. | SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| c. | OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| d. | WATER MONITORING | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| e. | EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 5. | EXPLOSIVES | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 6. | DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 7. | COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS | [XX] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 8. | NONCOAL WASTE | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 9. | PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 10. | SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 11. | CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 12. | BACKFILLING AND GRADING | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 13. | REVEGETATION | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 14. | SUBSIDENCE CONTROL | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 15. | CESSATION OF OPERATIONS | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 16. | ROADS: | | | | |
| a. | CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |
| b. | DRAINAGE CONTROLS | [XX] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 17. | OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 18. | SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS | [XX] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 19. | AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)_____(date) | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 20. | AIR QUALITY PERMIT | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 21. | BONDING & INSURANCE | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] | [ ] |
| 22. | CITIZEN COMPLAINT / STARPOINT SPRING | [XX] | [ ] | [XX] | [ ] |

PERMIT NUMBER: **ACT/007/006** DATE OF INSPECTION: 9/9/98

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

General Comments

The inspection was a partial and it was completed before the meeting Mr. Helfrich scheduled with the water users, USFS, and mine officials.

2. Signs and Markers

Signs and markers were in place as required by the Utah Coal Rules.

3. Topsoil

Observed the topsoil adjacent to the transfer building. The area is fenced and the runoff goes to and is treated by pond #7. Grass on the topsoil pile has reached the seed stage.

4a. Diversions

Diversions on the tipple area and upper pad were observed. All diversions showed evidence of recent flows; however, no short circuiting was observed.

4b. Sediment Ponds

Sediment ponds #9 and #1 were observed, all contained runoff and were not discharging.

16a. Roads

The road to the main office, ditches, and silt fences had recently been maintained.

22. Citizen Complaint/Starpoint Spring

Messrs. Jensen and McElprang, representing the Huntington/Cleveland Irrigation Company and President Huntington Cattle Association, respectively, wrote a letter to Mr. Braxton, Acting Director, DOGM, about the Starpoint Spring. The Division considered the letter to be a Citizen Complaint under the Utah Coal Law, UCA 40-10-22.

A preliminary meeting was held with the aforementioned individuals on August 27, 1998. At that meeting, it was concluded that a field meeting would be held. Division personnel that were at the August 27, 1998 meeting included: Joe Helfrich, Bill Malencik, Daron Haddock, and Wayne Western.

A field meeting was held on September 9, 1998. All of the aforenamed attended the meeting in addition to: Pool Rider, Mr. Gordon; Starpoint Mine, Johnny Pappas; DOGM, Sharon Falvey, Jim Smith, and Dave Darby.

PERMIT NUMBER: **ACT/007/006** DATE OF INSPECTION: 9/9/98

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

My conclusions and/or what was agreed to follows:

Item 1: Neither the mine official nor the water users mentioned herein, provided any hard data to show whether mining did or did not cause the spring to stop flowing.

Item 2: The spring collection system, trough, nor the log fence protecting the spring shows any signs of maintenance. The spring may have gone dry because of the failure to perform maintenance. Also, no information exists on how the spring was developed, IE., collection system, etc.

Item 3: Coal was mined beneath the spring. Sharon Falvey mentioned to the group that the mined coal bed lies about 1200 feet below the spring.

Item 4: I could not definitively state, at this time, whether items (2), (3), some other reason, or a combination of reasons caused the spring to stop flowing.

Item 5: A tentative understanding was reached among the water users, mine officials, and the USFS official, and brokered by DOGM that:

a) the water users and the mine would maintain/re-develop the spring.
b) if (a) is not successful, a livestock/wildlife water reservoir would be constructed in the same spring service area.
c) maintenance/re-development is an ongoing responsibility of the livestock permittee and requires no formal plan as was briefly discussed by USFS.
d) the permittee ASAP will prepare a livestock/wildlife reservoir plan and design in the event c) above is not successful.
e) in addition to above, the mine would clean an existing reservoir fed by a spring.

Item 6: Mr. Pappas stated he would contact his management to determine if the mine management would concur with the proposal and participate in this cooperative effort.

Item 7: The water users stated that they would hold their "citizen complaint" in abeyance in light of the understandings reached on items (5) and (6) above, and when water is re-developed or developed, the citizen complaint will be withdrawn. Should (5) and (6) be unsuccessful, as determined by the water users, they may re-activate their complaint and provide any additional facts to support their initial allegation.

Follow-up

-Mr. Pappas contact his management for their concurrence.

**INSPECTION REPORT**
(Continuation sheet)



PERMIT NUMBER: **ACT/007/006** DATE OF INSPECTION: 9/9/98

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

Follow-up (continued)

-Water users make arrangements with USFS officials to:

a) maintain/re-develop the Starpoint Spring, and at the same time,

b) submit a plan and design to USFS for a reservoir should a) not be successful. This will provide for the reservoir to be constructed while the equipment is in the area and not bring an additional delay if the spring efforts are unsuccessful.

-Should any of the principal parties believe this does not reflect the understandings, please notify Mr. Helfrich, together with the mine, water user officials, and the undersigned with your suggested changes.

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: Johnny Pappas (Plateau) Henry Austin (OSM)

Given to: Joe Helfrich (DOGM) Filed to: Price Field Office

Date: September 17, 1998

Inspector's Signature: Wm. J. Malencik #26

Wm. J. Malencik

sd

enclosures: Water Users Letter
Helfrich Sketch Map