

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

April 5, 2004

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: David W. Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2000, 2nd Quarter Water Monitoring, Star Point Mine, C/007/0006-WQ00-2, Task #919

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X]

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

This report was prepared based on information in File: O:\007006.stp\Water Quality\datacheck2000-4.xls. Table 731.211a in the MRP (Ground and Surface water monitoring schedule for 2000) identifies the monitoring schedule.

Birch Spring and Big Bear Spring are suppose to be monitored on a quarterly basis. There was no information reported for the second quarter. All other springs are monitored from May through September. Most springs are monitored for quality two times per year. If weather conditions do not allow the Operator to monitor in April, water quality samples are to be collected in May. As identified in the file, no data has been reported for most of the spring data was not reported either in April or May. The operator did not send in a notice to the Division to identify failed attempts at access.

Stream data was not reported for April or May of the second quarter.

There was no data reported for the UPDES sites during May or June of the second quarter, even though it was required on a monthly basis.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date

Is not specified

- 3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

For the springs.

- 4. Were irregularities found in the data?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no irregularities found in the data submitted.

- 5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?**

1st month, YES [] NO [X]
2nd month, YES [] NO [X]
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

No data was reported for the UPDES sites in May and June. No discharges were recorded for April or May.

- 6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharges recorded.

- 7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?** YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

As identified in Sections 1 and 6.

- 8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?**

The inspector should check with the operator to see if the data is available, and get the operator to submit it into the Divisions water quality database.