



GARY R. HERBERT  
Governor

GREGORY S. BELL  
Lieutenant Governor

# State of Utah

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER  
Executive Director

### Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA  
Division Director

Outgoing  
COO 70011  
#4239  
OK

January 3, 2013

### CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT

7009 3410 0001 4203 2284

Elliot Finley, Resident Agent  
Hiawatha Coal Company  
P O Box 1240  
Huntington, Utah 84528

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N 10101, Hiawatha Mine, C/007/0011,  
Task ID #4239

Dear Mr. Finley:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, April Abate, on December 18, 2012. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:


1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of this violation, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.



2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

**If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment.** Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Suzanne Steab.

Sincerely,



Joseph C. Helfrich  
Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc: Sheri Sasaki, DOGM  
Price Field Office

O:\007011.HIA\WG4239\PROPOSED ASSESSMENT.DOC

7009 3410 0001 4203 2284

U.S. Postal Service  
**CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT**  
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Postage	\$	
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		

Postmark  
Here

Total Po: Elliot Finley, Resident Agent

Sent To Hiawatha Coal Company

Street, Apt or PO Box P.O. Box 1240

City, State, Huntington, UT 84528

**WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING**

COMPANY / MINE Hiawatha Mine

PERMIT C/007/0011      NOV / CO # N 10101      VIOLATION 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT DATE January 3, 2013

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Joe Helfrich

**I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)**

A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
<u>N 10091</u>	<u>02/20/2012</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>N 10094</u>	<u>04/23/2012</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>N 10095</u>	<u>06/17/2012</u>	<u>1</u>

*Given the circumstances that the permittee has accrued 3 same or similar violations within a 12 month period it is recommended that the permittee schedule a meeting with the Division to develop a mitigation plan that is designed to prevent the occurrence of water monitoring violations. See R645-400-332*

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year  
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year  
No pending notices shall be counted

**TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 3**

**II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)**

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation?      Hindrance

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?
2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

**ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 0**

**PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:**

\*\*\*

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

**ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 0**

**PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:**

\*\*\*

B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Actual RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

**ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS 12**

**PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:**

**\*\*\* According to the information in the inspector statement the permittee did not report 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter 2012 UPDES water monitoring data for sample outfall locations UT003 through 013as required by permit condition attachment A of the Hiawatha permit. UPDES data are required to be submitted for each monitoring location in the data base within 90 days of the**

end of the quarter, in this instance September 30, 2012. Without this information the Division was unable to perform a timely review and evaluation of the water monitoring data.

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 12

III. NEGLIGENCE (Max 30 pts.)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO, GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree of Fault

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 10

**PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:**

**\*\*\* According to the information in the inspector statement, the permittee was in violation of a specific permit condition, that being the requirement to monitor and submit the data in the Division's database.**

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?  
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

X	Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20*
	(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
X	Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
	(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)	
X	Normal Compliance	0
	(Operator complied within the abatement period required)	
	(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)	

\*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

X	Rapid Compliance (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)	-11 to -20*
X	Normal Compliance (Operator complied within the abatement period required)	-1 to -10*
X	Extended Compliance (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)	0

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Difficult, plans were required

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 0

**PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:**

*\*\*\*Good faith will be evaluated upon the Permittee's diligence in abating the violation.*

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # N 10101

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>3</u>
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>12</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>10</u>
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>0</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>25</u>

**TOTAL ASSESSED FINE** \$ 550