



State of Utah  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210  
PO Box 145801  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801  
801-538-5340  
801-359-3940 (Fax)  
801-538-7223 (TDD)

Michael O. Leavitt  
Governor  
Lowell P. Braxton  
Division Director

November 18, 1998

TO: File

THRU: Joe Helfrich, Permit Supervisor *JH*

THRU: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DH*

FROM: Robert Davidson, Soils Reclamation Specialist *RAD*

RE: Soils Technical Analysis of the West Ridge Permit Application Package, West Ridge Resources, Inc., West Ridge Mine, PRO/007/041, Folder #2, Carbon County, Utah

**SUMMARY:**

The first PAP submittal for the West Ridge mine was received on January 26, 1998. The Division completed and replied with their initial Technical Analysis response on August 20, 1998. West Ridge Resources made a PAP re-submittal on October 7, 1998. This Technical Analysis for soils is in response to the second submittal.

**TECHNICAL ANALYSIS:**

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE INFORMATION**

**SOILS RESOURCE INFORMATION**

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 783.21, 817.200(c); R645-301-220, -301-411.

**Analysis:**

Chapter 2, Soils, Sections R645-301-220 through -224, discuss the soil resources within the proposed West Ridge Mine area. Relevant soils information includes prime farmland investigation, current and published soil surveys, soil characterizations, and substitute topsoil identification. The Analysis section discusses resource information as follows:

- Prime Farmland Investigation
- Soil Survey Information

- Soil Characterization
- Substitute Topsoil Borrow Area

### **Prime Farmland Investigation**

Prime Farmland site investigations were performed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). No prime farmland or farmland of statewide importance were found within the proposed permit area, mine site and topsoil borrow site because of slope and soil erodibility. The determination letter from the NRCS dated August 7, 1998, was sent to West Ridge Resources, Inc., and is included in Appendix 2-3.

### **Soil Survey Information**

The soil survey information contains both general and site specific surveys as follows:

#### *(1) General, Third Order Soil Survey*

Appendix 2-1 and Soils Map 2-1 make up the general Order-III soil survey. Relevant portions of soil survey for the proposed permit area and regional soils map for the proposed permit area are reproduced from the Carbon County Soil Survey, published by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, National Cooperative Soil Survey, issued in June 1988.

#### *(2) Site specific, First Order Soil Surveys*

A site specific Order-I soil survey was performed and prepared by Mr. James Nyenhuis, Certified Professional Soil Scientist (ARCPACS #2753). The different Order-I soil surveys performed and reported are as follows:

- Appendix 2-2 and Soils Map 2-2 - proposed disturbed area mine site.
- Appendix 2-4 and Soils Map 2-3 - proposed topsoil borrow area.
- Appendix 2-5 - proposed gravel borrow areas.

Soil identification and soil descriptions are contained in each of the respective Appendices (2-1, 2-2 & 2-4) for each of the soil surveys. All mapping and soil survey work were performed according to the standards of the National Cooperative Soil Survey. The First Order Soil Surveys for the proposed disturbed area mine site area, topsoil borrow area, and gravel borrow area were correlated with the published National Cooperative Soil Survey. Based on the site-specific soil descriptions, and laboratory data, each of the soils were classified according to current NRCS soil taxonomy, and correlated to specific soil series names. Correlation of site-specific soils with NRCS soil series criteria allows for subsequent reference to and use of

established NRCS soil interpretation values for these soils.

For the disturbed area mine site, four mapping units are delineated (Map 2-2) and include Rock Outcrop-Rubbleland-Travessilla complex, Midfork very stony fine sandy loam, Brycan loam and Strych stony fine sandy loam. In the proposed topsoil borrow area, three soil units were mapped (Map 2-3) as Strych stony fine sandy loam, Atrac fine sandy loam and Gerst-Badland-Rubbleland complex. For the gravel borrow area, one soil series, Strych gravelly loam, is present across the entire sampled area.

Soil productivity of existing soils was determined by Mr. George Cook from the Natural Resources Conservation Services and results are shown in Appendix 3-1.

### **Soil Characterization**

Soil pedons were characterized by the soil horizons at each sampling location. All profile descriptions were recorded on standard NRCS "232" forms and are provided in each of the appendices.

The soil horizons at each sampling location were sampled and characterized according to the State of Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOGGM) guidelines for topsoil and overburden<sup>1</sup>. Sampled parameters included: soil texture; pH; organic matter percent; saturation percent; electrical conductivity; CaCO<sub>3</sub>; soluble potassium, magnesium, calcium and sodium; sodium absorption ratio, and extractable selenium and boron. Available water capacity, alkalinity, total nitrogen and available phosphorus were not analyzed at this time; these parameters can be tested at reclamation time. Organic matter percent was substituted for organic carbon. Soil texture by hand-texture method, rock fragment content (% by volume), Munsell color, and qualitative calcium carbonate content were determined in the field by Mr Nyenhuis.

No unacceptable criteria were found for salvageable soils and substitute soils except for percent rock content within the mine site disturbance or proposed facilities area. Although DOGM suitability criteria considers >30% (by volume) rock fragments (for both gravels <3" in size and cobbles 3 to 10" in size) to be unacceptable, and >10% stones and boulders >10" in size to also be unacceptable, the recent trend by DOGM is to salvage "**native soils**" with "**intrinsic rock content**." Appendix 2-2 reports that native soils can be salvaged containing a higher rock content than the DOGM guidelines deems acceptable. Ultimate site reclaimability using these rocky soils enhances reclamation success by providing an environment similar to native conditions. Higher rock content soils provide for a more stable reclaimed surface, aid in water

---

<sup>1</sup>Leatherwood, J., and Duce, D., 1988. *Guidelines for Management of Topsoil and Overburden for Underground and Surface Coal Mining*. State of Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

harvesting and ultimate water holding capacity of interstitial soils, and create wildlife habitat and niches on the surface where surface boulders and larger cobble sized rocks are placed.

### **Substitute Topsoil Borrow Area**

A supplemental soil resource area has been identified in the event that reclamation efforts are not successful utilizing the topsoil Resources at the mine site. The borrow topsoil site has been investigated to document the physical and chemical characteristics of this material and to determine the soil's suitability (see Appendix 2-4).

Appendix 2-5 gives the soil resource assessment of the gravel borrow material that will be used for fill during culvert installation and pad construction. The appendix contains information for two separate borrow sites as follows:

- *Original Gravel Borrow Site*
  - Report by Mt. Nebo Scientific, Mr. James H. Nyenhuis
  - Submitted January 1998
  - Location is SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , Section 16, T14S, R13E. The pediment cap is located immediately south and adjacent to soil borrow area, just at the base of the Book Cliffs and just outside the C Canyon.
    - Description characterizes the soil and surficial geologic Resources for the pediment cap as glacial fan terrace-outwash plain material. Soil cover is primarily Strych. Soil and native parent material are suitable as fill material and substitute topsoil for reclamation of the West Ridge Mine and should be considered suitable growth medium. The PAP, Appendix 5-5 describes the material as “. . . chemically and physically identical to the native materials existing naturally in the vicinity of the mine site.” Approximately 15 feet of suitable material is available for use as construction fill.
  
- *Himonas Pit Soil/Gravel Borrow Area*
  - Report by Mr. James H. Nyenhuis
  - Submitted October 1998
  - Location NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , Section 1, T15S, R12E.
    - Private, commercial lease area proposed to supply a mix of soil and gravel material as fill for the West Ridge Mine. Material from this lease is currently being used for construction of the new Carbon County C Canyon road.
      - Soil cover is primarily Hernandez family, 1 to 3 percent slopes. The chemical and physical characteristics of the material described in the addendum to Appendix 2-5 are very dissimilar to the materials at the mine site. Analyses of the material indicate it has moderately high salt, SAR and selenium concentrations and that it is less suited for reclamation than the material at the proposed mine site. While not all samples showed elevated salt and selenium levels, those that did represent the majority of the depth of the

sampled horizons.

Based on additional field sampling and analyses results for material from the Himonas pit, the main problems rest primarily with SAR and selenium levels. The majority of the samples and primary volume of material have SAR values rated in the fair range. The few samples that showed elevated SAR values in the poor to unacceptable range, primarily occurred in the 5 to 11 foot depth range. Material located 11+ feet deep showed elevated selenium values greater than 0.1 mg/Kg which is unacceptable.

#### **Findings:**

The information provided meets the regulatory requirements of this section.

## **OPERATION PLAN**

### **TOPSOIL AND SUBSOIL**

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 817.22; R645-301-230.

#### **Analysis:**

Chapter 2, Soils, Sections R645-301-230 through -234, and R645-302-200 through -218, discusses the soil's operation plan for the proposed West Ridge Mine. Topsoil protection incorporates traditional methods of salvaging/stockpiling and an experimental practice method for protecting in-place soils with a layer of geotextile fabric. The **Experimental Practice** is unique by taking a **Reclamation Approach** for topsoil protection. Relevant analysis information includes soil salvage, stockpiling, topsoil substitutes and supplements, and experimental practice. The Analysis section discusses operation information as follows:

- Topsoil and Subsoil Removal - Traditional Methods
- Topsoil and Subsoil In-Place Protection - Experimental Practice Methods
- Topsoil Substitutes and Supplements
- Topsoil Storage

#### **Topsoil and Subsoil Removal - Traditional Methods**

For the purpose of maximizing topsoil recovery during construction, all topsoil salvage will occur under the on-site supervision of a Soil Scientist. Traditional methods for protecting topsoil resources will occur in (1) excavated topsoil areas and (2) excavated RO/RL Travessilla Complex areas.

*(1) Excavated Topsoil areas*

Traditional topsoil salvage methods will occur from those areas of the mine yard where material will be excavated in order to achieve final yard configuration. Topsoil salvage areas are identified by the First Order soil survey as Brycan, Midfork and Strych soil units. A total of 6500 CY of topsoil is projected for salvage from 2.69 acres. Topsoil material will be excavated using a trackhoe, then trucked to the topsoil storage piles. The primary Topsoil Storage Pile is located in the right fork as shown on Map 2-4, Proposed Topsoil Storage Areas.

Topsoil salvage areas are identified on Map 2-2, Mine Site Order 1 Soil Survey, and on Map 5-10, Construction/Reclamation Area-types. Map 5-10 shows topsoil salvage areas as dark blue and labeled as Slope/Topsoil/Cut (S/T/C). Map 2-2 identifies topsoil salvage as follows:

<b>Topsoil Salvage Areas and Volumes</b>			
<b>Soil Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Volume (yd<sup>3</sup>)</b>
Midfork	M1	0.23	552
	M2	0.22	537
	M3	1.5	3634
Strych	S1	0.27	656
	S2	0.14	342
Brycan	B1	0.32	785
Total		2.69	6506

*(2) RO/RL Travessilla Complex*

The Permit Application Package (PAP) and Soil Resource Assessment report conclude the following for the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit:

- The RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit is dominantly unsuitable for soil salvage.
- Topsoil salvage from the RO/RL Travessilla complex is generally not recommended because this area is “devoid” of topsoil.

Since the RO/RL Travessilla Complex occupies the majority of the surface disturbance area within the West Ridge Mine site, then the "unsuitable" nature of this mapping unit for soil salvage renders the site generally "unsuitable" for reclamation success unless soil salvage occurs from these areas. The Soil Resource Assessment report further concedes that attempting to salvage the RO/RL Travessilla Complex soils might de-stabilize immediate upslope areas endangering equipment operators with possible boulder slides. However, the PAP operation plan clearly shows (as shown on Map 5-5, Surface Facility Map) that nearly every slope located along the entire length of "C" canyon, including the left and right hand forks, will be cut to widen the pad surfaces. The majority of these cut slopes are contained exclusively within the RO/RL mapping unit. Either the RO/RL surface slopes are safe for constructing cut slopes and likewise soil salvage, or they're not safe for either activity. If the RO/RL soils and surface materials render themselves suitable for constructing purposes using conventional construction equipment, (e.g., sediment pond basins, and pad fill), then these same indigenous soil and rock material from the unconsolidated RO/RL surfaces can likewise be salvaged and stockpiled for later reclamation use.

*The plan repeatedly states that the RO/RL areas are "devoid" of topsoil. "Devoid of Topsoil" is an inaccurate phrase and needs to be corrected and removed from the plan. The fact is, RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping units contain significant amounts of soils (35% soils by volume - 25% Travessilla plus 10% other) that support a significant vegetation community - 750 lbs/acre of Pinyon/Juniper versus 1500 lbs/acre of Douglas Fir/Rocky Mountain Juniper in the Midfork soils. These "rocky" soils have intrinsic value for restoring RO/RL slopes and surfaces during reclamation to match current soil and vegetation conditions. The current vegetation community evolved to fit environmental conditions as they currently exist. Successful reclamation will require the same soil and rock parameters as currently exist to establish revegetation success standards.*

The plan identifies mixtures of rock and soil in the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping areas as naturally occurring **Colluvial Growth Material (CGM)**. *Since the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit contains 35% soils, CGM is in all aspects, a true soil and needs to be protected and preserved as any other soil resource.*

During construction and excavation of cut slopes in the RO/RL areas, the plan commits to salvage soil from the RO/RL Travessilla Complex unit as follows:

- Colluvial growth/surface material (CGM) will be salvaged from the truck loop area and the west side of the left fork coal storage area as shown on Map 5-10, Construction/Reclamation Area-Types. *The plan needs to address the CGM salvage areas in terms of dimensions, depth, and projected volumes of salvaged soil materials.*

- The plan states that isolated pockets of Travessilla soil will be salvaged from the RO/RL Travessilla Complex units outside the CGM areas where cut slope excavation will occur. *Since pockets of Travessilla soil are not delineated on the soils map, an on-site Soil Scientist must be present to ensure that these soils are salvaged during this phase of mine development. Appendix 5-5, section 7e, Area Type SRC, does not specify any soil salvage prior to cutting the slopes.*

### **Topsoil and Subsoil In-Place Protection - Experimental Practice Methods**

Experimental Practice Methods for protecting topsoil Resources in-place will occur in (1) buried topsoil areas and (2) buried RO/RL Travessilla Complex areas.

#### *(1) Buried Topsoil Areas*

West Ridge Resources is proposing a topsoil protection plan which incorporates **Experimental Practices (R645-302-200) for protecting in-place soil with a layer of geotextile fabric.** The geotextile fabric provides a protective barrier between the existing soils and the imported fill materials used to construct the mine pads. By utilizing this procedure, soils are not only preserved in-place, but the existing stream channel geomorphology and original ground surface configuration are preserved likewise. Approximately 4.75 acres of the proposed 29 acres disturbed area will be affected using the experimental practice.

In order to evaluate the effects of burying soil in-place underneath the pad fill, West Ridge Resources has proposed a test plot study in the right fork topsoil stockpile (Appendix 2-6, Section 6). After the fill material has been in place for five years, the test plot study will be implemented. The purpose of the study is to monitor and compare reclamation success of the Experimental Practice versus traditional reclamation methods using salvaged and replaced topsoil. The test plot study will utilize the same methodology as proposed for final reclamation and will be monitored for five years to assess reclamation. The right-fork topsoil stockpile will be used for the test plots. If reclamation success is not achieved, other options will be reviewed with the Division. As a last resort, West Ridge Resources will utilize the soil borrow area for obtaining soils to reclaim the site if the experimental practice is determined to be unworkable.

*The test plot study contains a treatment where the soil surface is inoculated using a 2-3 inch layer of soil from the adjacent topsoil stockpile. Unless West Ridge Resource is able to include this soil inoculum treatment during final reclamation, it should not be utilized during the test plot study. Supplying soil inoculum from undisturbed soil areas during reclamation will require additional surface disturbance. Inoculum and/or microbial stimulant should be supplied from a source that doesn't increase surface disturbance (e.g., commercial supplied sources).*

#### *(2) Buried RO/RL Travessilla Complex Areas*

*The buried RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit needs to be included in the Experimental Practices. As stated in the Order-III soil survey, the RO/RL Travessilla Complex unit contains 35% soils by volume (25% Travessilla plus 10% other soils) that support a significant vegetation community. Successful reclamation will require the same soil and rock parameters as currently exist to establish revegetation success standards. By preserving these soils in-place underneath the pad fills, successful revegetation should be achieved. However, placing the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit under Experimental Practices will not require the use of geotextile fabric. As stated in the plan, the RO/RL areas will not be covered with geotextile, but instead, fill will be placed directly over the existing ground surface which will be marked with brightly colored flagging for the purpose of identifying the original surface during reclamation and excavation of the pad fills.*

*The frequency rate for placing the brightly colored flagging over the buried RO/RL areas needs to be specified in the plan.*

Map 5-11, Construction Sequence, illustrates the different stages of construction for the West Ridge Mine site. Steps 1 through 4 are preparatory steps prior to topsoil salvage. Step 1 is removing vegetation; Step 2 is installing culvert and culvert backfill; Step 3 is installing geotextile fabric over topsoil fill slopes; and Step 4 is pulling boulders from the surface of slopes that will be cut. Topsoil salvage occurs in Step 5. After topsoil salvage has occurred from the topsoil area and RO/RL areas, excavation of the side slopes will occur in Step 6. These excavated native materials will be used as pad fill and will be placed over the backfilled culvert adjacent to the cut slopes. Step 7 shows completion of the pad level by hauling in imported fill from offsite, commercial gravel borrow areas. A final cap layer of road base material is placed over the imported fill surface as shown in Step 8.

## **Topsoil Substitutes and Supplements**

### *Imported Gravel Fills*

Appendix 2-5 gives the soil resource assessment of the gravel borrow material that will be used for fill during culvert installation and pad construction. Based on DOGM's soil and overburden guidelines, gravel fills located on the pediment terraces located at the base of the Book Cliffs suitable as substitute topsoil based physical and chemical characterization.

*An addendum to Appendix 2-5 describes an additional commercial gravel borrow source. The area is identified as the Himonas Pit and is located in the NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Section 1, T15S, R12E. These gravel fills are very dissimilar to the native materials in C-Canyon and contain elevated levels of salt, sodium and selenium. Therefore, during development and stockpiling of these gravel fills from the Himonas pit, the processed material needs to be tested and approved prior*

*to loading and hauling to the West Ridge site. Based on the Division's Guidelines for Topsoil and Overburden, suitability of the material will be appraised on pH, EC, SAR and AB-DTPA extractable Se. Any material that falls within the poor and unacceptable range will be rejected, segregated out, and not used as fill for the West Ridge site.*

### **Topsoil Storage**

The PAP states that soil salvaged from the cutslopes above the pads and from the M1, M2, B1, and S1 areas will be stockpiled, segregated in separate pile locations and preserved for final reclamation. Two separate sites are identified for soil storage. The primary stockpile is located in the right fork and the secondary pile is located in the left fork.

The sites are located up and away from the active mine yard area. The stockpiled soils will be seeded and mulched to minimize erosion. Both stockpile areas combined hold about 11,000 CY of soil with outslopes of 2:1 and depths ranging up to 15 feet. The outslope surfaces will be surface roughened and pitted to help retain moisture and minimize runoff. Map 2-4 shows details for each stockpile.

The primary topsoil storage area will be located in the right fork. This area is large enough to accommodate the total projected volume of salvaged topsoil. If extra capacity is needed, then the left fork area will be utilized for soil storage.

Construction of the topsoil stockpiles will begin by vegetation removal and installing the bypass culvert in the drainage channel. The stockpiles will be built up over the bypass culvert with diversion ditches installed along both flanks.

*CGM repository areas are in reality soil stockpiles. Salvaged surface colluvium from the RO/RL Travessilla Complex unit contains significant quantities of soil (25% Travessilla and 10% other soils) in addition to rock and native parent material. The following apply for salvaging and stockpiling CGM:*

- *CGM salvaged from the Coal slope and slated for storage underneath the coal stockpile, should either (1) be stored in the secondary topsoil storage area, or (2) have the Travessilla soil salvaged separated prior to placement in the pad.*
- *The Loop CGM storage areas, located on the sediment pond out slopes (Map 5-10), need to be identified as topsoil storage areas, properly signed and protected.*

### **Findings:**

The permittee must provide the following, prior to approval, in accordance with the

requirements of:

**R645-301-220 and R645-301-120**, The plan repeatedly states that the RO/RL areas are “devoid” of topsoil. “Devoid of Topsoil” is an inaccurate phrase and needs to be corrected and removed from the plan.

**R645-301-220 and R645-301-230**, Since the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit contains 35% soils in addition to rock and native parent material, CGM is in all aspects, a true soil and needs to be protected and preserved as any other soil resource. The following apply for salvaging and stockpiling CGM:

- The plan needs to address the CGM salvage areas in terms of dimensions, depth, and projected volumes of salvaged soil materials.
- CGM salvaged from the Coal slope and slated for storage underneath the coal stockpile, should either (1) be stored in the secondary topsoil storage area, or (2) have the Travessilla soil salvaged separated prior to placement in the pad.
- The Loop CGM storage areas, located on the sediment pond out slopes (Map 5-10), need to be identified as topsoil storage areas, properly signed and protected.

**R645-301-231.100 and R645-301-232**, Since pockets of Travessilla soil are not delineated on the soils map, an on-site Soil Scientist must be present to ensure that these soils are salvaged during this phase of mine development.

**R645-302-200**, Three Experimental Practices concerns remain for protecting soil Resources at the West Ridge Mine site:

- The test plot study contains a treatment where the soil surface is inoculated using a 2-3 inch layer of soil from the adjacent topsoil stockpile. Unless West Ridge Resource is able to include this soil inoculum treatment during final reclamation, it should not be utilized during the test plot study. Supplying soil inoculum from undisturbed soil areas during reclamation will require additional surface disturbance. Inoculum and/or microbial stimulant should be supplied from a source that doesn't increase surface disturbance (e.g., commercial supplied sources).
- The buried RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit needs to be included in the Experimental Practices. As stated in the Order-III soil survey, the RO/RL Travessilla Complex unit contains 35% soils by volume (25% Travessilla plus 10% other soils) that support a significant vegetation community. Successful reclamation will require the same soil and rock parameters as currently exist to establish revegetation success

standards. By preserving these soils in-place underneath the pad fills, successful revegetation should be achieved. However, placing the RO/RL Travessilla Complex mapping unit under Experimental Practices will not require the use of geotextile fabric. As stated in the plan, the RO/RL areas will not be covered with geotextile, but instead, fill will be placed directly over the existing ground surface which will be marked with brightly colored flagging for the purpose of identifying the original surface during reclamation and excavation of the pad fills.

- The frequency rate for placing the brightly colored flagging over the buried RO/RL areas needs to be specified in the plan.

**R645-301-233 and R645-302-200**, An addendum to Appendix 2-5 describes an additional commercial gravel borrow source. The area is identified as the Himonas Pit and is located in the NW¼, Section 1, T15S, R12E. These gravel fills are very dissimilar to the native materials in C-Canyon and contain elevated levels of salt, sodium and selenium. Therefore, during development and stockpiling of these gravel fills from the Himonas pit, the processed material needs to be tested and approved prior to loading and hauling to the West Ridge site. Based on the Division's Guidelines for Topsoil and Overburden, suitability of the material will be appraised on pH, EC, SAR and AB-DTPA extractable Se. Any material that falls within the poor and unacceptable range will be rejected, segregated out, and not used as fill for the West Ridge site.

## **RECLAMATION PLAN**

### **TOPSOIL AND SUBSOIL**

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 817.22; R645-301-240.

#### **Analysis:**

Chapter 2, Soils, Sections R645-301-240 through -244, discusses the soil's reclamation plan for the proposed West Ridge Mine. The Analysis section discusses reclamation information as follows:

- Soil Redistribution
- Experimental Practices
- Soil Nutrients and Amendments
- Soil Stabilization

### **Soil Redistribution**

Reclamation sequence is shown on Map 5-12 and the sequence detail is explained in Appendix 5-5, Part II, for both cut slopes and buried soils. Section 2 gives a summary of the various area-types within the mine site and include (1) Channel or Slope, (2) Topsoil or Rock, and (3) Fill or Cut. Key reclamation tasks are summarized in Section 3 and detailed in Section 4 as follows:

- 4a) Remove Surface Structures
- 4b) Remove Pad Cap Layer
- 4c) Remove Excess Pad Fill
- 4d) Remove Remaining Pad Fill; Backfill All Cut Slopes
- 4e) Reclaim Portal Highwall
- 4f) Reapply Topsoil to Backfilled Cut Slopes
- 4g) Re-expose and Revitalize the Left-in-Place Topsoil
- 4h) Re-establish the Original Rubbleland Surface
- 4i) Sediment Control
- 4j) Revegetate the Newly Re-established Slopes
- 4k) Remove the Bypass Culvert/Re-establish the Original Stream Channel

The sequence for removing the pad fill areas and reclaiming the adjacent cut slope areas will be accomplished in reverse order from the construction sequence. The uppermost part of the fill (excess, imported fill) will be removed first hauled into the mine for underground disposal. The remaining native fill materials (primary native fills) located in the lower, deeper pad levels will be used to backfill the adjacent cut slopes to reach approximate original contour (AOC). Fill material will be inspected and tested to insure that it is free of salts, oil, petroleum products and any other contaminants before being used as backfill in the cut areas.

*Co-mingling of native and imported fills will occur to a limited extent. Imported fills from the Himonas pit may contain elevated salts and are therefore not of equal quality to the native soils and fills. To diminish any negative environmental impacts to native soils and fills from salt contamination, a protective layer of good-fair fill material could be placed between the native and any imported poor-quality fill materials. During reclamation, the poor quality fills would be removed first, thus exposing the good quality fills and contact native fills. These contact soils would then be used first as backfill against the cutslopes, thus further minimizing any negative impact from possible salt contamination.*

Colluvial Growth Material (CGM) will be used to backfill and soil for the cut slopes in the truck loop and coal storage areas.

Buried pad-fill boulders will be retrieved and placed back on the backfilled cut slopes.

Segregated stockpiled topsoil (Brycan and Midfork) will be retrieved and re-applied to their respective areas. Midfork soils will be replaced on the north facing slopes; Brycan soils will be replaced in the flatter, open confluence area. Replacement depth is 12 to 18 inches. After topsoil replacement, the soil surface will be roughened, gouged, mulched and revegetated.

### **Experimental Practices**

During fill removal, a 12 to 18 inch deep working layer will be left over the experimental practice slopes. Care will be taken not to subexcavate or disturb the geotextile soil surfaces. Equal care will be taken to protect the "ribbon" surfaces in the RO/RL areas. Fill removal from the slopes will be done carefully without disturbing the in-place soils located under the geotextile and marker strips. Fill removal will be done by small earth moving equipment and/or by hand labor if necessary to minimize disturbance of the topsoil. After the pad fill has been removed, the backfilled culvert will serve as the primary access way for machinery and materials associated with the remaining reclamation efforts.

Once the geotextile fabric has been exposed, the fabric will be carefully peeled away from the soil and the condition of the underlying soil materials observed at this time. The soil will be re-exposed in 5-10 foot horizontal zones that can be easily accessed and worked by hand from the adjacent pad fill level. To relieve soil compaction and increase the ability of the soil to absorb moisture, the re-exposed soil surface will be gouged and hay worked into the soil at the rate of 2,000 pounds per acre. Gouging depressions will approximately measure 24" X 36" X 18" deep and will create a pattern of depressions that help control erosion through water retention, minimize siltation, and allow for air and water penetration into the soil horizon.

### **Soil Nutrients and Amendments**

Topsoil will be sampled and tested as they are redistributed and re-exposed. Fertilizer needs will be assessed based on analyses for soil nutrients. Nutrients and other amendments can be added by hydroseeding, by broadcasting or by other conventional methods.

### **Soil Stabilization**

After AOC is met for each cut area, the surface will be prepared according to the roughen, vegetate and mulch method (R-V-M). Gouging will be the primary method used to roughen the surface and consists of imprinting the surface with a pattern of depressions measuring approximately 18" x 24" x 8" deep. The purpose of the pocks, or gouges, is to capture and retain water, reduce erosion and provide a cradle for seedling germination and development. Soils on steep slopes need to be protected from erosion prior to vegetation establishment. Soil erosion methods in addition to gouging will include best technology currently available at the time of reclamation (e.g., SOIL LOC<sup>®</sup>, Tackifier, etc.). Vegetation will be the primary source for erosion

control and surface stabilization. Revegetation efforts will include regrading, topsoiling, fertilizing, mulching and seeding.

**Findings:**

The permittee must provide the following, prior to approval, in accordance with the requirements of:

**R645-301-233**, Co-mingling of native and imported fills will occur to a limited extent. Imported fills from the Himonas pit may contain elevated salts and are therefore not of equal quality to the native soils and fills. To diminish any negative environmental impacts to native soils and fills from salt contamination, a protective layer of good-fair fill material could be placed between the native and any imported poor-quality fill materials. During reclamation, the poor quality fills would be removed first, thus exposing the good quality fills and contact native fills. These contact soils would then be used first as backfill against the cutslopes, thus further minimizing any negative impact from possible salt contamination.