

*Incomers
Lila*

0183

From: Matthew Seddon
To: Alder, Steve; Ernstsens, Jerriann; Falk, Steve; Grubaugh-Littig, Pam; ...
Date: 03/29/2007 11:20 AM
Subject: Re: Lila PA signatory review meeting
Attachments: pa FINAL agency DRAFT SHPO 3-27-07 proposed edits.doc

Thanks to everyone for the meeting yesterday. Per that meeting, I've attached proposed revisions to the PA that can be considered as a means of addressing the SUWA comment we discussed regarding potential for unseen sites to be exposed by subsidence/upsidence. I'm not sold on these, but if you wish to address that comment, these might be a reasonable way of doing so.

I await the final word when we regroup.

Matt

Matthew T. Seddon, Ph.D., RPA
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
Utah State Historic Preservation Office
300 Rio Grande St.
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
801-533-3555
FAX: 801-533-3503
mseddon@utah.gov
<http://history.utah.gov/>

*Jerriann
3/27/2007*

>>> Jerriann Ernstsens 3/27/2007 5:46 PM >>>
All, (3/27/07)

I have attached the OGM Agenda and also the email with attachments that I sent on the 15th and last week. The agenda has the phone contact for those who need to conference.

The Permittee has requested to attend this meeting, but the meeting is for those that are mandated to comply with §106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). We may meet with the Permittee after our board hearing.

Thank you for your time,
Jerriann

The paras below were from a previous email that I sent to all of you.

Signatories: THAT ARE RESPONSIBLE TO THE SECTION 106 PROCESS
Attached are the comments that we have received from the consulting parties other than the tribes.

Following my process that I drafted last year, we are now to the point for all the signatories to go over the consulting party comments. I thought that we could go ahead and start with reviewing the attached comments, first - while we are waiting for the remainder of the tribal comments. Perhaps the tribes won't issue huge changes.

As signatories, please review and prepare your comments.

As a word of caution - and Matt please correct me if I am wrong - major changes at this time could be problematic for UEI. The unchanged documents are the documents that SHPO concurred on. These are the documents that have been sent out to the tribes and ACHP. If there are significant revisions to these documents 1) SHPO may not concur or 2) we may have to restart the consultation process for the PA and MOA for review of basically different documents.

Cheers,

Jerriann Ernstsens, Ph.D.
Division of Oil, Gas, & Mining
Department of Natural Resources
1594 West North Temple, Suite 3610

SLC Utah 84114
801-538-5214
jerriannernstsén@utah.gov