0010

OK


# State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
(801) 538-5340 telephone
(801) 359-3940 fax
(801) 538-7223 TTY
www.nr.utah.gov

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Robert L. Morgan
Executive Director

Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director


July 23, 2002

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist III

RE: 2002 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Soldier Canyon Mine, C/007/018-WQ02-1

**1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES ☒ NO ☐

*Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:

Well 6-1 has not been monitored due to blockage within the casing. It has not been sampled since 1997 and the Operator has committed to taking it out of the MRP sampling frequency during permit renewal.

**2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.**

*See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.*

**Resampling due date**

Renewal submittal due 10/03/01, renewal due 02/03/02. No commitment to resample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .

**3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES ☒ NO ☐

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

A total of three (3) of ten (10) sites had no access during the quarter, a complete reversal from last quarter where only 3 sites were sampled. Spring sites 5, 23, 24 and Stream site G-10 all had 'No flow'. A total of two samples were sent for lab analysis, with Stream G-6 being out of ionic balance by 7.5 percent.

**4. Were irregularities found in the data?** YES ☐ NO ☒

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

No irregularities were observed during the 02-1 (1st) quarter.

**5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1st month, | YES ☒ | NO ☐ |
| 2nd month, | YES ☒ | NO ☐ |
| 3rd month, | YES ☒ | NO ☐ |

**6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?** YES ☒ NO ☐

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

No Discharge was recorded during the reporting period. The operator does not submit the DMR information electronically. They have been encouraged to do so in the future.

**7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?** YES ☐ NO ☒

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

**8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?**

No further action is necessary for the 02-1 (1st) quarter 2002.