



State of Utah  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt  
Governor  
Kathleen Clarke  
Executive Director  
Lowell P. Braxton  
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210  
PO Box 145801  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801  
801-538-5340  
801-359-3940 (Fax)  
801-538-7223 (TDD)

September 11, 2000

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT  
P 074 978 563

Patrick Collins, Resident Agent  
Mt. Nebo Scientific  
PO Box 337  
Springville, Utah 84663

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N00-39-1-1, Nevada Electric Investment Company, Wellington Preparation Plant, ACT/007/012, Compliance File

Dear Mr. Collins:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Stephen J. Demczak, on August 24, 2000. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by your or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of violation, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

Page 2  
Patrick Collins  
ACT/007/012-N00-39-1-1  
September 11, 2000

**If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Tiffini Moss.**

Sincerely,



Pamela Grubaugh-Littig  
Assessment Officer

sd

Enclosure:

cc: OSM Compliance Report  
Tiffini Moss, DOGM

O:\007012.WEL\ASSESMNT\0039-1-1.ltr.frm

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COMPANY/ MINE NEICO/Wellington Preparation Plant NOV # N00-39-1-1

PERMIT ACT/007/012 VIOLATION 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT DATE 9/6/2000

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Pamela Grubaugh-Littig

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)

A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year  
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year  
No pending notices shall be counted

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0

II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? B

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)



III. NEGLIGENCE (Max 30 pts.)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE: or was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 12

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*NEICO had been notified about the incorrect phone number, but overlooked the sign at the COVOL entrance.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?  
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- Immediate Compliance -11 to -20\*  
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- Rapid Compliance -1 to -10  
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance 0  
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)  
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

\* Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20\*  
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10\*  
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- Extended Compliance 0  
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)  
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Easy

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS -15

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*NEICO corrected the errors on the sign during the inspection.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION N-00-39-1-1

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>0</u>
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>8</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>12</u>
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-15</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>5</u>

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$50.00