

0005.



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

ACT/007/041
Fldr #2

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: 21 Feb 1999
FAX#: 435-637-8860
ATTN: Jean Sembariski
COMPANY: Andalex
DEPARTMENT: _____
NUMBER OF PAGES:(INCLUDING THIS ONE) 2
From: Jim Smith

If you do not receive all of the pages, or if they are illegible, please call (801)538-5340.
We are sending from a sharp facsimile machine. Our telecopier number is (801)359-3940.

MESSAGES:

Important: This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have recieved this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return this original message to us at the above address via regular postal service. Thank you.

Jean,

Received your FAX. The additional information clears up possible confusion on ST-1 (M-1). Could the paragraph you've added to page 7-3 include similar information on these springs (if what I'm assuming is correct)?

Note: I'm not thinking of any kind of detailed information on these sites, just a basic or simple explanation that will help clear up possible confusion or questions by a reader as to why some baseline-data sites listed in Table A-1 in Appendix 7-1 were not monitored for baseline data in 1997 and 1998 and are not shown on the PAP maps.

Below is a paragraph from my TA as it now reads; I'm still trying to simplify and clarify it. It is regarding springs S-4, SP-5, SP-7, and SP-10, which appear to be in the same category as ST-1 (M-1); I've made that assumption in writing this.

Springs SP-4, SP-5, SP-7, and SP-10 are not being monitored by the applicant even though they are listed with the baseline data in Table A-1 in Appendix 7-1. In a monitoring plan prepared in 1996 for BP Minerals, JBR consultants renumbered S-40, S-39, S-22, and S-1 of the Kaiser surveys as SP-4, SP-5, SP-7, and SP-10, respectively: sites SP-7 and SP-10 were discussed in the JBR plan although they were not marked on the JBR maps and the recommendation was that they not be monitored. The current applicant started monitoring in 1997 and decided to use the JBR numbering scheme rather than create a new one, even though not all the sites identified and numbered by JBR would be monitored. Mayo and Associates sampled S-40 (SP-4) for isotopes in 1997 and its location, which is just off the west edge of the Chapter 7 maps, is shown on Figure 8 in Appendix 7-1. S-1 (SP-10) is shown on Map 7-5 and Figure 10 in Appendix 7-1, but the locations of S-22 (SP-7) and S-39 (SP-5) are not identified anywhere in the PAP.

*****SECOND PART*****

If my assumption in the following isn't correct, let me know.

The paragraph added at the end on page 22 could be taken to apply only to the Wells section because of its location. Would it be better at the end of the introductory part of "731.200 Water Monitoring" and just before the Streams section? Or is it just supposed to be the Wells?

The operational monitoring program will continue through reclamation until bond release unless otherwise modified.

Baseline monitoring will be performed on the specified monitoring sites until construction of the mine and mine facilities begins. Once construction is initiated, the operational monitoring schedule will be utilized. Monitoring will continue through reclamation until bond release.

Streams