11-1-89
715 0013

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangerter
Governor
Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

gd SCL

INSPECTION REPORT

INSPECTION DATE & TIME: October 11, 1989
9:00am - 2:00pm

Permittee and/or Operators Name: Genwal Coal Company
Business Address: Box 766, Wellington Utah, 84542
Mine Name: Wellington Prep. Plant Permit Number: ACT/007/012
Type of Mining Activity: Underground____ Surface____ Other Prep. Plant
County: Carbon
Company Official (s): Gus Manwaring
State Official(s): Lynn Kunzler
Partial: X Complete:_____ Date of Last Inspection: Sept. 28, 1989
Weather Conditions: Clear and warm
Acreage: Permitted 1720 Disturbed 356 Regraded 1.5 Seeded 1.5 Bonded 469
Enforcement Action: None

COMPLIANCE WITH PERMITS AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
1. PERMITS	(X)	( )	( )	( )
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
3. TOPSOIL	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:				
a. STREAM CHANNEL DIVERSIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
b. DIVERSIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
c. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	( )	( )	( )	( )
d. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	( )	( )	( )	( )
e. SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER MONITORING	( )	( )	( )	( )
f. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
5. EXPLOSIVES	( )	( )	(X)	( )
6. DISPOSAL OF DEVELOPMENT WASTE AND SPOIL	( )	( )	( )	( )
7. COAL PROCESSING WASTE	( )	( )	( )	( )
8. NONCOAL WASTE	( )	( )	( )	( )
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	( )	( )	( )	( )
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	( )	( )	( )	( )
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING	(X)	( )	( )	( )
13. REVEGETATION	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	( )	( )	(X)	( )
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
16. ROADS				
a. CONSTRUCTION	( )	( )	( )	( )
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS	( )	( )	( )	( )
c. SURFACING	( )	( )	( )	( )
d. MAINTENANCE	( )	( )	( )	( )
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	( )	( )	( )	( )
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )

INSPECTION REPORT
(continuation sheet)

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/007/012 DATE OF INSPECTION 10/11/89

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

2. Signs and Markers. The vegetation reference areas were located in the field for the new operator. It was determined that the reference areas will be re-staked in the field during the repermitting process to make relocation of the reference areas more easy for those who would need to find them that were not familiar with the site.

3. Topsoil. The topsoil pile on the east side of the property had been rebermed. However, as viewed in the field, berms may not be the best protection from 4-wheel drive enthusiasts in the area. The operator was given the option of protecting the topsoil pile with silt fence.

11. Contemporaneous Reclamation. Several sites were inspected for the need to do any reseeding this fall, as identified in the past inspection. Areas redisturbed by recent removal of a pipeline along the north dike embankment will not need to be seeded. The topsoil pile on the east side of the property has very sparse vegetation growing. This will need to be seeded this fall. Observations of the flat areas (disturbed) along the slurry pipeline corridor showed significant germination had occurred in the past few weeks. If a significant portion of these plants survive, adequate vegetation establishment would be achieved. Seeding of this area at this time could potentially do more damage than good, therefore seeding will not be done here. However, the fill slopes adjacent to this area is lacking for vegetation establishment and will require seeding this fall. These areas are to be seeded with the approved seed mix by November 10, 1989.

11. Revegetation. The greasewood reference area located near the new highway construction was inspected to determine if it should be moved or if additional protection would be needed. A Review of the vegetation study at the time of establishment of the reference area showed that only a portion of the sampled area was fenced. Moving the reference area to the south of its present location would still be with the area sampled. However, during the repermitting process, the need for this reference area will be re-evaluated. If it is determined that it is still needed, then it will be moved. Otherwise, the operator will no longer need to maintain it. Therefore, additional protection at this time is not needed.

Copy of this Report:
Mailed to: Brian Smith - OSMRE, PFO, Gus Manwaring - GCC, Candy Manzanares - GCC
Given to: Joe Helfrich & Sue Linner - DOGM

Inspectors Signature and Number: #17 Date: 10/31/89