

June 23, 2003

TO: Internal File
THRU: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor
FROM: David Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist
RE: 2001, Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Lodestar Energy Inc., Horizon Mine, C/007/020-WQ01-3

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES NO
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Reference files Datacheck061403.xlt and DMR status.xlt to see Horizon's reporting information.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date July 2002

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES NO
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES NO
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Stream Site SS-12 showed a very high flow (746 gpm), no other parameters were reported.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

Hardcopies of the DMR forms were submitted to the Division. No data was uploaded into the database for October.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

See comments above

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Data from the hard copies should be incorporated into the database.