

0002



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
(801) 538-5340 telephone
(801) 359-3940 fax
(801) 538-7223 TTY
www.nr.utah.gov

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Robert L. Morgan
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

OK

January 13, 2008

TO: Internal File
THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*
FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JDS*
RE: 2002 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout, C/007/033-WQ02-2

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

There was no flow at MRP monitoring points during the second quarter of 2002.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. Renewal submittal due 01/05/04, renewal due 05/05/04.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no flow at MRP monitoring points during the second quarter of 2002.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no flow at MRP monitoring points during the second quarter of 2002..

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no UPDES discharge all three months.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No further action is needed.