



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

July 14, 2000

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DCH*

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JDS*

RE: 1999 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Soldier Canyon Mine, ACT/007/018

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X]
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Stream site G-10 - no flow
Springs 5, 23, and 24 - inaccessible.
Well 6-1 - inaccessible due to blockage.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 10/03/01, renewal due 02/03/02. No commitment to resample for baseline parameters preceding repermitting has been found in the MRP .

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

At G-6, values for magnesium, sodium, sulfate, TDS, hardness, total anions, and total cations exceed previous high values recorded in APPX database; however, the amount of exceedence is small and the database contains data from, at most, six samples.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []

2nd month, YES [X] NO []

3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharge from any UPDES point.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No action necessary.

sm

O:\007018.SOL\Water Quality\WQ_99-4.wpd