

OGMCOAL - Gordon Creek 2/7/8 - Water Quality Memo WQ09-1

From: Kevin Lundmark
To: Chris Hansen
Date: 3/8/2010 2:25 PM
Subject: Gordon Creek 2/7/8 - Water Quality Memo WQ09-1
CC: OGMCOAL
Attachments: GC2_WQ09-1.pdf

Chris,
FYI - the Water Quality Memo for Gordon Creek 2/7/8 for 1st quarter 2009 is attached. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions about this memo.
Thanks,
Kevin

Kevin Lundmark
Environmental Scientist II
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
kevinlundmark@utah.gov
(801)538-5352

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

February 2, 2010

TO: Internal File

THRU: James D. Smith, Permit Supervisor *JS 01/03/10*

FROM: Kevin Lundmark, Environmental Scientist II *KLW*

SUBJECT: 2009 1st Quarter Water Monitoring, Mountain Coal Company, Gordon Creek 2, 7, & 8 Mine, C/007/0016, WQ09-1, Task ID 3231

The Gordon Creek 2, 7, & 8 Mine has been reclaimed and received Phase II bond release on all but 1.63 acres on March 7, 2007. The 1.63 acres contained a three-cell sediment pond, which was reclaimed in October 2007. Water monitoring requirements for the period after Phase II bond release until final bond release are described in the MRP on page 7-56 and in Tables 7-17 and 7-18.

This report was prepared from monitoring data queried from the UDOGM database. The data that support this report were collected and submitted to the database by the Operator. The data were downloaded into file O:\007016.GC2\Water Quality\Spreadsheets\GC2_13Jan2010.xls for this review.

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES NO

The Permittee is not required to monitor any springs or wells at the Gordon Creek 2, 7, & 8 Mine. Monitoring for UPDES discharges is no longer required as the sediment ponds and mine portals have been reclaimed.

Streams –

The Permittee is required to monitor one intermittent stream (2-2-W), and three ephemeral stream sites (2-7-W, 2-8-W, 2-9-W) quarterly for flow, field measurements and laboratory parameters as outlined on Table 7-18 of the MRP.

Stream 2-2-W (North Fork Gordon Creek) was reported with a flow of 75 gpm; other stream sites were not accessible due to snow and ice. The Permittee submitted 1st quarter 2009 data for the site during 2nd quarter 2009.

2. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES NO

3. Were any irregularities found in the data? YES NO

4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.

The MRP does not require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.

5. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

None