

OGMCOAL - RE: 0070035 Sunnyside Outgoing Excess Spoil Pile #2 reclamation clarification

From: Rusty Netz <rusnetz@hotmail.com>
To: <priscillaburton@utah.gov>, <scarlson@twinpeakseng.com>
Date: 5/19/2011 7:57 PM
Subject: RE: 0070035 Sunnyside Outgoing Excess Spoil Pile #2 reclamation clarification
CC: <ogmcoal@utah.gov>

Yes we will be covering with 4 ft. Of material. Scott's concern is when we create pockets in the material we could have areas that are less than 4th.

> Date: Thu, 19 May 2011 17:09:43 -0600
> From: priscillaburton@utah.gov
> To: rusnetz@hotmail.com; scarlson@twinpeakseng.com
> CC: OGMCOAL@utah.gov
> Subject: 0070035 Sunnyside Outgoing Excess Spoil Pile #2 reclamation clarification
>
> Rusty,
>
> I would like to clarify a point that may be confusing from my last email. The use of lesser cover assumes that there is no acid/toxic characteristics in the excess spoil pile #2 surface layers. The testing of excess spoil pile #2 presented in the 2010 annual report does not include acid/base accounting or meaningful SAR, B or Se analysis (because of the analytical procedures used). Prior to using lesser cover, the pile needs to be re-sampled for these parameters. I re-read Scott's message and noted that his plans were for four feet of cover. If that is the case, no further sampling of Excess Spoil Pile #2 would be required.
>
> Let me know which way you decide to go.
> Priscilla.
>
> Priscilla Burton, CPSSc
> Division Oil Gas & Mining
> 319 Carbonville Rd., Ste. C
> Price UT 84501
> (435) 613-3733
>
>
>
>