



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0070021
Inspection Type:	COMPLETE
Inspection Date:	Monday, August 12, 2013
Start Date/Time:	8/12/2013 9:00:00 AM
End Date/Time:	8/12/2013 2:00:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Wednesday, June 12, 2013

Representatives Present During the Inspection:	
OGM	Steve Demczak

Inspector: Steve Demczak

Weather: Cloudy, 80's

InspectionID Report Number: 3587

Accepted by: jhelfric

8/28/2013

Permitee: **BLAZON**

Operator:

Site: **BLAZON #1 MINE**

Address: ,

County: **CARBON**

Permit Type: **PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM**

Permit Status: **RECLAIMED**

Current Acreages

7.00	Total Permitted
7.00	Total Disturbed
	Phase I
	Phase II
	Phase III

Mineral Ownership

- Federal
- State
- County
- Fee
- Other

Types of Operations

- Underground
- Surface
- Loadout
- Processing
- Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

There was not any change since the oversight inspection on June 12, 2013. The stream had heavy flow and over cap the banks. This caused sediment deposition and wood on the banks of the stream. The stream did very well to handle the excess water flow. The excess water came from last year's forest fire above the reclaim site. This same condition happened at the Star Point reclamation site causing the main diversion to erode. The Blazon stream did not erode but deposited sediment and wood.

The landowner of the Blazon reclamation site has changed as of December 2012. The new owner is Jacob Lake Hills, LC.; 349 East 200 South; Pleasant Grove UT 84062

It is my opinion that this site should be release from DOGM jurisdiction. The site has been reclaimed for more than 10 years. This reclaim site is well vegetated and the design stream is stable. There have been high water flow and the designed stream channel has held up. The high wall area is stable with no signs of erosion or ground cracks. I cannot see any reason for this property to be inspected by DOGM.

Steve Demczak

Digitally signed by Steve Demczak
DN: cn=Steve Demczak, o, ou,
email=stevendemczak@utah.gov, c=US
Date: 2013.10.07 14:55:09 -06'00'

Inspector's Signature:

Steve Demczak,
Inspector ID Number: 39

Date Monday, August 28, 2013



REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Signs and Markers

There is no identification sign. It was removed by someone.

3. Topsoil

All topsoil was used in reclamation.

4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions

The stream had heavy flow and over cap the banks. This caused sediment deposition and wood on the banks of the stream. The stream did very well to handle the excess water flow. The excess water came from last year's forest fire above the reclaim site. This same condition happened at the Star Point reclamation site causing the main diversion to erode. The Blazon stream did not erode but deposited sediment and wood.

4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures

The site has been pocked. There were no signs of erosion to the land except to one minor section of the stream bank. This was caused by high water flow.

8. Noncoal Waste

Site was clear of non-coal waste material.

13. Revegetation

The site has sufficient vegetation. The vegetation around creek was beaten down due to creek overtopping.

16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing

A road was constructed prior to August 6, 2012. It is assumed that the landowner made this road.