

November 6, 1984

TO: Susan C. Linner, Permit Supervisor/Reclamation Biologist
FROM: Thomas L. Portle, Reclamation Soils Specialist *TLP*
RE: Response to Legal Adequacy Comments, Blazon #1 Mine,
ACT/007/021, Carbon County, Utah

In many places in the PAP the applicant has indicated a method with commensurate equipment required to implement the method. These have been approved or additional information required when needed. The sentence referred to was intended to elicit the quality of a response that the applicant had provided in many other instances.

It is noted that the reference to surface treatment prior to replacement has been eliminated as redundant and not appropriate in this section.

The following shall be included to set up stipulation 817.24(1)1

The applicant provides a plan which details topsoil redistribution procedures appearing largely in the Appendix to chapter 3 (original MRP). A reference on page 817.22 (DOC), that scarification will be performed to eliminate compaction and enhance the contact between the regraded surface and the subsoil substitute material. Following this, two (2) to three (3) inches of topsoil will be applied. The applicant has yet to address procedures to improve the contact between the regraded overburden and the subsoil substitute material.

Eliminate the second sentence of stipulation 817.24(1).

Guidelines are currently available and could be employed at any time. However, since the applicant will not actually know what fertilizer is needed until the time of reclamation, the proposed fertilizer rates found in the PAP are adequate in the way of providing for an estimate for bonding purposes. The commitment to employ guidelines in effect at the time of actual reclamation is a solid step.

Change made in stipulation 817.25(1) to refer reader to appendix to chapter 8.

kc
05690-1