



Suzanne Steab <suzannesteab@utah.gov>

---

## Assessment Conference Findings

---

**Dana Dean** <danadean@utah.gov>

Tue, Dec 6, 2016 at 1:54 PM

To: "Odendahl, Karin" <kodendahl@coalsource.com>, "Hibbs, David" <dhibbs@coalsource.com>

Cc: Lynn Kunzler <lynnkunzler@utah.gov>, Suzanne Steab <suzannesteab@utah.gov>, Steve Christensen <stevechristensen@utah.gov>, Daron Haddock <daronhaddock@utah.gov>

Hi Karin and Dave,

Please see the attached final assessment for NOV 21186.

Within fifteen (15) days of your receipt of this finding, you or your agent may make a written appeal to the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining. To do so, you must escrow the assessed civil penalties with the Division within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter, but in all cases prior to the Board Hearing. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a waiver of your right of further recourse.

If no timely appeal is made, this assessed civil penalty of \$286.00 must be tendered within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this letter. Please remit payment to the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, c/o Vickie Southwick at 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210, Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Dana Dean, P.E.  
Associate Director - Mining  
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

[ogm.utah.gov](http://ogm.utah.gov)

Like us on Facebook! <https://www.facebook.com/utahoilgasandmining>

---

 **20161206135326.pdf**  
38K

UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING  
WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES

COMPANY: UtahAmerican Energy  
PERMIT: C/007/0041  
VIOLATION: NO21186  
DATE: December 6, 2106

ASSESSMENT CONFERENCE OFFICER: Dana Dean

	Proposed Assessment	Final Assessment
(1) History/Previous Violations	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
(2) Seriousness	<u>13</u>	<u>7</u>
(3) Negligence	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>
(4) Good Faith	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Points	<u>30</u>	<u>13</u>

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$286.00

**Explanation:**

Reduction of seriousness points from 13 to 7 because the company was able to retrieve some of the past information and current information showed that there had been no movement between previous and current monitoring.

Reduction of negligence points from 16 to 5 because the company did not ask RBG to stop monitoring nor did they terminate any contracts. RBG stopped on their own and turnover in mine and Division personnel left the omitted reports un-noticed until the violation was written.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Dana Dean  
Conference Officer