1-24-89 7/35

0001

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

INSPECTION REPORT

INSPECTION DATE & TIME: January 5, 1989
8:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

Permittee and/or Operators Name: Kaiser Coal Corporation
Business Address: P.O. Box D Sunnyside, Utah 84539
Mine Name: Horse Canyon Permit Number: ACT/007/~~007~~ 013
Type of Mining Activity: Underground X Surface ____ Other ____
County: Carbon/Emery State: Utah
Company Official (s): Bill Balaz, Carl Housekeeper
State Official(s): Bill Malencik, John Whitehead
Partial: ____ Complete: X Date of Last Inspection: 10/21 - 11/2/88
Weather Conditions: Foggy and cold
Acreage: Permitted 1917 Disturbed 50 Regraded ____ Seeded ____ Bonded 50
Enforcement Action: None
Pending Item: 4e please refer to narrative.

COMPLIANCE WITH PERMITS AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
1. PERMITS	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS	( )	( )	( )	( )
3. TOPSOIL	( )	( )	( )	( )
4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:				
a. STREAM CHANNEL DIVERSIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
b. DIVERSIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
c. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
d. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	( )	( )	( )	( )
e. SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER MONITORING	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
f. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
5. EXPLOSIVES	( )	( )	(X)	( )
6. DISPOSAL OF DEVELOPMENT WASTE AND SPOIL	( )	( )	( )	( )
7. COAL PROCESSING WASTE	(X)	( )	( )	(X)
8. NONCOAL WASTE	( )	( )	( )	( )
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	( )	( )	( )	( )
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	( )	( )	( )	( )
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	( )	( )	( )	( )
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING	( )	( )	( )	( )
13. REVEGETATION	( )	( )	( )	( )
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	(X)	( )	( )	( )
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )
16. ROADS				
a. CONSTRUCTION	(X)	( )	( )	( )
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS	( )	( )	( )	( )
c. SURFACING	( )	( )	( )	( )
d. MAINTENANCE	( )	( )	( )	( )
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	( )	( )	( )	( )
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES				
UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	( )	( )	( )	( )

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/007/~~007~~ 013 DATE OF INSPECTION January 5, 1989

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Personnel associated with this complete inspection and representing the Kaiser mines included Bill Balaz and Carl Housekeeper; DOGM, Bill Malencik and John Whitehead.

The Horse Canyon mine site had received a 6" snow the night before. Site conditions at this inactive mine site, because of last night and previous snows, were not conductive to a detailed inspection of the environmental control measures. The road to the mine site was not plowed. Further, no vehicle tracks to the mine site could be seen. During the inspection, we drove past the tipple and to the main building complex. No runoff was evident. Therefore, this inspection, because of site conditions, was largely confined to a review of the records at the Sunnyside Mine office.

1. PERMITS:

The mine does not have an approved MRP. The operator has submitted required documents to the division, however, in the interest of brevity it is still in a revision status because of deficiencies and clarification required by the Division. Several approved delays have been granted by the Division. The lack of manpower and current financial status of Kaiser Coal Corp. has compounded the problem of securing an approved plan.

4c. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS:

Pond inspection reports covering the mine sediment ponds were part of the official mine records and dated 3/21/88, 6/8/88, 9/10/88, and 12/6/88. Pond certifications were on file and part of the official mine records. No problems were noted relating to the aforementioned records.

4e. SURFACE AND GROUND WATER MONITORING:

Water monitoring records were reviewed. This included stations RS-2/Redden Spring, RF-1/R Fork Horse Canyon, H-C-1/Horse Canyon, and B-1. A question exists on water monitoring as related to the current requirements. Since the operator does not have an approved plan, the requirements were set forth in a Division letter. Neither the operator and the undersigned inspector could find a copy of the Division letter.

The operator had recently placed water monitoring records on ADP. Bill Balaz made this special effort in spite of the manpower and fund limitations.

INSPECTION REPORT
(continuation sheet)

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/007/~~007~~ #013 DATE OF INSPECTION January 5, 1989

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

4. SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER MONITORING:(cont'd)

Personally I want to thank him for this records improvement project, because it will posture information so that it can be displayed, factored and analyzed. That will be propitious to both the operator and the Division. Moreover, it should improve environmental management as related to mine surface and ground water.

Some questions exist on whether all the required samples were taken. The operator was alerted on 1/5/89 that an additional follow-up would be required to clarify whether or not water sampling requirements had been met. On 1/12/89 the operator was again notified by phone that the Divisions letter had not yet been received. Therefore, any final action on this matter would have to remain in a pending status until the required documentation is secured.

4f. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS:

NPDES stations 001 through 011 were reviewed and no apparent problems noted.

7. COAL PROCESSING WASTE:
Coal Refuse records were on file and reviewed. No problems were noted.

Copy of this Report:
Mailed to: Bill Balaz, Kaiser Coal
Mailed to SLC for: Brian Smith, OSM; Joe Helfrich, DOGM
Filed to: PFO

Inspectors Signature and Number: Wm. J. Malencik #26 Date: Jan. 12, 1989