

July 1, 1987

TO: Coal File

FROM: James R. Fricke, Reclamation Hydrologist *JRF*

RE: Annual Report Review, Beaver Creek Coal Company, C. V. Spur, ACT/007/022, Folder #6, Carbon County, Utah

The Annual Report was reviewed for water monitoring data completeness. Flouride and Boron were not analyzed as required by the approved permit. Data presented for CV-0 denotes a flow rate, while in Section 7 of the MRP the operator states that Station CV-0 is a well. Information in the MRP denotes CV-1 as a french drain; the Annual Report does not contain water monitoring data for CV-1.

The operator must clarify if CV-0 is a flowing well or if the data presented for CV-0 is the French Drain data. An explanation as to the status of CV-1 is required.

djh  
Attachments  
cc: J. Whitehead  
0798R/40

6/25/87

C.V. Spas

Annual Report Review

<u>Station</u>	<u>Parameter not Analyzed</u>	<u>Comments</u>
CV-0	1 sample 3/21/86 Fluoride, Boron	pg 7-5 CV-0 is a well but report shows flow rate?
CV-1	No Data Presented	French drain p. 7-56a
CV-2	Dry	Dry
CV-3	Fluoride, Boron	lab cond 4310, Field Cond 7500
CV-4	" "	1 sample for Fluoride on 3/21/86, 2 required
CV-5	Boron, Fluoride →	" " " "
CV-6	" "	" " " "
CV-7	Dry	Dry
CV-8	Obstructed	
CV-9	Dry	
CV-10	Obstructed, Dry	
CV-11	Boron, Fluoride →	1 sample for FL on 3/21/86
CV-12	Boron, Fluoride →	" " "
CV-13	Dry	
CV-14	Boron, Fluoride	1 sample for FL on 3/21/86

42-381 50 SHEETS 5 SQUARE  
 42-382 100 SHEETS 5 SQUARE  
 42-389 200 SHEETS 5 SQUARE  
 MADE IN U.S.A.  
 NATIONAL