

0612



# State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangerter  
Governor

Dee C. Hansen  
Executive Director

Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.  
Division Director

355 West North Temple  
3 Triad Center, Suite 350  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203  
801-538-5340

February 4, 1992

Mr. Dan Guy, Manager  
Mountain Coal Company  
P.O. Box 1378  
Price, Utah 84501

Dear Mr. Guy:

Re: Midterm Review, Mountain Coal Company, C.V. Spur Coal Processing and Loadout Facility, ACT/007/022, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

Enclosed please find the midterm review items for the C.V. Spur Coal Processing and Loadout Facility. Please submit the requested information in a complete and adequate form for insertion into the plan by March 13, 1992.

If you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Pamela Grubaugh-Littig".

Pamela Grubaugh-Littig  
Permit Supervisor

jbe  
Enclosure  
cc: Bill Malencik  
007022MT

Midterm Review Items

Item 1 Finding

The narrative, maps and other documents in the PAP carry the name Beaver Creek Coal Company. The permit is in the name of Mountain Coal Company. A question exists now that the name change has been legally completed. How should this be reflected in the PAP?

Recommendation

Recommended the permittee amend "2.1 Scope, page 2-1, 5/10/91" which would clarify this matter short of changing each document carrying the name Beaver Creek Coal Company. Further that such an instrument be construed to meet the need for a name change on such administrative documents until: (1) permit renewal or (2) documents be changed for other reasons.

Item 2 Finding

Pages 3-40, 3-43, figures 3-8, and 3-11, depicting signs with Beaver Creek logo, do not have to be changed per item 1 above.

Recommendation

All signs at the preparation plant will have to carry the Mountain Coal Company name.

Item 3 Finding

Pages 3-24, 3-24a, 3.2.5.1 do not reflect roads have been classified.

Recommendation

Pages 3-24, 3-24a, 3.2.5.1 should be updated relative to roads to comply with R645-301-527.100-300. Plate 3-2 should be updated as necessary to comply with revisions required herein.

Item 4 Finding

The geology map and other required information is not certified. Page 6-3, figures 6-2 through 6-13, and plate 6-1, provide core sample data, location of bore holes, and geologic map of the Price area, respectively. The foregoing documents have been prepared by professionals, but are not certified as required by R645-301-512.150. It should be recognized that most of the requirements under R645-301-622 are not applicable to a preparation plant.

Recommendation

The operator should take steps to certify the geology map and the bore holes and core samples documents, figures 6-2 through 6-13.

Item 5 Finding and Recommendation

Page 7-94, 7.2.7, reconfirm cleanout levels for ponds 1, 2, and 3 (penciled note).

Item 6 Finding

Plate 7-1 map showing water monitoring stations is not certified. R645-512.140 requires Hydrology maps be certified.

Recommendation

Recommend the operator retitle above map as a hydrology map, add information as required in R645-301-722, and certify the map.

Item 7 Finding

Plate 3-2 (received 12/23/91) does not correctly show recent pond modifications. For instance, Pond 6 is shown with a culvert outlet, whereas, the pond now has an open channel spillway.

Recommendation

Plate 3-2, along with other relevant maps, should be modified to show correct pond spillway configurations.

Item 8 Proposal

Page 3-54a. The operator stated that some of the wells are showing consistent readings and would like to propose eliminating some of the wells. Five wells were eliminated in 1982.

Recommendation

The operator may submit a proposal as provided in R645-301-731.214 for Division consideration and action. Also refer to page 7-3, 7.1.2.2.

Item 9

Proposal

The operator stated he would like to place sediment markers in all C.V. Spur sediment ponds in lieu of performing surveys. He stated that with personnel changes, cutbacks, and moves, personnel at the loadout would assist with the compliance program by keeping track of sediment levels.

Recommendation

Same as Item 8 above.

Item 10

Proposal

The operator proposes to leave small depressions as provided in the regulations on the refuse pile.

Recommendation

Same as Item 8 above.