0009

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/007/022

File Name: Incoming

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company BEAVER CREEK COAL Co.

Date Sent: Feb. 15, 1991

Explanation:

MINE SITE EVALUATION INSPECTION Report

cc:

File in:
C/007/022 1991, Incoming
Refer to:
- ☐ **Confidential**
- ☐ **Shelf**
- ☐ **Expandable**

Date _____ For additional information

Put in ACT/007/022

RECEIVED
FEB 15 1991
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

File ACT/007/022 #5

United States Department of the Interior
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

For Office Use Only

1a (Y Y M M): 1b (Batch): 1c (Report)

2. Name of Permittee: Beaver Creek Coal Co
3. Street Address: PO Box 1378
4. City: Price
5. State: UT
6. Zip Code: 84501
7. Area Code: 801
8. Telephone Number: 637-5050
9. MSHA Number: 42-01444-
10. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D): 910212
11. State Permit Number: ACT/007/022
12. Name of Mine: C. K. Spur
13. County Code: 007
14. State Code: UT
15. Strata:
16. State Area Office: 01
17. OSM Field Office No.: 02
18. OSM Area Office No.:
19. OSM Sample No.:
20. Type of Inspection (Code): C
21. Joint Inspection Yes: X No:
22. Inspector's ID No.: 246

23. Status

A	01	Type of Permit
B	A	Mine Status (Code)
C	31	Type of Facility (Code)
D	160.0	Number of Permitted Acres
E	17.0	Number of Disturbed Acres

24. Type of Activity (check applicable boxes).

A	☐	Steep Slope	E	☐	Anthracite
B	☐	Mountain Top Removal	F	☐	Federal Lands
C	☐	Prime Farmlands	G	☐	Indian Lands
D	☐	Alluvial Valley Floors	H	☒	Other

Non-Federal

25. Performance Standards (Codes)

Instructions: Indicate compliance code. For any standard marked 2 or 3 provide narrative to support this determination.

Standards That Limit the Effects to the Permit Area

A	1	Distance Prohibitions
B	1	Mining Within Permit Boundaries
C	1	Signs and Markers
D	1	Sediment Control Measures
E	1	Design and Certification Requirements—Sediment Control
F	1	Effluent Limits
G	1	Surface Water Monitoring
H	1	Ground Water Monitoring
I	3	Blasting Procedures
J	1	Haul/Access Road Design and Maintenance
K	3	Refuse Impoundments
L		Other: Specify

Standards That Assure Reclamation Quality and Timeliness

M	1	Topsoil Handling
N	1	Backfilling and Grading
O	1	Following Reclamation Schedule
P	1	Revegetation Requirements
Q	2	Disposal of Excess Spoil
R	1	Handling of Acid or Toxic Materials
S	3	Highwall Elimination
T	3	Dow
U	1	Post
V		Ces
W		Oth

File in:
☐ Confidential
☐ Shelf
☐ Expandable

Refer to Record No 0009 Date 2-15-91
In C/007/022, 1991, Incoming
For additional information

Distribution: Original - Field Office, Green - Headquarters, Blue - State's Copy, Yellow - Inspector's Copy, Pink - File Copy

Put in ACT/007/022

RECEIVED
FEB 15 1991
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

File ACT/007/022 #5

United States Department of the Interior
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

For Office Use Only

1a (Y Y M M): 1b (Batch): 1c (Report)

2. Name of Permittee: Beaver Creek Coal Co
3. Street Address: PO Box 1378
4. City: Price
5. State: UT
6. Zip Code: 84501
7. Area Code: 801
8. Telephone Number: 637-5050
9. MSHA Number: 42-01444-
10. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D): 910127
11. State Permit Number: ACT/007/022
12. Name of Mine: C.V. Spur
13. County Code: 007
14. State Code: UT
15. Strata:
16. State Area Office: 01
17. OSM Field Office No.: 02
18. OSM Area Office No.:
19. OSM Sample No.:
20. Type of Inspection (Code): C
21. Joint Inspection Yes: X No:
22. Inspector's ID No.: 246

23. Status

A	01	Type of Permit
B	A	Mine Status (Code)
C	31	Type of Facility (Code)
D	160.0	Number of Permitted Acres
E	112.0	Number of Disturbed Acres

24. Type of Activity (check applicable boxes).

A	☐	Steep Slope	E	☐	Anthracite
B	☐	Mountain Top Removal	F	☐	Federal Lands
C	☐	Prime Farmlands	G	☐	Indian Lands
D	☐	Alluvial Valley Floors	H	☒	Other

Non-Federal

25. Performance Standards (Codes)

Instructions: Indicate compliance code. For any standard marked 2 or 3 provide narrative to support this determination.

Standards That Limit the Effects to the Permit Area

A	1	Distance Prohibitions
B	1	Mining Within Permit Boundaries
C	1	Signs and Markers
D	1	Sediment Control Measures
E	1	Design and Certification Requirements—Sediment Control
F	1	Effluent Limits
G	1	Surface Water Monitoring
H	1	Ground Water Monitoring
I	3	Blasting Procedures
J	1	Haul/Access Road Design and Maintenance
K	3	Refuse Impoundments
L	☐	Other: Specify _____

Standards That Assure Reclamation Quality and Timeliness

M	1	Topsoil Handling
N	1	Backfilling and Grading
O	1	Following Reclamation Schedule
P	1	Revegetation Requirements
Q	2	Disposal of Excess Spoil
R	1	Handling of Acid or Toxic Materials
S	3	Highwall Elimination
T	3	Downslope Spoil Disposal
U	1	Post Mining Land Use
V	☐	Cessation of Operations: Temporary
W	☐	Other _____

United States Department of the Interior
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

26. State Permit Number [illegible]

27. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D) 9 1 0 1 5 5

28. Yes ☐ No ☐ Do mining and reclamation activities on the site comply with the plans in the permit? If no, provide narrative to support this determination.

29. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections conducted by the State to date for this annual review period:

29a. 0 2 Number of Completes — 29b. 0 3 Number of Partials

30. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections required by the State during this annual review period:

30a. 0 2 Number of Completes — 30b. 0 1 Number of Partials

31. Has inspection frequency been met?

31a. Yes ☒ No ☐ Completes — 31b. Yes ☒ No ☐ Partials

32. FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION. [Enter violation number. Check appropriate box(es)]

	Ten-Day Notice No.	Notice of Violation No.	Cessation Order No.	Violation Codes
A	☐	☐	☐	Authorizations to Operate
B	☐	☐	☐	Signs and Markers
C	☐	☐	☐	Backfilling and Grading
D	☐	☐	☐	Highwall Elimination
E	☐	☐	☐	Rills and Gullies
F	☐	☐	☐	Improper Fills
G	☐	☐	☐	Topsoil Handling
H	☐	☐	☐	Sediment Ponds
I	☐	☐	☐	Effluent Limits
J	☐	☐	☐	Water Monitoring
K	☐	☐	☐	Buffer Zones
L	☐	☐	☐	Roads
M	☐	☐	☐	Dams
N	☐	☐	☐	Blasting
O	☐	☐	☐	Revegetation
P	☐	☐	☐	Spoil on the Downslope
Q	☐	☐	☐	Mining Without Permit
R	☐	☐	☐	Exceeding Permit Limits
S	☐	☐	☐	Distance Prohibitions
T	☐	☐	☐	Toxic Materials
U	☐	☐	☐	Other Violations

33. Name of Authorized Representative *(print or type)* [illegible]

Signature of Authorized Representative [illegible] — Date 2/11/91

Signature of Reviewing Official [illegible] — Date 2/12/91

Company: Beaver Creek Coal Co.
P.O. Box 1378
Price, UT 84501

Mine: C.V. Spur Prep Plant
Permit #: ACT/007/027
Date: 1/25/91

Inspector: T. Zingo
Inspection Type: Complete

Others: Tom Munson, DOGM; Dan Guy, Beaver Creek.

Records Review: All records were reviewed at the mine office. No problems were identified with monitoring records or other permitting requirements.
The BTCA areas at the C.V. Spur site are total containment structures. Therefore, no discharges will exit the permit area up to a 10yr.-24hr. precipitation event or snow melt of the same volume.

Field Review: The field review did not identify any violations of the State program. However, 3 conditions at the site could develop into violations if maintenance is not performed in the near future, as follows:

(1) Ditch #CD-1 on the northern boundry of the permit area is flowing within the designed capacity-0.26', but the flow on the east end of the ditch is meandering due to siltation of the ditch. This ditch should be recut to maintain the flow as designed or a violation may occur.

(2) Approximately 50' from the northwest corner of the most northwesterly coal stockpile the "A" horizon soil have not been removed. The undisturbed soils are situated such that a

surface drainage is directed next to and past the area. A sediment delta is building next to the undisturbed soils and is encroaching on it. The "A" horizon soils are not yet disturbed but a significant rainfall could cover part of the undisturbed ground with coal and other sediment. The permittee should consider recutting the ditch around the NW corner of the coal stock pile, and remove or otherwise protect the "A" horizon soils.

(B) Culverts C-5 and C-6 are prime candidates for siltation. The culverts were partially filled w/sediment but were still operating within the designs shown on page 7-88a of the MRP. Mr. Hansen and I suggested that Mr Guy redesign the associated ditches to reduce the possibility of future problems.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT
RANDOM SAMPLE MEIR SUPPLEMENT

1. Permittee Beaver Creek Coal Co.
2. Permit Number ACT/007/022
3. Joint Inspection | Y | Y/N
4. Date 1-25-91
5. Days since Last State Complete Inspection (LSCI) 95
6. Block 25 Categories in NON-COMPLIANCE this RSI 0
7. Total Violations this RSI 0

****

8. List (only once) all violations:
   1) where State enforcement was required and taken during the LSCI;
   2) recorded in the LSCI report but the State failed to take enforcement;
   3) observed during this RSI which clearly existed during the LSCI but the State failed to take enforcement; and;
   4) existing during this RSI which are not already listed under one of the categories above.

	A LAW / SPECIFIC STATE REGULATION VIOLATED	B BLOCK 25 CATEGORY	C ABATED (y/n)	D STATE ACTION	E REASON IF UNCITED	F CAUSE	G SERIOUSNESS PEO	H IMPACT	I OSMRE ACTION	J OPTIONAL	K
1.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
2.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
3.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
4.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
5.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
6.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
7.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
8.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
9.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										
10.	____ / ____ / ____ / ____ / ____										
	Description:										

STATE ACTION
1) Existed on LSCI, cited
2) Existed on LSCI, not cited
3) Cited Prior to LSCI, Abatement Pending
4) Occurred since LSCI

STATE'S REASON FOR NOT CITING VIOLATION (AFTER DISCUSSION WITH THE STATE)
1) Not a Violation
2) Precluded by State Policy
3) Not included under State Program
4) Warning given in lieu of a Citation
5) Violation not recognized (missed)
6) Practice allowed under approved Permit
7) Too minor to cite
8) Working with Operator to Correct
9) Other:
:

CAUSES
1) Permit Defect
2) Unusual Weather Conditions
3) Unofficial Waiver
4) Operator Negligence
5) Other:
:

PROBABILITY OF EVENT OCCURRENCE
1) None or Unlikely
2) Likely
3) Occurred

IMPACT
Damage Remains Within the Permit Area
1) None or Minor
2) Moderate
3) Considerable
Damage Extends Beyond the Permit Area
4) None or Minor
5) Moderate
6) Considerable
Obstruction to Enforcement
7) None or Minor

OSMRE ACTION
1) Deferred to State Action
2) TDN issued
3) IN-CO issued
4) Previously Cited, Abatement Pending
5) Abated during or before OSMRE Inspection