



State of Utah
 DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
 PO Box 145801
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
 (801) 538-5340 telephone
 (801) 359-3940 fax
 (801) 538-7223 TTY
 www.nr.utah.gov

Michael O. Leavitt
 Governor
 Robert L. Morgan
 Executive Director
 Lowell P. Braxton
 Division Director

OK

November 29, 2002

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist III *GA*

RE: 2002 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Banning Loadout, C/007/034-WQ02-2

1. **Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

No samples were collected. With the exception of the DMR's, the MRP requires samples to be collected during the 'late fall'. The annual sample was collected from the Truck Dump Sump on December 12, 2001.

2. **On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.**
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline re-sampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline re-submittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 6/24/03, renewal due 10/24/03. No commitment to re-sample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .

3. **Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES [x] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No samples were collected; as outlined in the MRP.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [] NO [x]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No samples were collected; as outlined in the MRP.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharge.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No additional action is necessary for the 2nd quarter 2002 monitoring period.