

March 26, 2004

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT
7099 3400 0016 8895 5767

Randy Scott, Plant Manager
Sunnyside Cogeneration Assoc.
P. O. Box 159
Sunnyside, Utah 84539

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N04-49-3-1, Sunnyside
Cogeneration Association, Star Point Waste Fuel, C/007/0042, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Scott:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Karl Houskeeper, on February 26, 2004. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of this violation, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock
Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc: OSM Compliance Report
Vickie Southwick, DOGM
Price Field Office

O:\007042.SWF\COMPLIANCE\2004\PRON04-49-3-1LTR.DOC

**WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING**

COMPANY / MINE Sunnyside Cogeneration Association

PERMIT C/007/042 NOV / CO # N04-49-3-1 VIOLATION 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT DATE March 26, 2004

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Daron R. Haddock

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)

A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year
No pending notices shall be counted

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0

II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? Event

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event, which the violated standard was designed to prevent?
Injury to the public by conducting activities without appropriate approvals.
2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event, which a violated

standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Insignificant	1-4
Unlikely	5-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 10

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******* *By digging at the toe of the refuse, the Operator had created a situation (highwall) that had the potential to injure the public. The inspector indicated that the highwall was remediated during the inspection so the risk was greatly reduced. The inspector statement indicated that the event had occurred, but in phone conversation with the inspector it was determined that the event (Injury to the public) had not occurred, but there was only potential for it to occur. The likelihood was greatly reduced during the inspection so the points reflect the bottom of the likely range.*

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******* *The Inspector states that no damage occurred.*

B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____
RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 10

III. NEGLIGENCE (Max 30 pts.)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE _____

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** *The Inspector states that the potential harm to the public should have been very obvious to the Operator. The plan is very specific about how the refuse pile is to be mined, yet the Operator did not follow the plan. This shows an indifference to the regulations or a lack of reasonable care. Points reflect the middle of the negligence range.*

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

C	Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20*
	(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
C	Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
	(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)	
C	Normal Compliance	0
	(Operator complied within the abatement period required)	
	(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)	

*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve

compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

C	Rapid Compliance	-11 to -20*
	(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)	
C	Normal Compliance	-1 to -10*
	(Operator complied within the abatement period required)	
C	Extended Compliance	0
	(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)	
	(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Easy to reconfigure the refuse pile but difficult because revised plans were required that identified the road accesses at the base of the pile.

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS -14

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******* *The inspector indicated that the highwall hazard was eliminated during the inspection. The steep slope was reconfigured to be stable. Plans that identified the road accesses, the culverts and how mining would occur to prevent hazards to the public were submitted on March 24, 2004, which was before the actual abatement date of March 26, 2004. It is felt that the Operator complied with the requirements more rapidly than was required. Thus good faith points were awarded in the Rapid Compliance range.*

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # N 04-49-3-1

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>0</u>
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>10</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>8</u>
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-14</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>4</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	<u>\$ 88</u>

cc: Price Field Office
O:\I&E\ASSESSMENT\WORKSHEET.DOC