0006

OK

State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
(801) 538-5340 telephone
(801) 359-3940 fax
(801) 538-7223 TTY
www.nr.utah.gov

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Robert L. Morgan
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

July 25, 2002

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist III

RE: 2002 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Banning Loadout, C/007/034-WQ02-1

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO [ ]
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

No samples were collected. With the exception of the DMR's, the MRP requires samples to be collected during the 'late fall'. The annual sample was collected from the Truck Dump Sump on December 12, 2001.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline re-sampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline re-submittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 6/24/03, renewal due 10/24/03. No commitment to re-sample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [x] NO [ ]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No samples were collected; as outlined in the MRP.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [ ] NO [x]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No samples were collected; as outlined in the MRP.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

	1st month,	YES [X]	NO [ ]
	2nd month,	YES [X]	NO [ ]
Identify sites and months not monitored:	3rd month,	YES [X]	NO [ ]

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [X] NO [ ]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharge.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [ ] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No additional action is necessary for the 1st quarter 2002 monitoring period.