

April 8, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist III

RE: 2002 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, LLC, Banning Loadout, C/007/034-WQ02-4

- 1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

With the exception of the DMR's, the MRP requires samples to be collected during the 'late fall'. The annual sample was collected from the Truck Dump Sump on October 17, 2002.

- 2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.**
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline re-sampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline re-submittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 6/24/03, renewal due 10/24/03. No commitment to re-sample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .

- 3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES [x] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

All required parameters were collected at the Truck Sump.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [x] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Being sampled on an annual basis, only five (5) samples have been collected since 1998 so the sample set is somewhat small. However, the following parameters deviated from the average by the following percentage: Sulfate 62%, dissolved calcium 162%, dissolved magnesium 20%, dissolved potassium 300%, dissolved sodium 52%, and bicarbonate 44%. These parameters will continue to be reviewed in the future.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []
2nd month, YES [X] NO []
3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No discharge.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No additional action is necessary for the 4th quarter 2002 monitoring period.