

FIFTH ADDENDUM TO THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS  
DATED JUNE 25, 1975  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, LESSEE  
NATURAL GAS CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA, DESIGNATED OPERATOR  
PROPOSED EXPLORATION DRILLING, 1978

FEDERAL COAL LEASES  
U-07746  
U-089096

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY  
CONSERVATION DIVISION  
OFFICE OF THE AREA MINING SUPERVISOR

PREPARED BY  
ALLEN L. VANCE  
MINING ENGINEER  
SEPTEMBER 29, 1978

JACKSON W. MOFFITT  
AREA MINING SUPERVISOR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

U-07746, et al.

	<u>Page</u>
1. Description of the Proposed Action	1
2. Environmental Considerations of the Proposed Action	1
3. Alternative to the Proposed Action	1
4. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effect of the Proposed Action	1
5. Controversial Issues and Conservation Division Responses	1
6. Determination	1

APPENDICES

- a. Proposal: letter, <sup>?</sup>Sunday Notices, maps
- b. Archeological clearance

FIFTH ADDENDUM TO THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS  
DATED JUNE 25, 1975  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, LESSEE  
NATURAL GAS CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA, DESIGNATED OPERATOR  
PROPOSED EXPLORATION DRILLING, 1978

1. Description of the Proposed Action

On September 15, 1978, Albert Spensko, representative of Natural Gas Corporation of California, proposed a two hole drilling program. Proposed drill site 8-3 is located in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 8, T. 13 S., R. 12 E. SLM, in Federal lease U-089096. Proposed drill site 10-3 is located in the N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 10, T. 13 S., R 12 E., SLM, in Federal lease U-07746. Access roads and drill sites will require surface improvements to meet specification of drill and appurtenant equipment. Drill site 8-3 will be in a ravine presently accessible by a jeep road and will require upgrading. Drill site 10-3 will be on a gentle slope near an old road which will require upgrading-- a road will be opened through the sagebrush from the existing portion of road to the drill site.

2. Environmental Considerations of the Proposed Action

(As noted in the June 25, 1975 analysis.) See also agreements of surface owners to the drilling proposal.

An archeological clearance has been made of the proposed sites. A copy accompanies this analysis.

3. Alternative to the Proposed Action

(As noted in the June 25, 1975 analysis.)

4. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action

(As noted in the June 25, 1975 analysis.)

5. Controversial Issues and Conservation Division Responses

There are no controversial issues nor Conservation Division responses. BLM raised no objections to the proposed drill site locations.

6. Determination

I determine the proposed action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment

in the sense of NEPA, Section 102 (2)(C), and the environmental impacts of the proposed action are not likely to be highly controversial.

Jackson W. Moffitt  
Jackson W. Moffitt, Area Mining Supervisor

9/29/78  
Date

FIFTH ADDENDUM EA  
PG & E/NGCC  
DRILLING, 1978

U-07746, et al.