C/007/020 Incoming
cc: Steve C.
Steve A.
Dana

ALEXANDER H. WALKER III
Attorney at Law
American Plaza II
57 West 200 South, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
(801) 363-0100
(801) 521-3301 (Fax)
admitted in Utah and Nevada

RECEIVED
OCT 31 2016
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

October 28, 2016

Lynn Kunzler
Assessment Officer
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
P.O. Box 145801
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N21187, Hidden Splendor Resources, Horizon Mine, C/007/0020, Task #5290

Dear Mr. Kunzler:

Your letter dated October 25, 2016 served upon me as a resident agent for Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc. is enclosed. As the Division is aware, I am not the resident agent for Hidden Splendor and any attempt to give notice to Hidden Splendor through me at this address is defective.

Sincerely,

Alexander H. Walker III

AHWIII:hm
Enclosure
cc: Steven F. Alder
1594 West North Temple, Suite 300
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

RECEIVED
OCT 31 2016
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

October 25, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT
9590 9402 1201 5246 2588 35

Alex Walker, Resident Agent
Hidden Splendor Resources, Inc.
57 West 200 South, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N21187, Hidden Splendor Resources, Horizon Mine, C/007/0020, Task #5290

Dear Mr. Walker:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Amanda Daniels, on October 4, 2016. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of this violation, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Suzanne Steab.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler
Assessment Officer

Enclosure
cc: Suzanne Steab, DOGM
Sheri Sasaki, DOGM
O:\007020.HZN\WG5290 N21187\Proposed Assessment.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COMPANY / MINE Hidden Splendor Resources / Horizon Mine

PERMIT C/007/0020 NOV N 21187 VIOLATION 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT DATE October 24, 2016

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Lynn Kunzler

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)

A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today=s date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
NOV #21168	6/9/2016	1
NOV # 21170	7/9/2016	1
NOV # 21176	7/9/2016	1
CO #21179	6/30/2016	5
CO # 21180	6/30/2016	5
CO # 21184	7/7/2016	5

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year
No pending notices shall be counted

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 18

II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.

2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector=s and operator=s statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? Event

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?

Water Pollution

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

PROBABILITY	RANGE
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS __20__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:
****** According to the information in the inspector statement, added water from undisturbed areas (as a result of plugged culverts) is entering the pond results in more frequent discharges, and insufficient time for treatment of the sediment. While the pond was not discharging at the time, the inspector observed evidence of recent discharge(s). Point are assigned at the 'occurred' level.***

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS __5__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:
****** According to the information in the inspector statement, until the culverts are maintained, it is expected that there will be under-treated discharge leaving the pond with each additional rainstorm. The inspector did not indicate the extent of damage (how far from the mine was untreated discharge evidence observed), or due to lack of samples, the level of contaminants that are/would be discharged. Points are therefore assessed at one fifth of the range.***

B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Actual
RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:
***

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)_25__

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligent.

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS __15__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:
****** According to the information in the inspector statement, Ordinary negligence is considered. Had the operator had representatives on site, the problems with the culverts would have likely been spotted earlier and the maintenance work would have taken place. The points are assigned at the top of the 'negligence' range due to the outstanding FTACO's and 4 sediment control violations issued within the last three years.***

IV. <u>GOOD FAITH</u> (Max 20 pts.)
Good Faith is not evaluated at this time since the violation has not yet been abated.
(Either A or B)
(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation
X Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

X Rapid Compliance -1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

X Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?
IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

X Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

X Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

X Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? _____

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:
******Good faith will be evaluated upon termination of the violation***

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # **N21187**

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	18
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	25
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	15
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	58
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	**$4,180**