



State of Utah
 DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
 PO Box 145801
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
 (801) 538-5340 telephone
 (801) 359-3940 fax
 (801) 538-7223 TTY
 www.nr.utah.gov

Michael O. Leavitt
 Governor
 Robert L. Morgan
 Executive Director
 Lowell P. Braxton
 Division Director

May 16, 2002

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor 

FROM: David W. Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist 

RE: 2000, Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Utah American Energy, Incorporated, Horse Canyon Mine, ACT/007/013-WQ-00-2

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X]
 Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

RS-2 was first identified as no flow, later the Division received a letter from Environmental Industrial Services that the site was not monitored because of a mix-up in the monitoring locations

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
 See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date

Is not specified

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [] NO [X]
 Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No data collected on RS-2. Data was not recovered. RS-2 has been monitored for the past 15. years. RF-1 was missing Mg. HC-1 had no flow/no water data.

4. **Were irregularities found in the data?** YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

As mentioned, the missing data. No irregularities were found in the data submitted.

5. **Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?**

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. **Were all required DMR parameters reported?** YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharges in Pond 001 and 002

7. **Were irregularities found in the DMR data?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. **Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?**

It was found that the site was missing during inspections and the operator was made to correct the error.