

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

September 5, 2012

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron Haddock, Coal Program Manager *DARH*
Ingrid Campbell, Mine Lead *IC*

FROM: Steve Christensen, Environmental Scientist *SC*

RE: Division Order to Update the Probable Hydrologic Consequences, West Ridge Resources, Inc., West Ridge Mine, C/007/0041, DO-12A (Task ID #4143)

SUMMARY:

On July 2nd, 2012, the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (the Division) received an amendment to the West Ridge Mine's Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP). The amendment was submitted by West Ridge Resources, Inc. (the Permittee) in response to Division Order DO-12A (the Division Order). The Division Order was issued to the Permittee on April 3rd, 2012 and required that the approved MRP be revised to address the potential impacts associated with groundwater interception within the underground mine works. The Permittee was directed to provide additional baseline data and describe potential impacts to surface and groundwater, water rights and the potential for post-mining discharges from the mine.

The issuance of the Division Order was primarily brought about by concerns raised by area water right holders/stakeholders at a June 23rd, 2011 meeting at the Division's Price Field Office. The concern was raised that mining activity within the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon watershed had impacted their water rights resulting in flow reductions and subsequent loss of water reporting to the Grassy Trail Reservoir. It was the contention of the stakeholders, that the increase in mine water discharge was potentially the result of surface water and spring recharge areas being intercepted by mining activity. As the intercepted mine water is ultimately discharged to the C Canyon drainage, the stakeholders are concerned that their water rights are being interrupted from their natural flow path into Grassy Trail Reservoir.

The West Ridge Mine began to discharge during the 1st quarter of 2003. Prior to that time, mine water discharge did not occur during the first four years of operation. However; since early 2003, the mine water discharge has steadily increased from approximately 100 gallons per

minute (gpm) to 2,314 gpm in the 1st quarter of 2012. The average mine water discharge rate during that time period is approximately 712 gpm.

It is important to note that the Division Order's focus is primarily on potential impacts associated with mining activity within the Left Fork of the Whitmore Canyon watershed. Active mining operations have only recently begun within the Right Fork of Whitmore Canyon (mid 2011-2012).

The Division Order required that the Permittee address the following items and make the requisite permit changes in accordance with R645-303-212, R645-303-220, R645-301-722 et seq., R645-301-724 et seq., and R645-301-728 et seq:

- I. West Ridge Resources is required to provide additional baseline data that will characterize and describe the location and extent (areal and vertical) of the encountered mine-water discharge source area/recharge zone. At a minimum, the additional baseline data must also:
 - a. Clearly identify/locate the source of the mine-water being intercepted by the mine.
 - b. Provide an analysis of the chemical and physical properties of the intercepted mine-water (i.e. seasonal quality and quantity).
 - c. Provide the approximate rates of discharge or usage for the intercepted mine-water.
 - d. Provide additional geologic information, if it's determined that a fault system is the source of the intercepted groundwater (i.e. the fault properties and hydrologic pathways).

As required by R645-301-722, this baseline data characterization must also include cross-sections and maps.

- II. West Ridge Resources is required to revise the PHC section of the MRP with supporting baseline data. The revision should provide a thorough discussion/examination of the potential impacts of groundwater interception within the mine works and its subsequent discharge into the C Canyon drainage. The revision must address (at a minimum):
 - a. Whether adverse impacts may occur to the hydrologic balance as a result of the mine-water interception.
 - b. Potential impacts to ground and surface water availability.
 - c. Whether underground coal mining activity will proximately result in contamination, diminution or interruption of State-appropriated Water Rights.

- d. Discuss how State-appropriated Water Rights would be promptly replaced in the event that a water supply has been contaminated, diminished or interrupted by underground coal mining and reclamation activities.
 - e. Discuss the potential for post-mining water discharges as well as the potential for associated water quality issues and potential treatment.
- III. West Ridge Resources must provide additional isotopic analyses of the untreated mine-water discharge. In October of 2000, an isotopic analysis was performed on water discharging from a sandstone paleochannel located in the roof of the mine workings. As discussed in the MRP, the tritium content was very low. Additionally, the carbon-14 analysis suggested “*very old groundwater.*” Due to uncertainties in the characterization of the carbon history of the water (relative to the carbon-13 composition), the Permittee indicated that the calculation of a groundwater “*age*” was not possible. An additional isotopic analysis would provide a beneficial comparison in evaluating the recharge source of the encountered mine-water.

The following is the hydrologic analysis of the July 2nd submission. The amendment should not be approved at this time. The following deficiency must be addressed prior to final approval:

R645-301-724, -724.100: The Permittee must provide additional geologic information relative to the encountered fault systems within the West Ridge Mine and the resulting mine water inflows. As discussed on pages 7-36, 7-38 and 7-39, the Permittee indicates that fault- and fracture-related groundwater inflows have been encountered within the mine workings. Based upon personal communications between Division staff and West Ridge personnel (Personal Communication, Dave Shaver 2010-2011), the majority of water encountered in the mine was the result of fault/fracture zone interception in at least two areas of the mine workings (particularly during the advancement of Panel 16). It’s unclear if the isotopic data and discussion presented in Appendix 7-17 is representative of these significant mine inflows as a result of the mine workings intercepting a fault system.

The Permittee must provide additional discussion/data relative to the encountered fault systems. The Permittee must provide further discussion as to whether or not the data presented in Appendix 7-17 is representative of the mine-water encountered from the fault systems identified by West Mine personnel. Please provide a map that depicts the locations of the encountered fault systems within the mine. If possible, additional solute and isotopic data should also be collected and analyzed in the areas of these encountered fault systems.

TECHNICAL MEMO

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS:

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: Pub. L 95-87 Sections 507(b), 508(a), and 516(b); 30 CFR 783., et. al.

HYDROLOGIC RESOURCE INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR Sec. 701.5, 784.14; R645-100-200, -301-724.

Analysis:

Baseline Information

The amendment does not meet the Baseline Information requirements of the State of Utah R645-Coal Mining Rules.

As outlined previously, the Division Order directed the Permittee to provide additional information relative to:

- a) Source/recharge areas for the mine-water being intercepted in the mine,
- b) Chemical and physical properties of the intercepted mine-water,
- c) Approximate rates of discharge or usage of the intercepted mine-water,
- d) Additional geologic information if it's determined that a fault system is the source of the intercepted groundwater and
- e) Additional isotopic analyses of the untreated mine-water discharge.

On page 7-38 of the amendment, the Permittee discusses the potential sources of groundwater currently encountered within the mine workings. The Permittee indicates that there are three generally observed pathways for groundwater to enter the mine:

- 1) Sandstone paleochannels in the roof,
- 2) Upwelling of groundwater from the floor and
- 3) Along fault and fracture damage zones.

The Permittee identifies these sources based upon observations of mine personnel with historical knowledge of the underground operations and the observations of Mr. Erik Petersen, Petersen Hydrologic, LLC. The Division has found that the three cited pathways of encountered groundwater are typical for coal operations in the Book Cliff's region. Difficulty arises in attempting to quantify the varying inflow contributions of each these sources.

Mine personnel have indicated that a significant amount of mine water is routinely intercepted from mined out gob areas. As longwall mining is completed in an area, safety considerations require that the areas be sealed, thus rendering them inaccessible. As a result, in many instances accurately identifying/locating a recharge area for a particular section of the mine is not possible as the water is collected in sump areas on the other side of the mine seal.

Geologic information presented in Appendix 7-1 identifies the mined coal seam as the Lower Sunnyside Coal Seam. It lies directly above the Sunnyside Sandstone Member of the Blackhawk Formation. The sandstone deposit above the coal seam is an unnamed member of the Blackhawk Formation. Based upon the information presented in Appendix 7-1, the Sunnyside Member of the Blackhawk Formation is relatively large (approximately 100 to 190 feet thick). Based upon the thickness of this formation, this sandstone layer is capable of potentially storing a large volume of groundwater.

On page 7-39 of Chapter 7 and page 10 of Appendix 7-17, the Permittee indicates that *“fault- and fracture-related groundwater inflows have been observed in the West Ridge Mine”*. (See additional discussion regarding fault systems below)

Beginning on page 5 of Appendix 7-17, the Permittee presents an analysis of the solute geochemical properties of the encountered mine water as well as isotopic (age dating) analysis. During the fall of 2011 (October 10th and 13th), private consultant Erik Petersen, PG (Petersen Hydrologic) collected groundwater samples from four locations within the West Ridge Mine (a roof drip location, a floor seep location, a horizontal borehole as well as drainage from a longwall gob area). The locations of the sampling points are provided on Figure 1, *West Ridge Mine Workings and Groundwater Sampling Locations* in Appendix 7-17. Appendix 7-17 states, *“Sampling locations were selected in areas where representative water samples could be collected (many old mining areas are now sealed and inaccessible)”*.

All four samples were analyzed for solute chemistry. Three of the four samples were also submitted for isotopic analysis (age dating). Appendix 7-17 provides additional isotopic data obtained from a sample collected in October of 2000 by Mayo and Associates. The 2000 sample was collected from a series of roof drips in the main entries located approximately 21 cross cuts in from the mine portals.

The solute/chemical compositions of the groundwater samples are presented in Table 1, *Chemical compositions of groundwaters sampled in the West Ridge Mine*. Figure 2, Stiff diagrams for West Mine groundwaters, provides the stiff diagrams derived from the 4 October 2011 samples.

Based upon the data presented by the Permittee, the water chemistry of the four October 2011 samples is quite variable. Stiff diagrams are commonly used analytical tools that depict the chemical compositions of ground and surface water samples. The roof drip sample and the floor

TECHNICAL MEMO

seep sample had very different chemical compositions (sodium bicarbonate vs. magnesium sulfate chemical type). These two samples were collected in very close proximity to one another in the north-west portion of the mine (See Figure 1 of Appendix 7-17) yet produced very different chemical compositions. The sample collected from the sealed gob area in the north central section of the mine was of a sodium bicarbonate type composition. The horizontal borehole sample that was collected in a north-eastern section of the mine produced a sodium sulfate bicarbonate composition. The variability of the water chemistry at these sampling locations provides evidence that the recharge areas for these samples are not necessarily from the same source. If the recharge area for these underground sampling was from the same source, one would expect the solute chemistry to be similar.

Appendix 7-17 also provides isotopic analyses for the four October 2011 samples as well as from the sample collected in October 2000 by Mayo and Associates. Radiocarbon ages were calculated for three of the five water samples discussed in Appendix 7-17. According to the Permittee, *“a radiocarbon age could not be calculated for the 15th West XC 32 Entry 2 Gob drainage sample or for the Main Dips Belt XC 21 sample due to uncertainties in the characterization of the carbon histories of these waters based on the positive carbon-13 compositions”*. Tritium analysis was performed on all five samples.

Table 3, *Isotopic compositions of groundwaters sampled at the West Ridge Mine*, provides the measured tritium contents of all five water samples (i.e. the 2000 and the 2011 samples). Tritium is a naturally occurring radioactive isotope of hydrogen. It's naturally produced in our upper atmosphere and is subsequently incorporated into water molecules that enter the hydrologic cycle via rainfall and surface recharge to groundwater. The amount of tritium in the atmosphere increased as a result of above ground nuclear weapons testing in the 1950's. Thus, pre-bomb testing groundwater has significantly less tritium than recharge water entering the ground after the bomb testing began in the early 50's. It should be noted that tritium tests cannot be used to precisely calculate the age of water. Thus the tritium tests simply demonstrate whether water contains pre-1950 or post-1950 recharge.

The tritium concentrations obtained for each of the five samples was very low (See Table 3). Tritium (TU) concentrations less than 0.8 TU are indicative of submodern water (Motzer, William E., PhD, P.G., *Age Dating Groundwater*). The highest tritium concentration reported was obtained from the Panel 17 Horizontal Borehole location (See Table 3) with a tritium value of 0.20 TU. Based upon the tritium data, none of the five groundwater samples obtained from within the mine have been in contact with the surface since at least the early 1950's.

The radiocarbon tests were used to *“calculate the number of years that have elapsed since the groundwater became isolated from soil-zone gasses and near-surface groundwaters”*. Table 4, *Radiocarbon “age” calculations for West Ridge Mine groundwaters*, provides the radiocarbon ages of the three samples that were analyzed. The age of the sampled groundwater ranges from 10,000 to 23,000 years (See Table 4). The radiocarbon results provide further

evidence that suggests that the encountered mine-water has extremely limited connectivity with the overlying surface water and shallow groundwater systems at the surface.

On page 7-39 of the amendment, the Permittee addresses the approximate rates of usage of the encountered groundwater. The Permittee indicates that the typical amount of water utilized in the underground mining operation “*may amount to a few hundred gallons per minute*”. The Permittee points out that a substantial amount of the water encountered underground is not consumed by mining activity (i.e. it is collected in sumps and ultimately discharged to the surface).

On page 4 of Appendix 7-17, the Permittee provides the flow rates obtained underground during the October 2011 hydrogeologic investigation performed by Mr. Erik Petersen (Petersen Hydrologic, LLC). The locations of the underground sampling locations are provide in Figure 1 of Appendix 7-17. The roof drip sample produced a low discharge rate of less than 1 gallon per minute (gpm). The floor seep sample produced a slightly large flow rate of approximately 1 gpm. The longwall gob drainage sample was collected from the gateroad entries adjacent to Panel #17. The approximate rate of discharge was 250 gpm. The horizontal borehole sampling point was collected from a perforated 8-inch degasification pipe which the Permittee describes as extending approximately 3,000 feet laterally into the coal seam. According to the information presented in Appendix 7-17, the flow rate from the borehole’s water trap was approximately 1 gpm.

Of the underground sampling points, the longwall gob drainage sample collected next to mined out Panel #17 produced the highest flow values (approximately 250 gpm) by far. According to mine personnel, a significant fault system was encountered in the area of Panels #16 and #17 that produced a significant inflow of water into the mine.

On page 7-36 of the amendment, the Permittee indicates that “*groundwater has previously been encountered in fault systems at the West Ridge Mine*”. On page 7-39, the Permittee again notes that “*fault- and fracture-related groundwater inflows have been observed in the West Ridge Mine*”. The Permittee discusses how it appears that the encountered fault systems are not in direct communication with the groundwater systems located near the surface (i.e. within the Colton and North Horn formations). The Permittee’s assumption is based in part on the lack of tritium detected within the groundwater samples obtained in 2000 and 2011. The amendment discusses how it’s likely that the encountered fault systems “*provide pathways of enhanced secondary porosity which interconnect the mine openings with nearby adjacent water-bearing strata*”. Additionally, the Permittee indicates that “*the presence of hydrophyllic swelling clays in the rock strata likely limit the potential for fracture planes to remain open within these strata, particularly under the considerable confining pressures associated with the very thick overburden present at the West Ridge Mine.*” It’s important to note that the Permittee is discussing the strata located directly adjacent to the mined coal seam and not the stratigraphic

TECHNICAL MEMO

sections of bedrock located at the surface of the mine area (i.e. the Colton and North Horn Formations).

Additional information is necessary in order to verify the Permittee's assertion that the fault systems are not in direct connection with near surface groundwater systems and surface drainages. The Permittee must provide additional geologic information relative to the encountered fault systems within the West Ridge Mine and the resulting mine water inflows. As discussed on pages 7-36, 7-38 and 7-39, the Permittee indicates that fault- and fracture-related groundwater inflows have been encountered within the mine workings. Based upon personal communications between Division staff and West Ridge personnel (Personal Communication, Dave Shaver 2010-2011), the majority of water encountered in the mine was the result of fault/fracture zone interception in at least two areas of the mine workings (particularly during the advancement of Panel 16). It's unclear if the isotopic data and discussion presented in Appendix 7-17 is representative of these significant mine inflows as a result of the mine workings intercepting a fault system.

The Permittee must provide additional discussion/data relative to the encountered fault systems. The Permittee must provide further discussion as to whether or not the data presented in Appendix 7-17 is representative of the mine-water encountered from the fault systems identified by West Mine personnel. Please provide a map that depicts the locations of the encountered fault systems within the mine. If possible, additional solute and isotopic data should also be collected and analyzed in the areas of these encountered fault systems.

Probable Hydrologic Consequences Determination

As outlined previously, the Division Order directed the Permittee to provide additional information relative to:

- a) Whether adverse impacts may occur to the hydrologic balance as a result of the mine-water interception.
- b) Potential impacts to ground and surface water availability.
- c) Whether underground coal mining activity will proximately result in contamination, diminution or interruption of State-appropriated Water Rights.
- d) Discuss how State-appropriated Water Rights would be promptly replaced in the event that a water supply has been contaminated, diminished or interrupted by underground coal mining and reclamation activities.
- e) Discuss the potential for post-mining water discharges as well as the potential for associated water quality issues and potential treatment.

As the Book Cliff Mountains contain coal deposits of high economic value, they have been extensively drilled and analyzed. The physical and chemical characteristics of the overlying geology at the West Ridge Mine have been well established. Appendix 7-1 of the

approved Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP) provides a detailed discussion of the geology within the West Ridge Mine permit area. Figure 6, *Geologic cross-sections of bedrock formations in West Ridge study area* provides two cross-sectional views of the area geology. Figure 7, *Generalized stratigraphic section of bedrock in the study area*, provides an additional cross-section of the geology at the West Ridge Mine. Six bedrock formations are found within the permit area: the Mancos Shale, Blackhawk Formation, Castlegate Sandstone, Price River Formation, North Horn Formation and the Colton Formation. Numerous impermeable shale layers and swelling clays have been deposited between these strata that greatly reduce the potential for hydrologic communication between them (See Appendix 7-1). Due to the heterogeneity and relatively low permeabilities associated with overlying geology of the mine workings, the potential for vertical and horizontal flow of groundwater is limited.

Based upon previously submitted and approved baseline information within Appendix 7-1, the majority of springs within the West Ridge permit area discharge from the Colton and North Horn Formations (See page 59 of Appendix 7-1). The Colton and North Horn Formations comprise the caprock of the West Ridge permit and adjacent area. Appendix 7-1 categorizes the Colton and North Horn springs as active groundwater systems. The information presented indicates that these "active" systems are located near surface exposures and are in direct communication with seasonal recharge (i.e. rainfall and snowmelt). Appendix 7-1 also identifies deep, "in-active" groundwater systems. The in-active groundwater systems are characterized in Appendix 7-1 as having very limited or no communication with annual recharge and produce minimal (if not non-detect) levels of tritium and radiocarbon dates between 500-20,000 years. The radiocarbon ages produced from the October 2011 underground sampling events produced an age range between 10,000 to 23,000 years (See Table 4 of Appendix 7-17) and extremely low tritium levels (See Table 3 of Appendix 7-17).

The Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon has been characterized as an intermittent stream. During seasons with high snowfall, baseflow beyond the snowmelt period is supported by area springs. During low snowfall years, there is little to no baseflow to support flow in the Left Fork. Based upon the spring and seep inventory provided in the MRP, it's evident that the Colton and North Horn springs would be the most likely sources of recharge to the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon following the melting of the snowpack. The assessment is based primarily on the fact that vast majority of springs in the permit and adjacent area discharge from these upper formations. Periods of no flow within the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon are typically produced during the mid- to late summer/early fall months as the snowpack melts and discharges from the high elevation Colton and North Horn springs (indicative of a seasonal fluctuation pattern). Periods of 'no flow' are evident within the historic water monitoring data provided by the Permittee.

Based upon previously submitted baseline information (See Appendix 7-1 and 7-1a) and current water monitoring data provided to the Division on a quarterly basis, it's clear that the Colton and North Horn formation springs exhibit seasonal fluctuations (i.e. appear dependent

TECHNICAL MEMO

upon snowmelt for recharge). As with the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon, the basis for this conclusion is that large discharge fluctuations are produced by these springs with the highest flow values recorded in the spring/early summer months as a result of snowmelt and the corresponding low flow values obtained in late summer early fall following the melting of the snowpack. Isotopic analysis previously performed on area springs (See Appendix 7-1) produced high levels of tritium and carbon-14 indicative of a modern recharge source (i.e. post 1950).

The Permittee discusses the potential for impacts to surface and groundwater in chapter 7 and Appendix 7-17. The Permittee discusses on page 7-47 that the trace amount of tritium present in the water samples collected from the underground mine workings is evidence that the groundwater encountered in the mine is *“isolated from the shallow, tritium-rich active-zone groundwater systems that support most spring and seep discharges in the area”*. As discussed above, none of the five groundwater samples obtained from within the mine have been in contact with the surface since at least the early 1950's.

The Division finds that the potential for impacts to the hydrologic balance, ground and surface-water availability and State appropriated water rights is minimal. The basis for this finding is as follows:

1) The absence of appreciable tritium levels within the underground mine water samples is evidence of sub-modern water. If there was active communication between the overlying surface water systems and surface springs, it follows that the tritium levels would be considerably higher (i.e. indicative of a more modern water recharge area or modern component). Additionally, the radiocarbon ages of the three samples collected from the underground mine works in October 2011 ranged from approximately 10,000 to 23,000 years (See Table 4 of Appendix 7-17), providing further evidence to support the observation that the in-active groundwater systems deep within the mine are not in direct communication with surface recharge. Mayo and Associates collected isotopic data from area springs and noted that most of the springs contained appreciable tritium concentrations and exhibited seasonal variability in discharge rates (See Appendix 7-1) thus providing additional evidence that the near surface springs within the Colton and North Horn formations are dependent upon seasonal recharge.

2) The thickness of overburden between the mined coal seam (Lower Sunnyside) and the overlying surface water systems and surface springs capable of providing baseflow to the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon is massive. Overburden thicknesses within the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon range from approximately 1,000' to over 3,000'. The Mining Engineers Handbook recommends, that for full extraction mining below a water body with the potential for *“catastrophic damage”*, a minimum vertical distance of 60 times the coal height be maintained. Coal seam data provided by the Permittee indicates that the maximum coal seam thickness within the Left Fork drainage is 8.0'; thus a conservative vertical distance to avoid impacts to overlying water bodies is 480'. Thus the potential for subsidence induced fracturing to

encounter the Left Fork surface drainage or near-surface springs (i.e. Colton and North Horn Formations) are considered minimal. Division staff have reviewed the most recent subsidence data submitted by the Permittee. In the operator's most recently submitted annual report (2011), no indications of subsidence damage were observed. Based on the data provided through aerial surveys and analysis, the amount of subsidence observed over West Ridge's panels that are mined without barriers between them is expected to be in the range of 0 to 4 feet on average, with the maximum being the mined seam thickness. The panels mined within the closest proximity to the Left Fork drainage were of the panel-barrier-panel configuration. Data indicates that subsidence observed over West Ridge's panels that are mined according to the "panel barrier panel" system (including the panels below the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon) is substantially less, being 0 to 2 feet on average. While subsidence data will occasionally include readings that are in error, the method West Ridge uses for monitoring is considered accurate and adequate. The overburden thickness above the panels mined is much greater than exists in other mines in Utah and the US and has contributed to West Ridge's lack of significant subsidence. The amount of subsidence that is reported by West Ridge annually is minimal and within expected ranges. Additionally, no surface subsidence indications have been reported/observed for areas above the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon. Surface subsidence is expected to occur; however, fractures of the strata overlying the coal seam would not be expected to extend to the surface and intercept the shallow groundwater systems due to the extensive overburden in the area.

3) The historical flow measurements and current water monitoring data submitted to the Division on a quarterly basis have not produced evidence of a disruption or impact to surface and groundwater resources in terms of both quality and quantity as a result of mining activity within the Left Fork of Whitmore Canyon drainage. Additionally, evidence of seasonal variation of the mine water discharge rate is not evident (See Appendix 7-17, Figure 4, *Plot of discharge from the West Ridge Mine* (UPDES 002).

On page 5-31 of the approved MRP, the Permittee discusses water replacement/mitigation. The Permittee commits "*to mitigate the diminution or degradation of state appropriated waters within or adjacent to the permit area caused by surface effects of mine related subsidence. Mitigation measures would include such measures as sealing surface cracks with expansive clay materials (such as bentonite), trucking water, piping across fracture zones, transfer of water rights, installation of wildlife guzzlers and/or compensation to water rights owners*".

Beginning on page 7-45, the Permittee discusses the potential for a post-mining gravity discharge from the West Ridge Mine. The Permittee outlines several considerations that the potential for a post-mining gravity discharge is minimal. The coal seam dip at the West Ridge Mine is fairly steep (13 degrees to the northeast). In order for groundwater to discharge from the mine portals (located at a higher elevation than the entire mine workings), the entire mine would need to fill with groundwater. The Permittee also cites the 2012 Petersen Hydrologic report

TECHNICAL MEMO

provided in Appendix 7-17. Within that report, Mr. Petersen provides the isotopic data discussed above. As the water samples collected from within the mine workings produced a radiocarbon age range of 10,000 to 23,000 years and exceedingly low tritium values, Mr. Petersen argues that the groundwater intercepted in the mine is isolated from the surface and is *“being removed from storage in the deep, mountain-core area”*. Additionally, based on the lack of homogenous rock formations overlying the West Ridge permit and adjacent area (See Appendix 7-1) and the low permeability of those rock strata, the potential for vertical and horizontal flow of groundwater is considered minimal. Thus, Mr. Petersen concludes that as a result of the geology, the *“potential for recharge to the groundwater systems from which old water has been drained from storage is low”*. The Division finds that Mr. Petersen’s assertions are sound. However; additional water monitoring will be conducted (including post-mining) in order to better understand the groundwater relationships within the West Ridge mine area.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The amendment should not be approved at this time.