

0004

OK



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

March 20, 2002

TO: Internal File
THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *RDH*
FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JDS*
RE: 2001 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout, C/007/033-WQ01-2

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

There was no flow at MRP required monitoring points during the second quarter of 2001.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. Renewal submittal due 01/05/04, renewal due 05/05/04.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no flow at MRP required monitoring points during the second quarter of 2001.

4. Were irregularities found in the data?

YES []

NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no flow at MRP required monitoring points during the second quarter of 2001.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []

2nd month, YES [X] NO []

3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X]

NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no UPDES discharge all three months.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES []

NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?