

0037



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

ok

November 28, 2001

TO: Internal File
THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*
FROM: Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist *GA*
RE: 2001 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, West Ridge Resource Inc., West Ridge Mine, C/007/041-WQ01-2

1. **Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [x] NO []
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

2. **On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.**
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Commitment to samples every fifth year, beginning with the first mid-term review (p. 7-20): first mid-term due 10/01/01.

3. **Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. **Were irregularities found in the data?** YES [] NO [x]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

The depth to water at Well DH-86-2 increased approximately 14.5-ft from 1998 through March 2001 (87.2 ft depth from surface), and has now increased 15 feet in the last three months (72.0 ft. depth from surface). This will continue to be monitored in the future. The Operator will be questioned to whether any sampling methods or equipment have changed.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [x] NO []
2nd month, YES [x] NO []
3rd month, YES [x] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

Both D001 and D002 showed no discharge

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Sites were dry so no parameters were reported, with the exception of 'No Discharge'.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO [x]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No further action is necessary for the Second Quarter 2001.