

June 17, 1987

TO: File

FROM: Tom Munson, Reclamation Hydrologist *TM*

RE: Permit Condition Response Assessment, U. S. Fuel Company, Hiawatha Mine, ACT/007/001, Folder #3, Carbon County, Utah

Condition No. 2

The applicant has complied with the requirements of this condition and therefore this condition should be removed. The applicant should be made aware of our currently revised sampling guidelines, and at the mid-term review be asked to revise their parameter list to reflect the changes in our current guidelines.

Condition No. 3

It appears from U.S. Fuel's response that they are not currently using slurry pond 5A and therefore have addressed this condition. An inspector should be asked to look at slurry pond 5A to determine if indeed it is out of commission.

djh
cc: S. Linner
L. Kunzler
R. Summers
9486R/26

lyna

June 22, 1987

TO: FILE

FROM: R. HARDEN

RE: Stipulation Review, Hiawatha Mine, United States Fuel Company, ACT/007/011, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

Stipulation 4 Inspection and Contingency Plan for Water Impounding Seals at Hiawatha No. 2 Mine.

The operator has submitted a suitable plan for inspection and monitoring of the seals at Hiawatha No. 2 Mine. The requirements of Stipulation have been addressed by the operator and are hereby approved by the Division.


Information regarding this stipulation was sent to the Division in a letter date April 13, 1987.

cc: S. Linner
D. Darby

101OR - 111

June 12, 1987

To: File

From: David Darby, Geologist 

Re: Permit Conditions for Hiawatha Complex, United States Fuel Company, ACT/007/011, Carbon County, Utah, Folder # 2.

In receiving permit approval United States Fuel Company accepted Conditions 1 through 19 which required them to submit information to addresses deficiencies in their mining and reclamation plan. These conditions are outlined in Attachment A of the Permit Application Package.

United States Fuel Company submitted a response to Conditions 1 through 18 on April 13, 1987 and to Condition 19 on May 27, 1987.

The following Conditions pertaining to ground water and subsidence were reviewed for technical adequacy.

U.S. Fuel Company has satisfactorily addressed Conditions 15, 16 and 17 in their response dated April 13, 1987. These conditions concern mitigation measures to be implemented in the event subsidence should occur and have adverse impacts to the post-mining land use.

Condition 5

The spring monitoring program proposed by the applicant can not be evaluated until sufficient information is submitted. To properly evaluate locations for proposed spring monitoring sites the applicant should submit a composite map showing the five year mine sequence, the locations of springs above and adjacent to the five year mine plan area, the depth of overburden, geologic structures associated with the five year mine plan, and areas of expected subsidence in association with the five year mine plan.

Condition 6

Sufficient information has been submitted.

Condition 14

The applicant is required to provide mitigation measures to surface lands where the post mining land use is effected by subsidence that is not grandfathered under SMCRA.

The applicant should reflect this concept in the Condition response.

Condition 15

Complete information has been submitted.

Condition 16

Sufficient information has been submitted.

Condition 19

Some confusion has existed with this condition due to the use of the term "second seam mining", which should be termed "secondary mining", meaning the extraction of pillars for maximum recovery of coal reserves and not to be confused with mining the second coal seam in a multiple seam mining project. This was discussed with Jean Semborski where the term was clarified, however to ensure that confusion does not occur in the future, the term "second seam mining" as stated in the Condition should be changed to "secondary mining".

cc. Lynn Kunzler
Sue Linner

May 19, 1987

TO: File

FROM: James Leatherwood *JL*

RE: Review of Response to Permit Conditions, Utah Fuel Company,
Hiawatha Mine Complex, ACT/007/011, Folder No. 3, Carbon
County, Utah

Utah Fuel Company's response to permit conditions received 4/13/87, 5/4/87, 5/11/87, and 5/14/87 was reviewed and found to adequately address conditions seven (7), eight (8), and nine (9). The response to each condition is outlined below.

Condition Seven
Table VIII-23
Pg. III-86, sect. 3.5

Condition Eight
Table VIII-21
Pg. III-83-84
Exhibit III-3

Condition Nine
Pg. III-84
Exhibit VIII-4

cc: L. Kunzler

0534R-11