

C/007/020 Incoming

#3811
K

Hidden Splendor Resources
Horizon Mine
3266 South 125 West, Price, Utah 84501
Phone: (435) 636-0820 – Fax: (435) 636-0817

April 13, 2011

Mr. Jim Smith
Utah Coal Program
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
1594 West North Temple – Suite 1210
Box 145801
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801

Re: C/007/0020 Horizon Mine
2010 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Smith:

Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc. is respectfully submitting two (2) copies of the 2010 Annual Report for the Horizon Mine permit C/007/020. One copy to the Salt Lake Office and one copy to the Price Field Office.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at 435-636-0820.

Sincerely,



Kit Pappas
Manager of Environmental and Engineering Services

Cc: Karl Housekeeper – DOGM (Price Office)
File

File in:
 Confidential
 Shelf *2010 Annual Report*
 Expandable
Date Folder *04/14/2011 C/0070020*

RECEIVED
APR 14 2011
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

**AMERICA WEST
RESOURCES, INC.**

**HIDDEN SPLENDOR
RESOURCES, INC.**

HORIZON MINE

**2010
ANNUAL REPORT**

UDOGM PERMIT - C/007/020

Submit by Email

Print Form

Reset Form

Annual Report

Date

4/12/11

This Annual Report shows information the Division has for your mine. Submit the completed document and any additional information identified in the Appendices to the Division by **March 31, 2011**. During a complete inspection an inspector will check and verify the information.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Company Name	Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc	Mine Name	Horizon Mine
Permit Number	C/007/0020	Permit expiration Date	2011-10-11
Operator Name	America West Resources, Inc.	Phone Number	+1 (435) 636-0820
Mailing Address	3266 South 125 West	Email	kit@emerytelcom.net
City	Price	State	UT Zip Code 84501

CERTIFIED REPORTS

DOG M File Location or Annual Report Location

Excess Spoil Piles

- Required
- Not Required

Refuse Piles

- Required
- Not Required

Impoundments

- Required
- Not Required

Other:

REPORTING OF OTHER TECHNICAL DATA

OPERATOR COMMENTS

[Empty text box for operator comments]

REVIEWER COMMENTS

- Met Requirements
- Did Not meet Requirements

[Empty text box for reviewer comments]

COMMITMENTS AND CONDITIONS

The Permittee is responsible for ensuring annual technical commitments in the MRP and conditions accepted with the permit are completed throughout the year. The Division has identified these commitments below and has provided space for you to report what you have done during the past year for each commitment. If additional written response is required, it should be filed as an attachment to this report.

Title: MACROINVERTEBRATE SURVEY

Objective: Macroinvertebrate surveys of Gordon Creek .2 miles above the confluence of Jewkes Creek and the North fork of Gordon Creek .1 of a mile below the confluence of those streams to monitor potential impacts from mining activities.

Frequency: every other year

Status: Due, last completed in 2008, due in 2010.

Reports: Include in annual report.

Citation: Volume 3, chapter 10 section 10.2.3, page 10-4, paragraph 5.

OPERATOR COMMENTS

INCLUDED

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

Title: SUBSIDENCE CONTROL AND MONITORING

Objective: Pedestrian Survey to be conducted no sooner that 6 months but no later than 12 months after an area has been subsided.

Frequency: Annually.

Status: Overdue. On going.

Reports: Annual Report.

Citation: 3.4.8.5

OPERATOR COMMENTS

NO SECOND MINING CONDUCTED DURING 2010. PEDESTRIAN SURVEY CONDUCTED IN AUGUST 2010 REVEALED NO CRACKS, FRACTURES, SLUMPS OR OTHER ABNORMALITIES. REFER TO CHAPTER 3, SECTION 3.4.8.5 REPLACEMENT PAGES 3-29 & 3-30 INCORPORATED MARCH 14, 2011 FOR FUTURE SUBSIDENCE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

MACROINVERTEBRATE STUDIES ON GORDON CREEK,
WEST OF HELPER, CARBON COUNTY, UTAH
Samples collected October 21, 2010

by

Richard W. Baumann
Aquatic Ecology Consultant
1617 W. 1050 N.
Provo, Utah 84604

for

JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc.
8160 South Highland Drive, A-4
Sandy, Utah 84093

December 2010

Three macroinvertebrate samples were collected at two stations on the North Fork of Gordon Creek, on October 21, 2010. The upper station is above the Horizon Mine discharge site and the lower station is located below. Samples were taken using a modified Surber sampler as described in Winget and Mangum (1979). Samples were preserved in 75% EtOH and transported to the entomology laboratory in Provo, Utah. All macroinvertebrates were then sorted, identified, counted and analyzed. Taxonomic determinations were made using the following references: Baumann et al. (1977), Edmunds et al. (1976), Merritt and Cummins (1996), Thorp and Covich (1991) and Wiggins (1996).

Study Area

The total length of the creek sampled was not great since the sampling stations were located 0.5 miles apart. More detailed information about the sampling station is given below.

STATION 1: North Fork Gordon Creek (Upper)

This station is located 0.3 miles above the discharge point. It was moved a short distance upstream because of beaver activity so that a shallow gravel run could be found.

STATION 2: North Fork Gordon Creek (Lower)

The station was located 0.2 miles downstream of the discharge point. It was moved downstream because of a beaver dam that has appeared at the previous site.

Results

The organisms collected are mostly similar to those that were found in October 2004. Three mayflies occurred: *Baetis*, *Cinygmula*, and *Drunella grandis*. Four stonefly taxa were collected: *Amphinemura mogollonica*, *Isogenoides zionensis*, *Isoperla fulva*, and *Pteronarcella badia*. Caddisflies were represented by three species: *Brachycentrus americanus*, *Hesperophylax*, and *Hydropsyche*. All of these EPT taxa (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera) have been recorded from the North Fork of the Gordon Creek over the past 10 years.

Of special interest was the appearance for the first time of the isopod *Asellus*. This organism is very pollution tolerant and is expanding its range in Utah and the intermountain West. Conversely, scuds of the amphipod genus *Gammarus* were not found.

The Biological Condition Index (BCI) scores were 80 at station one and 89 at station two. There were actually more species present at station one but because there were more with tolerance quotients of 108 at station one the BCI score was lower. In reality, the scores at the two stations were not too different.

Conclusions

The relative health of the North Fork of Gordon Creek macroinvertebrate fauna has not changed much since 2004. Most of the taxa have remained the same even though the total numbers have decreased. The biggest change is the appearance of the isopod genus *Asellus*. It has not been recorded from the creek previously. Since it is very pollution tolerant, it could mean that the overall water quality at station two is getting worse.

Over the past couple of years beavers have changed the overall stream flow pattern of the creek. This probably has and will continue to have a major influence on the macroinvertebrate fauna.

The impact of the mine discharge does influence the health of the creek as shown by the macroinvertebrates. However, because the two sampling stations are so close together and the beaver continue to change the flow patterns, it is difficult to assess the actual effects quantitatively.

Literature Cited

- Baumann, R. W., A. R. Gaufin, and R. F. Surdick. 1977. The stoneflies (Plecoptera) of the Rocky Mountains. *Mem. Amer. Ent. Soc.* 31:1-208.
- Edmunds, G. F., Jr., S. L. Jensen, and L. Berner. 1976. The Mayflies of North and Central America. Univ. of Minnesota Press, 330 pp.
- Merritt, R. W. and K. W. Cummins. 1996. An introduction to the aquatic insects of North America. Kendall/Hunt, 732 p.
- Thorp, J.H. and A. P. Covich. 1991. Ecology and classification of North American freshwater invertebrates. Academic Press, Inc., 911 pp.
- Wiggitts, G. B. 1996. Larvae of the North American caddisfly genera. Univ. of Toronto Press, 401 pp.
- Winget, R. N. and F. A. Mangum. 1979. Biotic Condition Index: Integrated biological, physical, and chemical stream parameters for management. Aquatic ecosystem inventory: Macroinvertebrate analysis, U. S. Forest Service, Intermountain Region, 51 pp.

Table 1. Macroinvertebrates obtained from North Fork, Gordon Creek, Carbon County, samples collected October 21, 2010.				
Organism	Trophic Level*	Tolerance Quotient	Stations	
			1	2
Ephemeroptera (Mayflies)				
Baetis	C-G	72		1
Cinygmula	Scr	30	23	
Drunella grandis	Shr	32		3
Plecoptera (Stoneflies)				
Amphinemura mogollonica	C-G	6	5	
Isogenoides zionensis	Pred	30	4	15
Isoperla fulva	Pred	48	1	
Pteronarcella badia	Shr	32		1
Trichoptera (Caddisflies)				
Brachycentrus americanus	Scr	54		3
Hesperophylax	Scr	108	1	
Hydropsyche	C-F	108	1	
Coleoptera (Beetles)				
Elmidae	C-G	104	20	3
Diptera (Flies)				
Chironomidae	C-G	108		2
Dicranota	Pred	36	2	
Empididae	Pred	95	1	
Hexatoma	Pred	36	1	2

Table 1 Continued				
Organism	Trophic Level*	Tolerance Quotient	Stations	
			1	2
Diptera (Flies) Cont.				
Stratiomyidae	Pred	108	1	
Tipula	Shr	80	4	16
Hemiptera (Bugs)				
Notonecta	Pred	108	1	
Crustacea (Isopods)				
Asellus	C-G	98	9	
Hirudinea (Leeches)	Pred	108	1	
Annelida (Worms)				
Oligochaeta	C-G	108	1	1
Lumbricidae	C-G	90	11	2

* C-F = collectors-filterers
 C-G = collectors-gatherers
 Pred = predators

Scr = scrapers
 Shr = shredders

Table 2. Summary of macroinvertebrate data from North Fork, Gordon Creek, Carbon County, Utah, samples collected October 21, 2010.

Parameter	Stations	
	1	2
Total number of taxa	17	11
Mean number/square meter	312	176
Standard Deviation	177	81
Grams/square meter	>0.1	>0.1
Dominance Community TQ=CTQd	70	64
Shannon Weaver Index = d	3.1	2.7
Average Community TQ=CTQa	76	67
Predicted Community TQ = CTQp	60	60
Percent of Predicted = BCI	80	89

BCI

Above 90
80-90
70-80
Below 70

SCALE

Excellent
Good
Fair
Poor

CTQd

Below 60
60-70
70-80
Above 80

SCALE

Excellent
Good
Fair
Poor

TOTAL SAMPLE STATISTICS

STATION: 1 North Fork Gordon Creek Station 1 Upper, Carbon County, Utah DATE: 21 10 10

Repl	Total No. Mean Species /SQM	Confidence Limits (80 Percent)		Standard Deviation	Percent Of Mean	SE Variation	Coeff. Of Variation	DBAR	CTQA	CTQD
		LL	UL							
3	17 312	120	505	176.89	32.72	56.67	3.1478	76	70	

SPECIES ANALYSIS

STATION: 1 North Fork Gordon Creek Station 1 Upper, Carbon County, Utah Date: 21 10 10

TAXONOMIC LIST CLASS	ORDER	FAMILY	GENUS	SPECIES	MEAN N/SQM	LOG10 N/SQM	TQ	LOG10 XTQ
INSECTA	EPHEMEROPTERA	HEPTAGENIIDAE	CINYGMULA		83	1.917	30	57
INSECTA	PLECOPTERA	NEMOURIDAE	AMPHINEMURA	MOGOLLONICA	18	1.254	6	7
INSECTA	PLECOPTERA	PERLODIDAE	ISOGENOIDES	ZIONENSIS	14	1.157	30	34
INSECTA	PLECOPTERA	PERLODIDAE	ISOPELRA	FULVA	4	0.555	48	26
INSECTA	TRICHOPTERA	HYDROPSYCHIDAE	HYDROPSYCHE		4	0.555	108	59
INSECTA	TRICHOPTERA	LIMNIPHILIDAE	HESPEROPHYLAX		4	0.555	108	59
INSECTA	COLEOPTERA	ELMIDAE			72	1.856	104	193
INSECTA	HEMIPTERA	NOTONECTIDAE	NOTONECTA		4	0.555	108	59
INSECTA	DIPTERA	TIPULIDAE	DICRANOYA		7	0.856	36	30
INSECTA	DIPTERA	TIPULIDAE	HEXATOMY		4	0.555	36	19
INSECTA	DIPTERA	TIPULIDAE	TIPULA		14	1.157	80	92
INSECTA	DIPTERA	EMPIDIDAE			4	0.555	95	52
INSECTA	DIPTERA	STRATIOMYIDAE			4	0.555	108	59
CRUSTACEA	ISOPODA	APELLIDAE	APELLUS		32	1.509	98	147
HIRUDINEA					4	0.555	108	59
OLIGOCHAETA					4	0.555	108	59
ANNELIDA		LUMBRICIDAE			39	1.596	90	143

MEAN BIOMASS GM/SQM: 0.1 TOTALS: 312 2.494

TOTAL SAMPLE STATISTICS

STATION: 2 North Fork Gordon Creek Station 1 Upper, Carbon County, Utah DATE: 21 10 10

Repl	Total No. Mean Species /SQM	Confidence Limits (80 Percent)		Standard Deviation	Percent SE	Coeff. Of Variation	DBAR	CTQA	CTOD
		LL	UL						
3	11 176	88	264	80.70	26.50	45.90	2.6990	67	64

SPECIES ANALYSIS

STATION : 2 North Fork Gordon Creek Station 2 Lower, Carbon County, Utah DATE: 21 10 10

TAXONOMIC LIST	CLASS	ORDER	FAMILY	GENUS	SPECIES	MEAN N/SQM	LOG10 N/SQM	TQ	LOG10 XTQ
INSECTA		EPHEMEROPTERA	EPHEMERELLIDAE	DRUNELLA	GRANDIS	11	1.032	32	33
INSECTA		EPHEMEROPTERA	BAETIDAE	BAETIS		4	0.555	72	39
INSECTA		PLECOPTERA	PERLODIDAE	ISOGENOIDES	ZIONENSIS	54	1.731	30	51
INSECTA		TRICHOPTERA	PTERONARCYIDAE	PTERONARCELIA	BADIA	4	0.555	30	16
INSECTA		TRICHOPTERA	BRACHYCENTRIDAE	BRACHYCENTRUS	AMERICANUS	11	1.032	54	55
INSECTA		COLEOPTERA	ELMIDAE			11	1.032	104	107
INSECTA		DIPTERA	TIPULIDAE	HEXATOMA		7	0.856	36	30
INSECTA		DIPTERA	TIPULIDAE	TIPULA		57	1.759	80	140
INSECTA		DIPTERA	CHIRONOMIDAE			7	0.856	108	92
OLIGOCHAETA						4	0		

Title: RAPTOR SURVEY

Objective: An active raptor nest will be verified prior to full pillar extraction being completed within 500 feet of the nest. If the nest is still active full pillar mining will leave a 200-foot barrier around the nest location. If the nest is inactive, a barrier of 100 feet will be left around the nest location. Mitigation of nests either active or inactive lost do to impacts from mining will be replaced under the guidelines and assistance from DWR

Frequency: Annually

Status: Ongoing for the life of the mine, The permittee is currently in the process of updating the raptor survey requirements. The permittee plans to avoid undermining cliff habitat.

Reports: Annual Report

Citation: Volume 3, chapter 10 section 10.5.1.2, pages 10-39 and 40, paragraphs 9 and 1.

OPERATOR COMMENTS

REFER TO CHAPTER 10, REPLACEMENT PAGES 10-40 & 10-41 INCORPORATED MARCH 14, 2011.

VIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

Title: Reporting Water Monitoring Data to DOGM Water Quality Database.

Objective: Report water monitoring data for streams, springs, wells and mine inflows

Frequency: Quarterly

Status: Ongoing until Division releases from commitment.

Reports: Annual Summary in Annual report. (**Overdue**). Quarterly to Water Quality Database. Division will provide quarterly and annual review of monitoring data.

Citation: Chapter 7, pages 7-32, 7-34 and 7-34.

OPERATOR COMMENTS

WATER MONITORING DATA ENTERED IN DOGM DATABASE IS CURRENT.

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

[Empty box]

Title: ESTABLISH ACTUAL ANGLE OF DRAW, SURFACE PROFILES

Objective: To determine the "actual angle of draw, Hidden Splendor commits to locating a "Draw line" on the surface of the first panels mined and pillared. After pillaring is complete in the panel, the line will be resurveyed to provide a "before and after" profile of the surface. The profiles can be compared to determine the actual angle of draw.

Frequency: once

Status: OVERDUE

Reports: MRP should be updated

Citation: MRP, Vol. 1, Chap. 3, Section 3.4.8.5, page 3-29, last paragraph.

OPERATOR COMMENTS

REFER TO CHAPTER 3, SECTION 3.4.8.5, REPLACEMENT PAGES 3-29 & 3-30 INCORPORATED MARCH 14, 2011.

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

[Empty box]

Title: Sealing of Wells.

Objective: Permanent closure of wells using measures required by DOGM to prevent access prevent contamination of groundwater.

Frequency: When no longer needed.

Status: Overdue. Well HZ-95-3 is no longer operational

Reports: Report status when mining is terminated or wells no longer needed. Update MRP as appropriate.

Citation: Chapter 6, page 6-10.

OPERATOR COMMENTS

WELL HZ-95-3 HAS BEEN MINED INTO BY PREVIOUS MINING, HOWEVER, THIS WELL CAN STILL BE CONSIDERED A MONITORING WELL FOR FUTURE USE AND SHOULD NOT BE PERMANENTLY CLOSED UNTIL FINAL RECLAMATION OF THE SITE TAKES PLACE AS MORE DAMAGE WILL BE CAUSED TO SEAL THE WELL NOW THEN TO DUE SO AT FINAL RECLAMATION.

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

[Empty box]

The following commitments are not required for the current annual report year, but will be required by the permittee in the future as indicated by the Status item. These commitments are included for information only, and do not currently require action.

Title: COLLECTING AND REPORTING OF BASELINE DATA

Objective: Compare Baseline Data Parameters.

Frequency: Every 5 years during low flow season of the year, next Baseline sampling due: July - September 2012

Status: Ongoing.

Reports: Summary in Annual Report during monitoring year.

Citation: Chapter 7, page 7-34.

OPERATOR COMMENTS (Optional)

WILL BE CONDUCTED IN 2012

Title: RIPARIAN VEGETATION

Objective: Horizon commits to provide a reclamation channel design, (April 2004), which will allow a reasonable likelihood of reestablishing the riparian vegetation along Jewkes creek which existed prior to the construction of the Horizon mine

Frequency: Once prior to mine reclamation

Status: prior to reclamation

Reports: Submit amendment of MRP to Division for approval.

Citation: Volume 3, chapter 9 section 9.8, page 9-9, paragraph 3.

OPERATOR COMMENTS (Optional)

ONGOING

REPORTING OF OTHER TECHNICAL DATA

List other technical data and information as required under the approved plan, which must be periodically submitted to the Division. Specify whether the information is included as an attachment to this report or currently on file with the Division.

Please List Attachments:

HORIZON WELL MONITORING DATA
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL POND INSPECTIONS FOR POND 001

REVIEWER COMMENTS Met Requirements Did Not meet Requirements

TABLE 7-1 (Continued)

WATER-LEVEL DATA OBTAINED FROM
LOCAL MONITORING WELLS

Date	HZ-95-1		HZ-95-1S		HZ-95-2		HZ-95-3		HZ-01-06-1	
	Depth (ft)*	Elevation (ft)								
12/5/95	--	--	135.0	8221.5	828.0	7519.6	--	--		
12/13/95	786.00	7570.70	--	--	--	--	--	--		
12/21/95	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
7/9-10/96	711.30	7585.40	133.80	8222.70	830.00	7517.60	378.80	7522.70		
8/5/96	770.80	7585.90	133.50	8223.00	829.40	7518.20	387.80	7513.70		
9/11/96	769.40	7587.30	132.50	8224.00	829.40	7518.20	387.70	7513.80		
10/23/96	776.40	7580.30	132.50	8224.00	829.20	7518.40	380.70	7520.80		
11/1/96	776.40	7580.30	132.50	8224.00	829.20	7518.40	380.80	7520.70		
12/13/96	#		#		829.50	7518.10	379.50	7522.00		
1/6/97	771.05	7584.75	133.00	8223.50						
2/10/97	+		+		+		+			
3/25/97	+		+		+		+			
4/1/97	+		+		+		+			
5/28/97	770.95	7584.90	131.50	8225.10	828.05	7519.55	379.90	7522.40		
6/30/97	770.20	7585.60	132.14	8224.36	827.72	7519.88	379.90	7522.40		
9/16/97	773.50	7583.90	132.50	8224.00	827.20	7520.40	379.90	7522.40		
10/17/97	773.70	7583.70	132.50	8224.00	827.20	7520.40	379.90	7522.40		
6/30/98	817.80	7538.90	133.10	8223.40	836.60	7511.00	395.10	7506.40		
9/1/98	745.00	7611.70	134.50	8222.00	840.90	7506.70	398.00	7503.50		
6/1/99	758.80	7597.90	133.70	8222.80	847.80	7499.80	399.50	7502.00		
7/1/99	758.10	7598.60	134.40	8222.10	845.90	7501.70				
11/1/99	+		+		+		397.00	7504.50		
5/20/00	862.70	7494.00	132.80	8223.70	849.80	7497.80	401.50	7500.00		
9/8/00							402.10	7499.40		
9/26/00	875.00	7481.70	134.40	8222.10	863.80	7483.80				
10-12/31/00	\$		\$		\$		\$			
12/12/00	+		+		+		+			
3/23/01	+		+		+		+			
5/31-6/1/01	870.55	7486.15	133.75	8222.75	856.75	7490.85	414.17	7487.33		
9/20/01	876.85	7479.85	134.50	8222.00	862.40	7485.20	416.10	7485.40		
10/19/01	873.36	7483.34	134.65	8221.85	858.71	7488.89	415.70	7485.80		
11/17/01									944.20	7817.20
2/18/02	@		@		@		@		@	
3/25/02	@		@		@		@		@	
6/12/02	876.68	7480.02	135.08	8221.42	867.38	7480.22	458.12	7443.38	1029.58	7731.82
9/4/02	876.85	7479.85	136.37	8220.13	869.28	7478.32	%465.1	%7436.4	1036.85	7724.55
10/8/02	876.55	7480.15	136.00	8220.50	869.65	7477.95	%465.1	%7436.4	1037.45	7723.95
5/14/2003	@		@		@		%465.1	%7436.4	@	
5/28/2003	875.12	7481.38	135.35	8221.15	872.00	7475.60	%465.1	%7436.4	1036.6	7724.80
9/5/2003	876.22	7480.48	135.51	8220.99	871.73	7475.87	%465.1	%7436.4	1036.74	7724.66
10/16/2003	876.24	7480.46	135.45	8220.93	871.92	7476.06	%465.1	%7436.4	1036.5	7724.46
3/1/2004	@		@		@				@	
3/29/2004	@		@		@		%465.1	%7436.4		
6/18/2004	901.54	7455.16	135.68	8220.82	877.20	7470.40	%465.1	%7436.4	1062.50	7698.90
9/27/2004	905.72	7450.98	136.15	8220.35	878.00	7469.60	%465.1	%7436.4	1050.55	7710.85
10/14/2004	909.25	7447.45	135.90	8220.60	878.17	7469.43	%465.1	%7436.4	1050.33	7711.07
3/16/2005	@		@		@		@		@	
3/31/2005	@		@		@		@		@	
6/28/2005	993.51	7363.19	132.90	8223.70	882.62	7464.98	%465.1	%7436.4	1039.76	7721.64
9/22/2005	993.67	7363.03	133.90	8222.60	844.50	7503.10	%465.1	%7436.4	1039.91	7721.49
10/13/2005	993.61	7363.09	135.82	8211.78	844.61	7502.99	%465.1	%7436.4	1039.80	7721.60
3/31/2006	@		@		@		@		@	
6/23/2006	999.13	7357.60	143.61	8212.90	887.24	7460.40	%465.1	%7436.4	1039.20	7722.20

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION AND CERTIFIED REPORT		Page 1 of 1
Permit Number	C/007/020	Report Date: 1-27-2010
Mine Name	Horizon	
Company Name	Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc.	
Impoundment Identification	Impoundment Number	001
	UPDES Permit Number	UTG040019

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

Inspection Date	1-27-2010
Inspected By	Kit Pappas
Reason for Inspection (Annual, Quarterly or Other Periodic Inspection, Critical Installation, or Completion of Construction)	Quarterly Inspection

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.
 No signs of instability noted at this incised pond. There is no evidence of slumping in the pond or on the embankment. No hazardous conditions were noted.

Required for an impoundment which functions as a SEDIMENTATION POND.	2. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and, estimated average elevation of existing sediment. 60% Sediment Storage – 7566.9 100 % Sediment Storage – 7569.8 Estimated Sediment Elevation – 7562.0
	3. Principle and emergency spillway elevations. Spillway Elevation - 7573.9 Feet
	4. Field Information Pond covered in ice and snow. The pond was not discharging at the time of inspection. The inlets and outlet were stable.

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION AND CERTIFIED REPORT

Permit Number	C/007/020	Report Date: 6-30-2010
Mine Name	Horizon	
Company Name	Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc.	
Impoundment Identification	Impoundment Number	001
	UPDES Permit Number	UTG040019

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

6-30	6-30-2010
Inspected By	Kit Pappas
Reason for Inspection (Annual, Quarterly or Other Periodic Inspection, Critical Installation, or Completion of Construction)	Quarterly Inspection

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.
 No signs of instability noted at this incised pond. There is no evidence of slumping in the pond or on the embankment. No hazardous conditions were noted.

Required for an impoundment which functions as a SEDIMENTATION POND.

2. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and, estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

60% Sediment Storage - 7566.9
 100 % Sediment Storage - 7569.8
 Estimated Sediment Elevation - 7562.0

3. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.

Spillway Elevation - 7573.9 Feet

4. Field Information

Small quantity of water in pond.
 The pond was not discharging at the time of inspection. The inlets and outlet were stable.

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION AND CERTIFIED REPORT

Permit Number	C/007/020	Report Date: 9-27-2010
Mine Name	Horizon	
Company Name	Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc.	
Impoundment Identification	Impoundment Number	001
	UPDES Permit Number	UTG040019

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

Date of Inspection	9-27-2010
Inspected By	Kit Pappas
Reason for Inspection (Annual, Quarterly or Other Periodic Inspection, Critical Installation, or Completion of Construction)	Quarterly and Annual Inspection

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.
 No signs of instability noted at this incised pond. There is no evidence of slumping in the pond or on the embankment. No hazardous conditions were noted.

Required for an impoundment which functions as a SEDIMENTATION POND.

2. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and, estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

60% Sediment Storage - 7566.9
 100 % Sediment Storage - 7569.8
 Estimated Sediment Elevation - 7562.0

3. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.

Spillway Elevation - 7573.9 Feet

4. Field Information

Small quantity of water in pond.
 The pond was not discharging at the time of inspection. The inlets and outlet were stable.



IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION AND CERTIFIED REPORT

Permit Number	C/007/020	Report Date: 11-5-2010
Mine Name	Horizon	
Company Name	Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc.	
Impoundment Identification	Impoundment Number	001
	UPDES Permit Number	UTG040019

IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION

Date of Inspection	11-5-2010
Inspected By	Kit Pappas
Reason for Inspection (Annual, Quarterly or Other Periodic Inspection, Critical Installation, or Completion of Construction)	Quarterly Inspection

1. Describe any appearance of any instability, structural weakness, or any other hazardous condition.
 No signs of instability noted at this incised pond. There is no evidence of slumping in the pond or on the embankment. No hazardous conditions were noted.

Required for an impoundment which functions as a SEDIMENTATION POND.

2. Sediment storage capacity, including elevation of 60% and 100% sediment storage volumes, and, estimated average elevation of existing sediment.

60% Sediment Storage – 7566.9
 100 % Sediment Storage – 7569.8
 Estimated Sediment Elevation – 7562.0

3. Principle and emergency spillway elevations.
 Spillway Elevation - 7573.9 Feet

4. Field Information

Some water in pond.
 The pond was not discharging at the time of inspection. The inlets and outlet were stable.

LEGAL, FINANCIAL, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED INFORMATION

The Division is Requesting that each Permittee review and update the legal, financial, compliance and related information in the plan as part of the annual report. Please provide the Department of Commerce, Annual Report of Officers, or other equivalent information as necessary to ensure that the information provided in the plan is current. Provide any other change as necessary regarding land ownership, lease acquisitions, legal results from appeals of violations, or other changes as necessary to update information required in the mining and reclamation plan. Include certified financial statements, audits or worksheets, which may be required to meet bonding requirements. Specify whether the information is currently on file with the Division or included as an attachment to the report.

LEGAL/ FINANCIAL UPDATE

Included

DOGMA File Location or Annual Report Location

ON FILE WITH DIVISION

REVIEWER COMMENTS

Met Requirements

Did Not meet Requirements

MAPS

Copies of mine maps, current and up-to-date through at least December 31, 2010, are to be provided to the Division as an attachment to this report in accordance with the requirements of R 645-301-525.240. The map copies shall be made in accordance with 30 CFR 75.1200 as required by MSHA. Mine maps are not considered confidential.

Map Name	Map Number	Included		Confidential	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
Annual Subsidence Map	HORIZON MINE 5 YEAR MINE P	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mine Map	SAME AS ABOVE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REVIEWER COMMENTS Met Requirements Did Not meet Requirements

OTHER INFORMATION

Please provide any comments of further information to be included as part of the Annual Report. Any other attachments are to be provided as an attachment to this report. If information is submitted as a group rather than by individual mine, please identify each of the mine's data in the list below.

Please List Attachments:

REVIEWER COMMENTS

**HORIZON MINE DISCHARGE
FOR 2010**

<u>DATE</u>	<u>GALLONS PUMPED</u>	<u>MINUTES</u>	<u>AVG. G.P.M</u>	
1/1/2009				
1/31/2009	8,852,023	44,640	198.30	
2/29/2009	7,785,174	41,760	186.43	
3/31/2009	6,026,900	44,640	135.01	
4/30/2009	8,121,000	43,200	187.99	
5/31/2009	8,092,100	44,640	181.27	
6/30/2009	11,238,000	43,200	260.14	
7/31/2009	9,015,550	44,640	201.96	
8/31/2009	9,220,200	44,640	206.55	
9/30/2009	9,429,250	43,200	218.27	
10/31/2009	9,071,470	44,640	203.21	
11/30/2009	8,528,854	43,200	197.43	
12/31/2009	10,232,697	44,640	229.23	
<u>TOTAL GALS</u>			<u>MINUTES</u>	<u>AVG. G.P.M.</u>
105,613,218			527,040	200.3893784