

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/007/018

File Name: Incoming

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company N/A

Date Sent: N/A

Explanation:

MRP Revision/NOV TRACKING FORM

cc:

File in:
CI 007, 018, Incoming

- Refer to:
- Confidential
 - Shelf
 - Expandable

Date _____ For additional information

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Type of Proposal: Y COAL NONCOAL

 Exploration
 NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # , Abatement Deadline
 MRP Revision
X MRP Amendment
 MR-1 Application (non-coal) Issuing Inspector

Title of Proposal: Request to Drop Spring Monitoring Site & Fourth Field Trial Study Area

Company name: Soldier Ck. Coal Project/ Mine Name: Soldier Canyon Mine

File # (PRO/ACT): 007/018 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1 1
 (CEP/EXP/INA) Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:	Review Time (hrs):	OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)
(Hydrology) <u>Dave Wham (D. Hooper)</u>	<u>0.5</u>	OSM <u> </u>
(Wildlife/Veg.) <u>Lynn Kunzler</u>	<u> </u>	USFS <u> </u>
(Engineering) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	BLM <u> </u>
(Soils) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	Health <u> </u>
(Geology) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	History <u> </u>
		H2O Rts <u> </u>
		Wildlife <u> </u>
		USFWS <u> </u>

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received Oct 31/85
 Tech Review Due Nov 15/85
 Tech Review Complete
 DOGM Response Sent

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| (b) Initial Plan Received <u> </u> | (e) Optr. Resubmission <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Tech Review Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | Tech Review Complete <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> |
| (c) Operator Resubmission <u> </u> | (f) Optr. Resubmission <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Tech Review Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | |
| (d) Operator Resubmission <u> </u> | (g) Cond'l. Approval <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Stipulations Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | Stips Received <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | Final Approval <u> </u> |
| | (h) MR-9 Received <u> </u> |

COMMENTS:

File in:
 Confidential
 Shelf
 Expandable
 Refer to Record No 0010 Date
 In Cl 007, 018, Incoming
 For additional information

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please re abatement
 plan wh
 NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please p eferencing the
 appropia

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Type of Proposal: Y COAL NONCOAL

 Exploration
 NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # , Abatement Deadline
 MRP Revision
X MRP Amendment
 MR-1 Application (non-coal) Issuing Inspector

Title of Proposal: Request to Drop Spring Monitoring Site & Fourth Field Trial Study Area

Company name: Soldier Ck. Coal Project/
Mine Name: Soldier Canyon Mine

File # (PRO/ACT): 007/018 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1 1
(CEP/EXP/INA) Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:	Review Time (hrs):	OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)
(Hydrology) <u>Dave Wham (D. Hooper)</u>	<u> </u>	OSM <u> </u>
(Wildlife/Veg.) <u>Lynn Kunzler</u>	<u>0.5</u>	USFS <u> </u>
(Engineering) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	BLM <u> </u>
(Soils) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	Health <u> </u>
(Geology) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	History <u> </u>

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received Oct 31/85
Tech Review Due Nov 15/85
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent

H2O Rts
Wildlife
USFWS

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| (b) Initial Plan Received <u> </u> | (e) Optr. Resubmission <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Tech Review Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | Tech Review Complete <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> |
| (c) Operator Resubmission <u> </u> | (f) Optr. Resubmission <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Tech Review Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | (g) Cond'l. Approval <u> </u> |
| (d) Operator Resubmission <u> </u> | Stipulations Due <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Due <u> </u> | Stips Received <u> </u> |
| Tech Review Complete <u> </u> | Final Approval <u> </u> |
| DOGM Response Sent <u> </u> | (h) MR-9 Received <u> </u> |
| | MR-9 Acknowledged <u> </u> |

COMMENTS:

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.

Review Copy



SOLDIER CREEK COAL CO.

Telephone (801) 637-6360

P.O. Box 1
Price, Utah 84501

RECEIVED

OCT 31 1985

DIVISION OF OIL
GAS & MINING

October 29, 1985

Ms. Susan Linner
Permit Coordinator
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
4241 State Office Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

RE: Vegetation Field Trial and
Spring Monitoring Sites
ACT/007/018
Soldier Canyon Mine

Dear Susan:

I am writing to request a letter of approval from the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining to stop any further monitoring activities of Spring 535 and to stop development of a fourth field trial site next to the substation on the bench above the mine facilities. The reason we want to stop monitoring Spring 535 is the area surrounding the spring has been checked three times this year and each time no flow or wet areas were noted. The area looks as though it could have been used for cattle years ago, but at the present time there is only a small 10 (ten) foot diameter depression that is vegetated similar to the surrounding area. This dropped spring would leave 4 (four) Springs, G-88, G-89, G-90, (S 8-1) and S 31-1, that will continue to be monitored.

The second request to drop the fourth field trial study is due in part with CFR 30 77.1103(d) and the size of the actual site. The federal regulation states that areas surrounding electric substations "shall be kept free from grass (dry), weeds, underbrush, and often combustible materials such as trash, rubbish, leaves and paper, for at least 25 feet in all directions". The small

S(8-1)

↓ ↓ ↓
G-87, G-88, G-89, G-90, G-91

From SAW 8A Submitted

Feb 1
May 1
Aug 1
Nov 1

Early July
middle August
late Sept.

Ms. Susan Linner
October 29, 1985
Page 2

area originally set aside for the field trial site would have to be further reduced to comply with the regulation. This reduction would severely limit and could bias the vegetation information from the site. There should be little, if any, drop in vegetative data collection by dropping this site.

If you have any questions or feel there will be a problem with these proposals please contact me.

Sincerely,

SOLDIER CREEK COAL COMPANY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C.P. Allen", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Christopher P. Allen

CPA:pp