



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

cc: Pete Hess

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

November 6, 2000

Revised

TO: [REDACTED]
THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*
FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JDS*
RE: Revised 2000 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Centennial Project, A [REDACTED] WQ00-1(a)

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Waters from S18-1 and Well 1 were sampled and analyzed. No flow at 7-1, 8-1, 12-1, 17-1, 17-2, 18-2, 18-3, 18-4, and 25-2 and negligible flow at S25-1.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

During the year preceding each repermitting action: one sample for baseline analysis at high and one at low flow for surface-monitoring sites, and one at low flow for ground-water monitoring sites. Next renewal submittal due 09/04/01, for renewal on 04/04/02.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Yes, for the two sites sampled

4. **Were irregularities found in the data?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

5. **Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?**

1st month, YES [] NO [X]
2nd month, YES [] NO [X]
3rd month, YES [] NO [X]

Identify sites and months not monitored:

No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.

6. **Were all required DMR parameters reported?** YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.

7. **Were irregularities found in the DMR data?** YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No DMR reports received for the first quarter 2000.

8. **Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?**

The inspector should contact the operator about getting copies of the DMRs to the Division in a timely manner.