

AK  
*Internal*  
*C/007/0045*

0004

**From:** Karl Houskeeper  
**To:** Daron Haddock  
**Date:** 3/21/2008 9:37 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: Covol - file: C007/0045

Thanks for the information it provides further insight. Does this preclude them (Emery and/or Covol) from having to follow the normal permitting process that other operators have had to follow. The Division has required the other operators to specify in their permit where refuse material was being shipped to and have it approved on a case by case situation (permit amendment). In addition they have required the receiving operator to amend their permit to show who they were receiving the material from and have it approved by the Division on a case by case situation. I'm just trying to gain an understanding of the Divisions position on this subject now and in prior years. Any clarification you can provide would be helpful.

>>> Daron Haddock 3/20/2008 4:33 PM >>>

Please see the attached email from Mary Ann regarding the disposition of the Covol site and their ability to operate. Mary Ann was pretty clear that she would allow them to operate once they had posted a bond and provided a complete application, both of which we have. We do have Steve Alder trying to provide some more of the legal pieces to the puzzle, but as I see it Covol is authorized to operate. We should be able to give you a definite go or no go soon after Steve alder gets back in the office. Thanks.