

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

January 4, 2005

TO: Internal File

THRU: Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Environmental Scientist

RE: 2004 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout, C/007/0033, Task ID # 2016

- 1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES NO
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

There was no reported flow at MRP monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3, and WCW-4 during the third quarter of 2004.

- 2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.**
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. Renewal submittal due 01/05/04, renewal due 05/05/04. Only one sample was collected in 2003, at WCW-1 in March, and; it was not analyzed for baseline parameters.

- 3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES NO
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No flow at all sites.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site

5. Were DMR data submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

DMR data were submitted electronically. There was no UPDES discharge during the third quarter.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

There was no UPDES discharge during the third quarter.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

There is no further action recommended at this time.