



0002

STATE OF UTAH  
NATURAL RESOURCES  
Oil, Gas & Mining

007/022#3  
Norman H. Bangerter, Governor  
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director  
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

November 16, 1987

Mr. Dan W. Guy, Manager  
Permitting & Compliance  
Beaver Creek Coal Company  
P. O. Box 1378  
Price, Utah 84501

Dear Mr. Guy:

Re: Conditional Approval of PAP Amendment, T.D.N. #5 Response, Sediment Pond Markers & Cleanout Levels, Beaver Creek Coal Company, C. V. Spur Loadout Facility, ACT/007/022-87D, Folder No. 3, Carbon County, Utah

The Division has completed a review of plans received October 22, 1987 for the above referenced PAP amendment. Division hydrologist, James Fricke, has reviewed the plans and recommends granting conditional approval for the amendment. Please refer to the attached technical memorandum for an explanation of the conditions which will require additional information from the operator.

Please provide the requested information by December 5, 1987. Thank you for your cooperation in completing this permitting action. Please call me, James Fricke or John Whitehead should you have questions pertaining to this amendment review.

Sincerely,

D. Wayne Hedberg  
Data Management Coordinator

djh  
Attachment  
cc: R. Hagen            J. Whitehead  
      P. Rutledge        P.F.O.  
      J. Fricke  
8992R/34



355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

November 9, 1987

TO: John Whitehead, Permit Supervisor  
FROM: James R. Fricke, Reclamation Hydrologist *JRF*  
RE: Sediment Level Amendment, Beaver Creek Coal Company, C. V. Spur, ACT/007/022-87D, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

### Synopsis

The operator has proposed to amend the current sediment level marker location on ponds 1 (1,2, and 3), 5 and 6. Sediment level detection will be determined by level survey methods. Measurements will be taken from the overflow down to the average sediment level.

### Analysis

The operator has not provided adequate information to approve the amendment. Where will the survey baseline point be located. What will the survey methodology be in the event that the ponds are full of water?

### Recommendations

The Division should conditionally approve the amendment.

1. The operator must commit to a baseline survey point.
2. The narrative in the amendment must describe the survey methodology used when the ponds are full of water.

djh  
0798R/19